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#### Abstract

Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC), a WHO collaborative cross-national study, has provided information about the health, well-being, social environment and health behaviour of 11-, 13- and 15-year-old boys and girls for over 30 years. The 2017/2018 survey report presents data from over 220000 young people in 45 countries and regions in Europe and Canada. The data focus on social context (relations with family, peers, school and online communication), health outcomes (subjective health, mental health, overweight and obesity, and injuries), health behaviours (patterns of eating, physical activity and toothbrushing) and risk behaviours (use of tobacco, alcohol and cannabis, sexual behaviour, fighting and bullying) relevant to young people's health and well-being. New items on electronic media communication and cyberbullying and a revised measure on family meals were introduced to the HBSC survey in 2017/2018 and measures of individual health complaints and underweight are also included for the first time in the international report. Volume 1 of the international report presents key findings from the 2017/2018 survey, and Volume 2 provides key data disaggregated by country/ region, age, gender and family affluence.
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INTRODUCTION


This collection of key data is the second volume of the international report from the 2017/2018 Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) study. It presents the data that underpin the summary of scientific findings presented in Volume 1, key findings (Inchley et al., 2020).

HBSC is a WHO collaborative cross-national study of adolescent health and well-being (HBSC, 2020) which focuses on understanding young people's health in their social context - at home, at school, and with family and friends. The HBSC cross-national survey has been conducted every four years since 1983/1984 and has grown to include 50 member countries and regions across Europe and North America, and over 400 network members. Member countries and regions are responsible for funding and conducting the study at national level and contribute to the development of the international study through a network of topic focus groups and strategic development groups.

Contributors to the survey process and the development of the international report from the 2017/2018 HBSC survey are shown in the Annex of Volume 1.

A standard methodology for the study is used in each participating country and region. This is detailed in the HBSC 2017/2018 international study protocol (Inchley et al., 2018). Data are collected from pupils in mainstream schools using a self-report questionnaire. Each country or region uses cluster sampling to select a nationally representative sample of young people aged 11,13 and 15 years to complete the survey. The primary sampling unit is the school class, with all pupils in selected classes being invited to participate. The study protocol requires that each HBSC country or region should aim to survey around 1500 young people from the three age groups (approximately 4500 in total). In practice, however, many countries chose to sample more than the minimum number to provide data on demographic or regional subgroups. In a few with small populations, a census is carried out.

The standard international questionnaire comprises a mandatory set of items asked in all countries and regions, optional items that are included by a subset of countries and regions, and national specific items. Young people complete the questionnaire in school as a whole school class, either using pencil and paper or electronic survey mode.

Data are presented in the international report from 45 countries and regions that participated in the 2017/2018 HBSC cross-national survey. HBSC member countries that are not included in the international report were either unable to conduct the survey within the required time frame (Israel and Turkey) or joined the network after fieldwork was completed (Cyprus, Kyrgyzstan and Uzbekistan). Fieldwork took place mainly between September 2017 and July 2018, except in six countries, where an extended fieldwork period was necessary to reach the required sample size.

Further information about the HBSC study is available online (HBSC, 2020). HBSC data can be accessed at the WHO Regional Office for Europe's health information gateway (WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2020) and via the HBSC data portal at the University of Bergen (University of Bergen, 2020).

## DATA PRESENTED

Key data are presented in this collection disaggregated by country and region, age group, gender and family affluence for the 227441 young people aged 11,13 and 15 years from 45 countries and regions that participated in the 2017/2018 HBSC cross-national survey. Data from the previous international HBSC survey, carried out in 2013/2014, have also been included, when available, for easy assessment of key changes in young people's well-being and social circumstances. Four countries and regions did not participate in the 2013/2014 HBSC survey (Azerbaijan, Georgia, Kazakhstan and Serbia), so no data are presented for these countries for 2013/2014.

Data are presented for each of the indicators presented in Volume 1 of the report (Inchley et al., 2020). These include indicators of physical and mental well-being, experiences of school, social support from family and peers and a special focus area on online communication. For reasons of space, the names of the three regions of the United Kingdom that took part in the survey have been shortened to England, Scotland and Wales in the figures.

Data are presented in 12 sections, corresponding to the topics in the key findings chapter of Volume 1. For most indicators, the key data report provides bar charts showing
prevalence (\%) for each country and region disaggregated by gender and age group. For a minority of indicators, data are presented as tables only. Tables in the "Family context" section present prevalence combined across all age and gender groups.

Prevalence is also reported by family affluence for most indicators, disaggregated by gender (combining all age groups), highlighting the extent to which health and well-being differs between adolescents from the leastand most-affluent households in a country or region. No disaggregation by family affluence is presented for indicators presented as tables.

## DATA AVAILABILITY

Data are drawn from the mandatory component of the HBSC survey questionnaire, which was used in all countries and regions. Data for some indicators were not available from specific countries and regions. Some, including Azerbaijan, Norway and Switzerland, excluded items on sensitive topics such as sexual health. Where data are not available for a specific country or region (either because an item was excluded from the questionnaire or because the item format deviated from that in the HBSC survey 2017/2018 international protocol (Inchley et al., 2018)), this is indicated in the footnotes to relevant charts or tables as "Data not received from [relevant countries or regions]".

## FAMILY AFFLUENCE

Countries and regions participating in the HBSC survey span a range of economic circumstances, from those classified as lower-middle-income countries and regions to some of the richest in Europe. Young people grow up in families with varying levels of socioeconomic resources. Family affluence is a robust determinant of adolescent health, but children are not able to give the sort of information traditionally collected about job roles and salary that would give an indication of how rich or poor families may be.

HBSC uses an alternative measure, the Family Affluence Scale (FAS) (Currie et al., 2008; Torsheim et al., 2016; Elgar et al., 2017), which asks young people about material assets in the household. The HBSC 2017/2018 survey used a six-
item assessment of common material assets or activities, with the following questions.

- Does your family own a car, van or truck (responses: no, one, two or more)?
- Do you have your own bedroom for yourself (no, yes)?
- How many times did you and your family travel out of [insert country/region name] for a holiday/vacation last year (not at all, once, twice, more than twice)?
- How many computers do your family own (none, one, two, more than two)?
- Does your family have a dishwasher at home (no, yes)?
- How many bathrooms (rooms with a bath/shower or both) are in your home (none, one, two, more than two)?

Responses are scored and summed to form a HBSC FAS summary score, designated FAS-III, which has been shown to provide a valid indicator of relative affluence (Torsheim et al., 2016). This summary score is used in the report to estimate relative socioeconomic position by comparing the individual's score for FAS with those of all other scores within their country or region. The affluence score (Elgar et al., 2017) is then used to identify groups of young people in the lowest 20\% (low affluence), middle 60\% (medium affluence) and highest 20\% (high affluence) in each country and region. This approach to measuring health inequalities is the same as that used in the 2013/2014 report and assesses relative, not absolute, health inequality. The same summary score on the FAS may therefore correspond to medium affluence in a high-income country and high affluence in a low-income country.

Households with children do not always reflect the national/ regional average for wealth; money coming into households is affected by national/regional payments and transfers for families and norms around working outside of the home when children are young. Rather than presenting statistics such as gross domestic product, the "Family context" section of this volume provides a summary index of FAS reported for each country and region, giving an indication of the mean level of affluence for families with adolescent offspring. The index is calculated as the mean of the family affluence score for a country or region, expressed as an index score that can range from zero to 100 . A value of 100 is the maximum possible affluence score and zero is the minimum possible score.

## INTERPRETING DIFFERENCES IN PREVALENCE

It is important to avoid overinterpretation of the rankings in charts and tables. Frequently, few percentage points separate adjacent countries and regions and prevalence differences may not be statistically significant.

Statistical analyses are used to systematically identify differences in the prevalence of well-being and social indicators by gender and family affluence, and also changes in prevalence since the 2013/2014 survey. Each chart presented indicates where differences are statistically significant. No statistical analyses are presented on data provided as tables.

Gender differences and changes since 2013/2014 were assessed using design-adjusted cross-tabulations. Significance of patterning by family affluence was assessed based on design-adjusted linear regression across the three affluence groups (20\% least affluent, 60\% medium affluence, 20\% highest affluence) (see below for more details of how family affluence is categorized). Design-adjusted analyses take into account the study design (including sampling method and sample weights) when assessing change. As HBSC uses cluster sampling, the confidence interval around estimates will be larger than if a simple random sample of individuals had been used. Analyses not adjusted for survey design would therefore wrongly assess precision of estimates and, consequently, significances.

Statistical analyses are included to help readers to avoid overinterpretation of small differences, but statistical significance does not always indicate a difference that is considered "important" in terms of public health.

Prevalence in the charts is presented as a percentage, rounded to the nearest whole number (in Volume 1, percentage-point difference between two subgroups is also reported rounded to the nearest whole number). Differences between subgroups given in Volume 1 may differ from those obtained by simply looking at differences in rounded numbers presented in the charts. For example, a difference of 9.2 percentage points (rounded to 9 in Volume 1) between girls (20.4\%) and boys (29.6\%) would be presented in the charts as girls 20\% and boys 30\%, an apparent 10 percentage-point difference.

## UNDERSTANDING THE AGE-GENDER CHARTS

Bar charts present data for 2017/2018 for girls (pink bars) and boys (blue bars) in each age group (11-, 13- and 15-year-olds) separately for each country and region in descending order of prevalence (for girls and boys combined) (Fig. 1). Prevalence is presented as a percentage (\%). The range on the age-gender charts is always the same, from $0 \%$ to $100 \%$. This makes it easy to compare the relative prevalence across indicators.

The percentage prevalence in 2017/2018 (boys and girls separately) is also presented as a number down the right-hand edge of the chart. Another column of numbers presents the percentage prevalence in 2013/2014 where a country/region took part in the HBSC 2013/2014 survey (note there are no bars shown for 2013/2014 prevalence, only numbers).

HBSC averages for each gender and combined are shown at the bottom of each chart. The HBSC averages for 2017/2018 presented in the charts are based on equal weighting of each country or region, regardless of achieved sample or population size. As such, they can be thought of as representing the "average" HBSC country or region.

Life satisfaction (see pp. 54-5) is presented as the average score on a scale of $0-10$, but other elements indicating gender and statistical significance remain the same.

Country/region names highlighted in bold in the agegender charts are those in which there was a statistically significant gender difference in prevalence in 2017/2018. Statistically significant changes in prevalence since the HBSC 2013/2014 survey by gender within a country or region are indicated on the chart by a circle with an arrow embedded. An upward-facing arrow (white arrow on a black background) indicates that there has been a significant increase in prevalence since 2013/2014, and a downwardfacing arrow (black arrow on white background) indicates that there has been a significant decrease in prevalence since 2013/2014. As the number of countries and regions differs between data presented from the 2017/2018 survey and the 2013/2014 survey, the statistical significance of differences in HBSC average between the two survey years is not indicated.

As an example, Fig. 1 shows Poland has the highest combined prevalence across boys and girls, and Serbia the lowest. Prevalence for girls in 2017/2018 was 52\% and in boys was $31 \%$. This gender difference is statistically significant, which is indicated by the fact that the country name Poland is presented in bold (in contrast to the non-bold Albania, Azerbaijan and Serbia, where gender differences were not significant). Prevalence among girls in Poland, United Kingdom (Scotland), Austria, Belgium (Flemish) and the Republic of Moldova has declined significantly since 2013/2014; this is indicated by a downward-facing arrow beside the relevant numbers in the right-hand column. In contrast, prevalence among boys in Armenia has increased.

Fig. 1. Example of age-gender bar chart


Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ); significant change between 2014 and 2018 (at p < 0.05) is denoted by an arrow indicating direction of change (averages for 2014 and 2018 are not directly comparable and no significances are shown).

## UNDERSTANDING THE FAMILY AFFLUENCE CHARTS

Charts of prevalence by FAS group illustrate the relationship between family affluence and each indicator (Fig 2). A dumbbell chart format is used to emphasize the differences in prevalence while still showing the prevalence levels among affluence groups. Each chart shows the prevalence (\%) of the indicator in the most-affluent $20 \%$ of adolescents in each country or region (a solid circle) and also in the leastaffluent 20\% (an open circle). The data are presented for each country and region for boys (blue circle) and girls (pink circle) separately, combined across the three age groups. The percentage prevalence is also presented as a number down the right-hand edge of the chart.

The prevalence in the least- and most-affluent groups (designated Low-FAS and High-FAS) is linked by a line, the length of which indicates the difference in prevalence between the two groups. HBSC average for each affluence group is presented by gender at the bottom of the chart. The overall prevalence for the indicator, combined over age groups and gender, is given as the final point at the bottom of the chart (black and white circle) and is shown as a line along the length of the chart.

The range on the FAS charts is always the same, from 0\% to $100 \%$. This makes it easy to compare the extent of any inequalities between indicators. Countries and regions on the FAS charts are ordered by size and direction of inequality (averaged across genders). The top of the chart will therefore have countries and regions in which prevalence is higher among adolescents from the most-affluent $20 \%$ of families, and countries and regions in which prevalence is higher among the least-affluent $20 \%$ will appear at the bottom of the chart. The direction of inequalities is in the same direction in all countries and regions for some indicators.

Significance of differences in prevalence by family affluence are indicated by the numbers for prevalence being bolded. The prevalence of the medium-affluence group is not presented here, but the data from all groups are used when carrying out statistical analysis. Significance is only marked where there is a linear trend in prevalence across the three FAS groups (lowest 20\%, medium 60\% and highest 20\%). This may mean that some differences in prevalence that look large between the low- and high-FAS groups may not
be marked as significant if, for example, the prevalence in the medium-affluence $60 \%$ is lower or higher than both presented numbers.

Fig. 2 presents an example family affluence chart. It shows the overall HBSC average prevalence as being $19 \%$. In Iceland, boys from the 20\% most-affluent families have higher prevalence (35\%) than those from the $20 \%$ least-affluent $(17 \%)$. There is a statistically significant trend in prevalence by family affluence, as indicated by the numbers 17 and 35 being bold (differences in prevalence by FAS are not significant among boys in Greenland). At the bottom of the chart, Kazakhstan also shows significant inequalities in this indicator, but in the opposite direction. Girls in Kazakhstan from the 20\% least-affluent families have higher prevalence (37\%) than those from the $20 \%$ most-affluent ( $26 \%$ ).

Fig. 2. Example family affluence chart
Prevalence by family affluence: 60 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity GIRLS (\%) ${ }^{\text {LOW }}$ (MVPA) daily by country/region and gender BOYS (\%) ○


Note: bold indicates a significant difference in prevalence by family affluence group (at p $<0.05$ ). Low- and high-affluence groups represent the lowest $20 \%$ and highest $20 \%$ in each country/region.
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EATING BEHAVIOURS AND ORAL HEALTH PHYSICAL ACTIVITY<br>OVERWEIGHT, UNDERWEIGHT AND BODY IMAGE<br>ONLINE COMMUNICATION<br>MENTAL WELL-BEING<br>SEXUAL HEALTH<br>ALCOHOL, TOBACCO AND CANNABIS USE<br>BULLYING AND VIOLENCE<br>INJURIES<br>SOCIAL WELL-BEING<br>SCHOOL EXPERIENCE<br>FAMILY CONTEXT



EATING BEHAVIOURS AND ORAL HEALTH

BREAKFAST CONSUMPTION ON SCHOOL DAYS<br>FAMILY MEALS<br>FRUIT CONSUMPTION<br>VEGETABLE CONSUMPTION SWEETS (INCLUDING CHOCOLATE) CONSUMPTION<br>SUGARED SOFT-DRINKS CONSUMPTION ORAL HEALTH<br>CONSUME NEITHER FRUIT NOR VEGETABLES DAILY

BREAKFAST CONSUMPTION ON SCHOOL DAYS

11-year-olds who eat breakfast every school day



13-year-olds who eat breakfast every school day

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)


[^1]MEASURE：young people were asked how often they eat breakfast，defined as more than a glass of milk or fruit juice，on school days and at weekends．Findings presented here are the proportions reporting eating breakfast every school day．

| 15－year－olds who eat breakfast every school day |  | GIRLS（\％） <br> BOYS（\％） | Prevalence by fam eat breakfast eve country／region an | ence： <br> day by |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 20142018 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {low }}^{\text {Low }}$ Hiah |
| Netherlands |  | 71 81 80 80 | England | $\bigcirc$ | 42 56 77 |
| Portugal |  | © 73  <br> 86 63 <br> 75  | Germany | $\bigcirc$ | 41 <br> 48 <br> 69 |
| Ireland |  | $\begin{array}{ll}62 & 59 \\ 73\end{array}$ | Sweden | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{lll}51 & 73 \\ 64 & 81\end{array}$ |
| North Macedonia |  | $\begin{array}{lll}65 & 64 \\ 63 & 66\end{array}$ | Belgium（French） | $\bigcirc$ | 42 <br> 55 <br> 17 |
| Ukraine |  | （4） 7164 <br> 64 | Greenland | $\bigcirc 0$ | 55 51 59 |
| Belgium（Flemish） |  | 55 59 69 67 | Ireland | $\bigcirc$ | 58 <br> 65 <br> 71 |
| Denmark |  | （4） 7466 <br> 8 | Scotland | $\bigcirc 0$ | $\begin{array}{ll}46 & 67 \\ 58 & 72\end{array}$ |
| Sweden |  | 58 65 65 | Canada | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}44 & 57 \\ 54\end{array}$ |
| Poland |  | 56 57 <br> 62 64 | Romania | $\bigcirc$－ | $31 \quad 49$ 40 |
| Spain |  | （4）${ }^{635}$ 52 <br> 67  | Belgium（Flemish） | $0 \bigcirc$ | 57 61 61 |
| Azerbaijan |  | － $\begin{array}{r}55 \\ -\quad 62\end{array}$ | Wales | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}38 & 53 \\ 50\end{array}$ |
| Norway |  | $\begin{array}{lll}57 & 56 \\ 66 & 61\end{array}$ | Poland | $\bigcirc 0$ | 49 <br> 57 <br> 70 |
| Finland |  | $\begin{array}{ll}62 & 58 \\ 64 & 58\end{array}$ | Czechia | $\bigcirc-$ | 41 <br> 49 <br> 68 |
| Iceland |  |  | Lithuania | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}43 & 58 \\ 47\end{array}$ |
| Estonia |  | （4） 68 685 | Albania | $0-8$ | 48 <br> 38 <br> 86 |
| Kazakhstan |  | $-\quad 52$ $-\quad 60$ | Denmark | $\bigcirc$ | 60 63 68 |
| England |  | $\begin{array}{lll}48 & 48 \\ 64 & 63\end{array}$ | Estonia | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | 49 63 <br> 58  <br> 88  |
| Serbia |  | － 50 $-\quad 60$ | Luxembourg | $\bigcirc$ | 45 <br> 47 <br> 59 |
| Italy |  | $\begin{array}{ll}50 & 52 \\ 61 & 57\end{array}$ | Italy | $0-$ | $\begin{array}{ll}48 & 57 \\ 56 & 69\end{array}$ |
| Russian Federation |  | （1） 51 55 <br> 55  | Azerbaijan | $8=$ | 56 57 66 |
| France |  | （1）46 <br> 64 | Latvia | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}47 & 59 \\ 55\end{array}$ |
| Latvia |  | （1） 55 48 <br> 64  <br> 18  | Portugal | $0-$ | $\begin{array}{ll}62 & 74 \\ 73\end{array}$ |
| Republic of Moldova |  | （14）5751 | Spain | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | 52 <br> 63 <br> 74 |
| Canada |  | 48 <br> 59 <br> 59 <br> 59 | Iceland | $\bigcirc-$ | 57 <br> 63 <br> 63 |
| Belgium（French） |  | （4） 5950 <br> 92 <br> 50 | France | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | 55 <br> 60 <br> 80 |
| Scotland |  | $\begin{array}{ll}43 & 45 \\ 57 & 55\end{array}$ | Kazakhstan | $\bigcirc$ | 55 59 |
| Bulgaria |  |  | North Macedonia | $\bigcirc$ | 63 <br> 61 <br> 10 |
| Lithuania |  | $\begin{array}{ll}50 & 44 \\ 58 & 55\end{array}$ | Netherlands | $\bigcirc$ | 73 76 76 |
| Germany |  | （1）${ }_{59}{ }_{59} \quad 42$ | Hungary | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}36 & 44 \\ 51 & 60\end{array}$ |
| Switzerland |  |  | Slovenia | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}35 & 43 \\ 40 & 49\end{array}$ |
| Armenia |  | 46 55 58 | Switzerland | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}48 & 57 \\ 54 & 62\end{array}$ |
| Greece |  | $\begin{array}{ll}47 & 43 \\ 54 & 52\end{array}$ | Slovakia | 08 | $\begin{array}{lll}36 & 42 \\ 43 & 53\end{array}$ |
| Malta |  | 50 53 50 | Serbia | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}50 & 58 \\ 56\end{array}$ |
| Czechia |  | 44 <br> 53 <br> 53 | Finland | － | 62 <br> 63 <br> 70 |
| Croatia |  | 44 52 58 50 | Greece | －8． | $\begin{array}{ll}44 & 49 \\ 48 \\ 54\end{array}$ |
| Georgia |  | ［ | Norway | －0 | 64 <br> 68 <br> 8 |
| Luxembourg |  | 45  <br> （1） 40 <br> 48  | Croatia | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}43 & 50 \\ 52\end{array}$ |
| Wales |  | （1）44 45 | Georgia | $0-8$ | 43 <br> 50 <br> 50 |
| Greenland |  | （4）56 <br> 50 $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 47\end{aligned}$ | Ukraine | 0 | $67 \quad 70$ |
| Albania |  | $\begin{array}{ll}34 & 37 \\ 48 & 48\end{array}$ | Austria | ${ }_{0}{ }^{-}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}37 & 39 \\ 43 & 49\end{array}$ |
| Slovakia |  | $\begin{array}{ll}38 & 37 \\ 46 & 43\end{array}$ | Republic of Moldova |  | $\begin{array}{ll}55 & 58 \\ 58 \\ 62\end{array}$ |
| Hungary |  |  | Russian Federation | $\bigcirc{ }_{0}{ }^{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}53 & 60 \\ 57\end{array}$ |
| Austria |  |  | Armenia | 0 － | $\begin{array}{lll}49 & 48 \\ 59\end{array}$ |
| Romania |  | $\begin{array}{ll}36 & 31 \\ 40 & 41\end{array}$ | Malta | ${ }_{0}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}44 & 45 \\ 49 & 50\end{array}$ |
| Slovenia |  | 38 48 48 | Bulgaria | 8 | $\begin{array}{ll}51 & 48 \\ 50 & 52\end{array}$ |
| HBSC AVERAGE（GENDER） |  | $\begin{array}{ll}52 & 48 \\ 62 & 56\end{array}$ | HBSC Average（GENDER） | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{55}^{50} 60$ |
| HBSC AVERAGE（TOTAL）$\square$ |  | 5752 | HBSC AvErage prevalence | － | 58 |

## FAMILY MEALS

11-year-olds who eat a meal with family daily

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)

13-year-olds who eat a meal

HBSC AVERAGE (TOTA)


[^2]MEASURE: young people were asked how often they eat a meal with their family. Findings presented here show the proportions reporting eating with their family every day.


Prevalence by family affluence:
eat a meal with family daily by
country/region and gender

FRUIT CONSUMPTION



MEASURE: young people were asked how often they eat fruit. Response options ranged from never to every day, more than once. Findings presented here show the proportions who reported eating fruit daily (at least once).


## Prevalence by family affluence: eat fruit daily by country/region and gender

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Azerbaijan |  | $\begin{array}{ll}29 & 62 \\ 26 & 50\end{array}$ | $T$ |
| Scotland | $\bigcirc \longrightarrow$ | $\begin{array}{ll}26 & 55 \\ 20 & 42\end{array}$ |  |
| Albania | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{lll}66 & 76 \\ 37 & 74\end{array}$ | $\bar{\square}$ |
| Ukraine | $0$ | $\begin{array}{ll}35 & 60 \\ 31 & 52\end{array}$ | $\infty$ |
| Estonia | $\mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}37 & 60 \\ 37\end{array}$ | $\underline{T}$ |
| Armenia | $\bigcirc \longrightarrow$ | 5480 | $\geq$ |
| Russian Federation | $8-$ | $\begin{array}{ll}26 & 47 \\ 25 & 45\end{array}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Canada | $8-8$ | $\begin{array}{lll}45 & 64 \\ 44 & 65\end{array}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Georgia | $8 \quad$ | $\begin{array}{ll}38 & 58 \\ 37 & 55\end{array}$ | D |
| Kazakhstan | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}27 & 55 \\ 34 & 44\end{array}$ | $Z$ |
| Republic of Moldova | $0-$ | $\begin{array}{ll}42 & 63 \\ 38 & 55\end{array}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Greenland | $8-8$ | $\begin{array}{lll}35 & 53 \\ 35 & 54\end{array}$ | 0 |
| Hungary | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}27 & 44 \\ 24 & 41\end{array}$ |  |
| Serbia |  | $\begin{array}{lll}24 & 41 \\ 35 & 55 \\ 32 & 46\end{array}$ | $\frac{1}{\square 1}$ |
| Wales | $\bigcirc$ | 27 27 | $\perp$ |
| England |  | 40 <br> 41 <br> 15 | 工 |
| Ireland | 0 | 4155 |  |
| Ireland | $\bigcirc$ | 3149 |  |
| Italy | $0-$ | 35 250 25 |  |
| Spain | $8-8$ | 31 30 36 |  |
| Belgium (Flemish) | $\bigcirc \longrightarrow$ | $\begin{array}{ll}36 & 53 \\ 30 & 43\end{array}$ |  |
| North Macedonia | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}40 & 58 \\ 36 & 47\end{array}$ |  |
| Slovakia | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}37 & 56 \\ 32 & 42\end{array}$ |  |
| Bulgaria | $0-8$ | $\begin{array}{ll}32 & 44 \\ 28 & 44\end{array}$ |  |
| Latvia | $8-$ | 20 19 19 31 |  |
| Lithuania | $\bigcirc$ | 34 26 26 |  |
| France | $\bigcirc$ | $32 \quad 46$ 27 |  |
| Portugal | $0-0$ | $47 \quad 56$ 41 47 |  |
| Czechia | $0-$ | $\begin{array}{ll}45 & 58 \\ 34 & 46\end{array}$ |  |
| Germany | $0-$ | 39 <br> 55 <br> 98 |  |
| Greece | $8-$ | $\begin{array}{ll}28 & 41 \\ 27 & 39\end{array}$ |  |
| Netherlands | $0 \quad 0$ | $\begin{array}{ll}36 & 48 \\ 22 & 34\end{array}$ |  |
| Poland | $0-$ | 40 <br> 29 <br> 29 |  |
| Finland | $0-0$ | $\begin{array}{ll}23 & 37 \\ 13 & 21\end{array}$ |  |
| Luxembourg | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}33 & 50 \\ 37 & 42\end{array}$ |  |
| Croatia | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}33 & 45 \\ 28 & 37\end{array}$ |  |
| Iceland | $0-0$ | $\begin{array}{ll}39 & 51 \\ 32 & 41\end{array}$ |  |
| Austria | $\bigcirc$ | 41 36 36 |  |
| Switzerland | $0-$ | $\begin{array}{ll}45 & 58 \\ 39 & 44\end{array}$ |  |
| Denmark | O- | $\begin{array}{ll}34 & 41 \\ 33 & 43\end{array}$ |  |
| Romania | O-8 | 39 35 35 46 |  |
| Belgium (French) | $\stackrel{-}{\bullet}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}48 & 60 \\ 51 & 55\end{array}$ |  |
| Slovenia | $0-0$ | $\begin{array}{ll}44 & 53 \\ 36 & 41\end{array}$ |  |
| Malta | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}33 & 42 \\ 35 & 39\end{array}$ |  |
| Sweden | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}26 & 34 \\ 30 & 29\end{array}$ |  |
| Norway | $0^{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}36 & 41 \\ 33 & 32\end{array}$ |  |
| HBSC AVERAGE (GENDER) | $\bigcirc-$ | $\begin{array}{ll}36 & 52 \\ 32 & 45\end{array}$ |  |
| HBSC AVERAGE PREVALENCE | $\bigcirc$ | 40 | 15 |

## VEGETABLE CONSUMPTION

|  | Ids who eat | ORE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | vegetables daily |  |





| 13-year-olds who eat vegetables daily |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)


[^3]MEASURE: young people were asked how often they eat vegetables. Response options ranged from never to every day, more than once. Findings presented here show the proportions who reported eating vegetables daily (at least once).


Prevalence by family affluence:

and gender BOYS (\%) ○

## SWEETS (INCLUDING CHOCOLATE) CONSUMPTION

11-year-olds who eat sweets daily

 2014 CANGE (1)

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%) 20142018

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)


Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at p < 0.05); significant change between 2014 and 2018 (at p < 0.05 ) is denoted by an arrow indicating direction of change (averages for 2014 and 2018 are not directly comparable and no significances are shown).

MEASURE: young people were asked how often they eat sweets (including chocolate). Response options ranged from never to every day, more than once. Findings presented here show the proportions who reported eating sweets daily (at least once).


11-year-olds who consume sugared soft drinks daily
sugared

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)



GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)



Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at $p<0.05$ ); significant change between 2014 and 2018 (at p $<0.05$ ) is denoted by an arrow indicating direction of change (averages for 2014 and 2018 are not directly comparable and no significances are shown).

MEASURE: young people were asked to report their usual frequency of sugared soft-drinks consumption, with response categories ranging from never to more than once a day. Findings presented here show the proportions who reported drinking sugared soft drinks daily (at least once).



Prevalence by family affluence: $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Prevalence by family affluence: } & \\ \text { consume sugared soft drinks daily } & \text { GIRLS (\%) } \\ \text { by country/region and mender } & \text { HOYS }(\%)\end{array}$


## ORAL HEALTH

11-year-olds who brush their teeth more than once a day

DIRECTION O
SIGNIFICAN 2014-2018 (1) GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)


13-year-olds who brush their teeth more than once a day

DIRECTION OF
SIGNIFICANT 2014-2018 (4) BOYS (\%)


rance




MEASURE: young people were asked how often they brush their teeth. Response options ranged from never to more than once a day. Findings presented here show the proportions who reported brushing their teeth more than once a day.


Note: bold indicates a significant difference in prevalence by family affluence group (at p $<0.05$ ). Low- and high-affluence

CONSUME NEITHER FRUIT NOR VEGETABLES DAILY

MEASURE：young people were asked how often they eat fruit and vegetables．Response options ranged from never to every day，more than once．Findings presented here show the proportions who reported eating neither fruit nor vegetables daily（at least once）．

## Eat neither fruit nor vegetables daily

| COUNTRY／REGION | BOYS | GIRLS | TOTAL | BOYS | GIRLS | TOTAL | BOYS | GIRLS | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albania | 33 | 22 | 27 | 35 | 19 | 27 | 32 | 20 | 26 |
| Armenia | 34 | 26 | 30 | 33 | 23 | 28 | 38 | 29 | 33 |
| Austria | 46 | 33 | 39 | 51 | 43 | 47 | 64 | 54 | 59 |
| Azerbaijan | 58 | 45 | 52 | 52 | 47 | 49 | 67 | 58 | 62 |
| Belgium（Flemish） | 34 | 24 | 29 | 35 | 23 | 29 | 39 | 29 | 34 |
| Belgium（French） | 30 | 26 | 28 | 36 | 31 | 33 | 39 | 30 | 35 |
| Bulgaria | 42 | 34 | 38 | 45 | 37 | 41 | 51 | 46 | 49 |
| Canada | 33 | 25 | 29 | 42 | 37 | 39 | 42 | 37 | 40 |
| Croatia | 51 | 44 | 47 | 60 | 47 | 54 | 68 | 61 | 65 |
| Czechia | 45 | 35 | 40 | 55 | 41 | 48 | 65 | 49 | 57 |
| Denmark | 44 | 43 | 43 | 48 | 46 | 47 | 52 | 39 | 45 |
| England | 49 | 39 | 44 | 49 | 43 | 46 | 57 | 45 | 51 |
| Estonia | 53 | 42 | 48 | 57 | 48 | 53 | 63 | 51 | 57 |
| Finland | 64 | 55 | 60 | 76 | 53 | 65 | 75 | 59 | 67 |
| France | 49 | 44 | 47 | 55 | 46 | 51 | 59 | 53 | 56 |
| Georgia | 49 | 41 | 45 | 50 | 40 | 45 | 45 | 43 | 44 |
| Germany | 53 | 44 | 49 | 61 | 49 | 55 | 70 | 55 | 62 |
| Greece | 45 | 41 | 43 | 58 | 45 | 51 | 61 | 58 | 59 |
| Greenland | 47 | 43 | 45 | 60 | 48 | 54 | 61 | 60 | 60 |
| Hungary | 54 | 50 | 52 | 65 | 55 | 60 | 67 | 64 | 65 |
| Iceland | 56 | 48 | 52 | 61 | 54 | 58 | 61 | 51 | 56 |
| Ireland | 45 | 35 | 40 | 44 | 42 | 43 | 52 | 42 | 47 |
| Italy | 58 | 51 | 55 | 62 | 48 | 55 | 60 | 47 | 54 |
| Kazakhstan | 49 | 45 | 47 | 45 | 39 | 42 | 55 | 46 | 50 |
| Latvia | 58 | 51 | 55 | 67 | 59 | 63 | 67 | 61 | 64 |
| Lithuania | 53 | 42 | 48 | 60 | 53 | 56 | 64 | 57 | 61 |
| Luxembourg | 43 | 41 | 42 | 51 | 45 | 48 | 59 | 54 | 57 |
| Malta | 51 | 48 | 50 | 60 | 56 | 58 | 58 | 59 | 59 |
| Netherlands | 42 | 32 | 37 | 49 | 37 | 43 | 51 | 44 | 48 |
| North Macedonia | 46 | 35 | 41 | 48 | 36 | 42 | 49 | 45 | 47 |
| Norway | 51 | 46 | 49 | 61 | 47 | 54 | 58 | 51 | 54 |
| Poland | 49 | 41 | 45 | 59 | 50 | 55 | 62 | 51 | 57 |
| Portugal | 39 | 35 | 37 | 49 | 45 | 47 | 53 | 48 | 51 |
| Republic of Moldova | 42 | 34 | 38 | 43 | 38 | 40 | 47 | 41 | 44 |
| Romania | 46 | 40 | 43 | 55 | 50 | 52 | 62 | 57 | 60 |
| Russian Federation | 51 | 47 | 49 | 58 | 55 | 57 | 58 | 57 | 58 |
| Scotland | 51 | 45 | 48 | 57 | 45 | 51 | 67 | 50 | 58 |
| Serbia | 39 | 31 | 35 | 47 | 41 | 44 | 58 | 47 | 53 |
| Slovakia | 49 | 40 | 44 | 55 | 44 | 50 | 61 | 55 | 58 |
| Slovenia | 44 | 33 | 38 | 52 | 44 | 48 | 62 | 54 | 58 |
| Spain | 45 | 42 | 43 | 56 | 51 | 53 | 62 | 54 | 58 |
| Sweden | 49 | 41 | 45 | 53 | 48 | 51 | 58 | 48 | 53 |
| Switzerland | 38 | 32 | 35 | 47 | 37 | 42 | 52 | 40 | 46 |
| Ukraine | 39 | 33 | 36 | 45 | 35 | 40 | 48 | 43 | 46 |
| Wales | 52 | 47 | 49 | 60 | 53 | 57 | 64 | 59 | 61 |
| HBSC average | 47 | 39 | 43 | 53 | 44 | 48 | 57 | 49 | 53 |

PHYSICAL ACTIVITY
MODERATE-TO-VIGOROUS PHYSICAL ACTIVITY
VIGOROUS PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

## MODERATE-TO-VIGOROUS PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

11-year-olds who report at
least 60 minutes of MVPA daily

13-year-olds who report at
least 60 minutes of MVPA daily
DiREGION OF
SGONFIFCANT



Note.countryregion name in bold
and no significances are shown).

MEASURE: young people were asked to report the number of days over the past week during which they were physically active for a total of at least 60 minutes. The question was introduced by a text defining moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) as any activity that increases the heart rate and makes the person get out of breath some of the time, with examples provided. Findings presented here show the proportions who report at least 60 minutes of MVPA daily.



| Prevalence by family affluence: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 60 minutes of MVPA daily by | GIRLS (\%) |
| $\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { Low HGH } \\ \text { country/region and gender } & \text { BOYS (\%) }\end{array}\right)$. |  |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |


|  |  | Low tigh |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iceland | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{lll}12 & 24 \\ 17 & 35\end{array}$ |
| North Macedonia | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}24 & 32 \\ 26 & 44\end{array}$ |
| Canada | $\bigcirc-$ | $\begin{array}{ll}14 & 27 \\ 30 & 42\end{array}$ |
| Belgium (Flemish) | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}11 & 19 \\ 16 & 32\end{array}$ |
| Russian Federation | $0-$ | $\begin{array}{rrr}8 & 17 \\ 16 & 29\end{array}$ |
| Estonia | $0-$ | $\begin{array}{ll}10 & 15 \\ 12 & 28\end{array}$ |
| Latvia | $0-8$ | $\begin{array}{ll}12 & 19 \\ 18 & 32\end{array}$ |
| Slovenia | $0-0$ | $\begin{array}{ll}14 & 23 \\ 25 & 37\end{array}$ |
| Finland | 0 | $\begin{array}{lll}17 & 33 \\ 35 & 39\end{array}$ |
| Serbia | $\bigcirc-$ | $\begin{array}{lll}24 & 30 \\ 36 & 50\end{array}$ |
| Azerbaijan | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}10 & 16 \\ 14 & 27\end{array}$ |
| Croatia | $0-$ | $\begin{array}{lll}18 & 26 \\ 21 & 32\end{array}$ |
| Georgia | O- | $\begin{array}{ll}17 & 23 \\ 19 & 32\end{array}$ |
| Poland | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}14 & 22 \\ 16 & 27\end{array}$ |
| Wales | $0-$ | $\begin{array}{ll}11 & 18 \\ 18 & 30\end{array}$ |
| Albania | 08 | $\begin{array}{lll}15 & 20 \\ 20 & 33\end{array}$ |
| Armenia | -8- | 21 <br> 28 <br> 28 |
| England | -- | $\begin{array}{ll}15 & 20 \\ 14 & 27\end{array}$ |
| Ireland | $0-0$ | $\begin{array}{lll}17 & 29 \\ 31 & 37\end{array}$ |
| Greece | 8 | $\begin{array}{lll}14 & 18 \\ 14 & 27\end{array}$ |
| Luxembourg | $0-$ | $\begin{array}{rr}9 & 16 \\ 15 & 25\end{array}$ |
| Netherlands | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}13 & 19 \\ 15 & 26\end{array}$ |
| Spain | $0-$ | $\begin{array}{ll}15 & 20 \\ 23 & 34 \\ \end{array}$ |
| Bulgaria | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}19 & 26 \\ 21 & 29\end{array}$ |
| Czechia | 08 | $\begin{array}{ll}12 & 19 \\ 19 & 27\end{array}$ |
| Lithuania | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{lll}14 & 19 \\ 16 & 25\end{array}$ |
| Norway | -8 | $\begin{array}{lll}13 & 18 \\ 17 & 26\end{array}$ |
| Slovakia | $0-8$ | $\begin{array}{ll}17 & 25 \\ 25 & 31\end{array}$ |
| Sweden | $0-8$ | $\begin{array}{rr}9 & 17 \\ 16 & 21\end{array}$ |
| Austria | © 0 | $\begin{array}{lll}14 & 16 \\ 24 & 34\end{array}$ |
| Malta |  | $\begin{array}{ll}14 & 15 \\ 20 & 31\end{array}$ |
| Hungary | 0 O- | $\begin{array}{lll}15 & 19 \\ 25 & 32\end{array}$ |
| Republic of Moldova | $0-$ | $\begin{array}{ll}12 & 18 \\ 16 & 21\end{array}$ |
| Scotland | 0 | $\begin{array}{ll}12 & 18 \\ 16 & 21\end{array}$ |
| Ukraine | -0-0 | $\begin{array}{ll}21 & 25 \\ 27 & 33\end{array}$ |
| Portugal |  | $6 \quad 10$ 15 |
| Italy |  | $\begin{array}{rr}5 \\ 10 & 76\end{array}$ |
| Switzerland |  | $\begin{array}{ll}10 & 14 \\ 17 & 21\end{array}$ |
| Belgium (French) | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{ll}11 & 18 \\ 24 & 25\end{array}$ |
| Denmark | $0{ }^{\circ}$ | 6  <br> 13 9 <br> 17  |
| France | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{array}{rr}9 & 9 \\ 12\end{array}$ |
| Germany | 0. | $\begin{array}{ll}10 \\ 18 & 13 \\ 18 & 22\end{array}$ |
| Romania | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{array}{rr}9 & 14 \\ 17 & 19\end{array}$ |
| Greenland |  | $\begin{array}{ll}24 & 18 \\ 25 & 22\end{array}$ |
| Kazakhstan | $0$ | $\begin{array}{ll}37 & 26 \\ 40 & 35\end{array}$ |
| HBSC AVERAGE (GENDER) | $0-8$ | $\begin{array}{ll}14 & 20 \\ 20 & 29\end{array}$ |
| HBSC AVERAGE PREVALENCE | - | 19 |

## VIGOROUS PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

11-year-olds who report vigorous physical activity
four or more times per week

DIRECTION OF
SIGNIFICANT SHNIFICANT (1)
CHANGE,
2014-2018 2014-2018 (L)

13-year-olds who report vigorous physical activity four or more times per week



Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at p < 0.05); significant change between 2014 and 2018 (at p < 0.05 ) is denoted by an arrow indicating direction of change (averages for 2014 and 2018 are not directly comparable and no significances are shown).

MEASURE: young people were asked to report the number of times per week they usually exercise in their free time (outside school hours), so much so that they got out of breath or sweated. Findings presented here show the proportions who participated in vigorous physical activity four or more times per week.

15-year-olds who report vigorous physical activity
four or more times per week

Prevalence by family affluence:
vigorous physical activity four or more times GIRLS (\%) ${ }_{\circ}^{\text {LOW HIGH }}$ per week by country/region and gender BOYS (\%) ○


OVERWEIGHT, UNDERWEIGHT AND BODY IMAGE

OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY
UNDERWEIGHT
BODY IMAGE
RATES OF MISSING BMI DATA

## OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY



11-year-olds who are overweight or obese (based
on WHO growth reference)

$$
20
$$

13-year-olds who are overweight or obese (based
on WHO growth reference)


GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)


MEASURE: young people were asked to give their height (without shoes) and weight (without clothes). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated from this information and cut-offs for overweight and obesity allocated based on the WHO growth reference for age. Findings presented here show the proportions who are overweight or obese.

15-year-olds who are overweight or obese (based
on WHO growth reference)


Prevalence by family affluence: overweight or obese by country/region and gender


## UNDERWEIGHT

## 11-year-olds who are underweight (based on WHO growth reference)

## DIRECTIIN OF SIGNIFICANT CHANGE, 2014-2018

13-year-olds who are underweight (based on
WHO growth reference) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)
$\longrightarrow \longrightarrow$

${ }^{2}$ Underweight is used in this measure to denote thinness, as defined in the WHO growth reference. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ BMI is missing for more than $30 \%$ of age-group sample. Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ); significant change between 2014 and 2018 (at p < 0.05) is denoted by an arrow indicating direction of change (averages for 2014 and 2018 are not directly comparable and no significances are shown). No data were received from England ( 11 - and 13 -year-olds).

MEASURE: young people were asked to give their height (without shoes) and weight (without clothes). BMI was calculated from this information and cut-offs for underweight applied based on the WHO growth reference for age. Findings presented here show the proportions who are underweight.

## 15-year-olds who are underweight (based on WHO growth reference) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| England ${ }^{\text {b }} \square$ |
| :--- |
| $\square$ |

Prevalence by family affluence:
underweight ${ }^{\text {b by country/region }}$
and gender


## BODY IMAGE

11-year-olds who
think they are too fat
造 2014


Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at $p<0.05$ ); significant change between 2014 and 2018 (at p < 0.05 ) is denoted by an arrow indicating direction of change (averages for 2014 and 2018 are not directly comparable and no significances are shown). No data were received from North Macedonia and Norway.

MEASURE: young people were asked about how they perceive their bodies. Response options ranged from much too thin to much too fat. Findings presented here show the proportions who reported perceiving their body to be too fat, defined as being a bit or much too fat.


Prevalence by family affluence:
feeling too fat by country/region and gender


RATES OF MISSING
BMI DATA

MEASURE: young people were asked to give their height (without shoes) and weight (without clothes). BMI was calculated from this information and cut-offs for underweight applied based on the WHO growth reference for age . Findings presented here show the levels of missing data across all countries and regions.

Overweight and underweight: rates of missing BMI data

|  | 11-year-olds (\%) |  |  | 13-year-olds (\%) |  |  | 15-year-olds (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COUNTRY/REGION | BOYS | GIRLS | TOTAL | BOYS | GIRLS | TOTAL | BOYS | GIRLS | TOTAL |
| Albania | 7 | 7 | 7 | 15 | 6 | 11 | 11 | 7 | 9 |
| Armenia | 18 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 10 | 13 | 32 | 20 | 26 |
| Austria | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| Azerbaijan | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 15 | 5 | 10 |
| Belgium (Flemish) | 11 | 14 | 12 | 18 | 13 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 13 |
| Belgium (French) | 16 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Bulgaria | 9 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 7 |
| Canada | 37 | 49 | 43 | 26 | 29 | 27 | 18 | 19 | 19 |
| Croatia | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Czechia | 9 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 7 |
| Denmark | 14 | 17 | 15 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| England | - | - | - | - | - | - | 60 | 62 | 61 |
| Estonia | 22 | 17 | 19 | 14 | 11 | 13 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Finland | 8 | 10 | 9 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 5 |
| France | 19 | 20 | 20 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 16 | 15 |
| Georgia | 31 | 34 | 33 | 23 | 25 | 24 | 20 | 21 | 21 |
| Germany | 15 | 21 | 18 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Greece | 8 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Greenland | 59 | 71 | 65 | 54 | 57 | 56 | 52 | 49 | 51 |
| Hungary | 12 | 13 | 13 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Iceland | 26 | 31 | 28 | 21 | 18 | 20 | 16 | 14 | 15 |
| Ireland | 81 | 87 | 84 | 79 | 84 | 81 | 57 | 69 | 63 |
| Italy | 10 | 13 | 11 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 8 |
| Kazakhstan | 9 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 7 |
| Latvia | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Lithuania | 22 | 10 | 16 | 16 | 9 | 12 | 10 | 5 | 7 |
| Luxembourg | 17 | 23 | 20 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 11 | 11 |
| Malta | 42 | 45 | 43 | 37 | 50 | 43 | 35 | 28 | 32 |
| Republic of Moldova | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Netherlands | 24 | 26 | 25 | 35 | 37 | 36 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| North Macedonia | 14 | 16 | 15 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Norway | 34 | 38 | 36 | 24 | 25 | 25 | 13 | 15 | 14 |
| Poland | 15 | 13 | 14 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 6 |
| Portugal | 10 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 5 |
| Romania | 35 | 34 | 35 | 25 | 29 | 27 | 19 | 20 | 19 |
| Russian Federation | 7 | 5 | 6 | 15 | 12 | 13 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Scotland | 75 | 81 | 78 | 73 | 75 | 74 | 57 | 63 | 60 |
| Serbia | 14 | 11 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| Slovakia | 20 | 19 | 20 | 15 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 13 | 15 |
| Slovenia | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| Spain | 9 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 7 |
| Sweden | 26 | 25 | 26 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 11 | 13 | 12 |
| Switzerland | 9 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 6 |
| Ukraine | 18 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 5 |
| Wales | 81 | 88 | 84 | 70 | 80 | 75 | 55 | 67 | 61 |
| HBSC average | 21 | 22 | 21 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 15 | 15 | 15 |

Note: no data were received from England (11-and 13-year olds).

ONLINE COMMUNICATION
INTENSIVE ELECTRONIC MEDIA COMMUNICATION
PREFERENCE FOR ONLINE COMMUNICATION PROBLEMATIC SOCIAL MEDIA USE INTENSIVE ELECTRONIC MEDIA COMMUNICATION: INDIVIDUAL FRIENDSHIP CATEGORIES

## INTENSIVE ELECTRONIC MEDIA COMMUNICATION

11-year-olds who report intensive electronic media communication



GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)

13-year-olds who report intensive
electronic media communication

MEASURE: young people were asked how often they had online contact with friends and others. Responses ranged from never or almost never to almost all the time throughout the day. Findings presented here show the proportion who are intensive users of electronic media to contact friends (those who responded they had contact almost all the time with at least one of the four friendship categories).
15-year-olds who report intensive

electronic media communication $\quad$| GiRLS $(\%)$ |
| :--- |
| BOYS $(\%)$ |



Prevalence by family affluence: intensive electronic media communication GIRLS (\%) $\stackrel{\text { LOW HIGH }}{\circ}$ by country/region and gender


## PREFERENCE FOR ONLINE COMMUNICATION



13-year-olds who have a strong
preference for online communication

MEASURE: young people were asked how much they agreed or disagreed with three statements on preference for online communication about secrets, inner feelings and concerns. Findings presented here show the proportions who have a strong preference for online communication (mean score of 4 or more out of 5).

## 15-year-olds who have a strong preference for online communication



GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)

Prevalence by family affluence: strong preference for online communication GIRLS (\%) $)^{\text {LOW HIGH }}$ by country/region and gender BOYS (\%)



13-year-olds who have
problematic social media use

hBSC AVERAGE (GENDER)
HBSC AVERAGE (TOTAL)

MEASURE: young people were asked a series of questions about whether social media use has negatively impacted on various aspects of their lives. Possible scores ranged from 0 (no negative impact) to 9 (high impact). Findings presented here are proportions classified as having problematic social media use (those who responded yes to at least six of the nine questions)

## 15-year-olds who have problematic social media use



| Prevalence by family affluence: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| problematic social media use by | GIRLS (\%) ${ }^{\text {Low }} \mathrm{O}$ HIGH |
| country/region and gender | BOYS $(\%)$ |



INTENSIVE ELECTRONIC MEDIA COMMUNICATION: INDIVIDUAL FRIENDSHIP CATEGORIES

MEASURE: young people were asked how often they had online contact with friends and others. Responses ranged from never or almost never to almost all the time throughout the day. Findings presented here show the proportion who had contact almost all the time with close friends. This indicator contributes to the combined indicator for intensive electronic communication presented earlier.

Intensive electronic communication with close friends

|  | 11-year-olds |  |  | 13-year-olds |  |  | 15-year-olds |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COUNTRY/REGION | BOYS | GIRLS | TOTAL | BOYS | GIRLS | TOTAL | BOYS | GIRLS | TOTAL |
| Albania | 35 | 28 | 32 | 40 | 35 | 37 | 45 | 43 | 44 |
| Azerbaijan | 14 | 14 | 14 | 17 | 13 | 15 | 18 | 17 | 18 |
| Austria | 19 | 19 | 19 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 27 | 34 | 30 |
| Armenia | 27 | 21 | 24 | 24 | 28 | 26 | 31 | 34 | 32 |
| Belgium (Flemish) | 26 | 26 | 26 | 36 | 48 | 42 | 39 | 47 | 43 |
| Belgium (French) | 29 | 24 | 26 | 36 | 33 | 34 | 33 | 39 | 36 |
| Bulgaria | 30 | 40 | 35 | 35 | 46 | 40 | 31 | 40 | 36 |
| Canada | 15 | 19 | 17 | 26 | 33 | 30 | 35 | 43 | 39 |
| Croatia | 13 | 16 | 14 | 19 | 34 | 26 | 26 | 43 | 34 |
| Czechia | 10 | 7 | 9 | 15 | 19 | 17 | 19 | 31 | 25 |
| Denmark | 23 | 19 | 21 | 28 | 34 | 31 | 37 | 37 | 37 |
| England | 20 | 25 | 22 | 24 | 35 | 30 | 32 | 37 | 35 |
| Estonia | 18 | 18 | 18 | 22 | 28 | 25 | 24 | 28 | 26 |
| Finland | 8 | 16 | 12 | 15 | 30 | 22 | 25 | 40 | 33 |
| France | 20 | 23 | 21 | 24 | 36 | 30 | 30 | 42 | 36 |
| Georgia | 11 | 11 | 11 | 16 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 27 | 22 |
| Germany | 20 | 19 | 19 | 15 | 22 | 19 | 22 | 29 | 25 |
| Greece | 20 | 17 | 18 | 25 | 36 | 30 | 32 | 41 | 36 |
| Hungary | 10 | 8 | 9 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 21 | 27 | 24 |
| Iceland | 15 | 10 | 13 | 28 | 35 | 32 | 39 | 50 | 45 |
| Ireland | 21 | 20 | 21 | 28 | 41 | 35 | 35 | 56 | 46 |
| Italy | 27 | 37 | 32 | 30 | 51 | 40 | 39 | 57 | 48 |
| Kazakhstan | 19 | 15 | 17 | 22 | 23 | 22 | 28 | 27 | 27 |
| Latvia | 9 | 9 | 9 | 17 | 24 | 21 | 19 | 32 | 25 |
| Lithuania | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 36 | 31 | 31 | 42 | 37 |
| Luxembourg | 16 | 14 | 15 | 25 | 32 | 28 | 29 | 39 | 34 |
| Malta | 27 | 30 | 28 | 26 | 44 | 35 | 32 | 44 | 38 |
| Netherlands | 8 | 9 | 8 | 17 | 29 | 23 | 25 | 41 | 33 |
| North Macedonia | 29 | 28 | 29 | 33 | 38 | 36 | 39 | 49 | 44 |
| Norway | 27 | 25 | 26 | 37 | 47 | 42 | 44 | 53 | 48 |
| Poland | 22 | 28 | 25 | 29 | 47 | 38 | 34 | 50 | 42 |
| Portugal | 24 | 23 | 23 | 28 | 37 | 33 | 30 | 43 | 36 |
| Republic of Moldova | 29 | 26 | 28 | 29 | 34 | 32 | 32 | 40 | 36 |
| Romania | 30 | 30 | 30 | 32 | 45 | 38 | 38 | 47 | 42 |
| Russian Federation | 20 | 26 | 23 | 26 | 33 | 29 | 28 | 34 | 31 |
| Scotland | 25 | 24 | 25 | 28 | 43 | 36 | 36 | 47 | 42 |
| Serbia | 32 | 33 | 33 | 35 | 50 | 42 | 43 | 58 | 50 |
| Slovenia | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 26 | 22 | 24 | 37 | 31 |
| Spain | 27 | 22 | 24 | 28 | 36 | 32 | 29 | 40 | 34 |
| Sweden | 21 | 21 | 21 | 38 | 49 | 44 | 42 | 53 | 48 |
| Ukraine | 24 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 30 | 27 | 24 | 31 | 28 |
| Wales | 24 | 26 | 25 | 27 | 41 | 34 | 32 | 42 | 37 |
| HBSC average | 21 | 21 | 21 | 26 | 34 | 30 | 31 | 40 | 36 |

Note: no data were received from Greenland, Slovakia and Switzerland.

MEASURE: young people were asked how often they had online contact with friends and others. Responses ranged from never or almost never to almost all the time throughout the day. Findings presented here show the proportion who had contact almost all the time with friends from a larger friendship group. This indicator contributes to the combined indicator for intensive electronic communication presented earlier.

Intensive electronic communication with larger friendship group

| COUNTRY/REGION | 11-year-olds |  |  | 13-year-olds |  |  | 15-year-olds |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BOYS | GIRLS | TOTAL | BOYS | GIRLS | TOTAL | BOYS | GIRLS | TOTAL |
| Albania | 22 | 12 | 17 | 26 | 11 | 19 | 20 | 14 | 17 |
| Azerbaijan | 9 | 8 | 8 | 17 | 9 | 13 | 10 | 9 | 10 |
| Austria | 13 | 13 | 13 | 16 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 14 |
| Armenia | 21 | 15 | 18 | 16 | 19 | 18 | 20 | 14 | 17 |
| Belgium (Flemish) | 18 | 15 | 16 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 13 | 14 | 13 |
| Belgium (French) | 21 | 15 | 18 | 23 | 11 | 17 | 11 | 10 | 11 |
| Bulgaria | 20 | 26 | 23 | 28 | 24 | 26 | 22 | 25 | 24 |
| Canada | 12 | 10 | 11 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 21 | 20 | 21 |
| Croatia | 9 | 8 | 9 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 15 |
| Czechia | 7 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 |
| Denmark | 17 | 9 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 21 | 11 | 16 |
| England | 12 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Estonia | 15 | 12 | 14 | 19 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 16 |
| Finland | 7 | 6 | 7 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 17 | 14 | 15 |
| France | 16 | 13 | 14 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 17 | 16 |
| Georgia | 10 | 9 | 9 | 12 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 13 | 12 |
| Germany | 14 | 11 | 12 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 7 |
| Greece | 14 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 10 |
| Hungary | 6 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Iceland | 12 | 6 | 9 | 19 | 15 | 17 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Ireland | 14 | 8 | 11 | 15 | 18 | 17 | 20 | 29 | 24 |
| Italy | 21 | 16 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 21 | 16 | 19 |
| Kazakhstan | 15 | 8 | 11 | 17 | 11 | 14 | 15 | 11 | 13 |
| Latvia | 6 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 5 |
| Lithuania | 16 | 11 | 13 | 16 | 13 | 15 | 16 | 12 | 14 |
| Luxembourg | 12 | 10 | 11 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 11 | 11 |
| Malta | 17 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 18 | 11 | 14 |
| Netherlands | 7 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| North Macedonia | 18 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 13 | 14 |
| Norway | 14 | 10 | 12 | 19 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 24 | 22 |
| Poland | 17 | 13 | 15 | 21 | 18 | 20 | 18 | 15 | 17 |
| Portugal | 19 | 14 | 17 | 19 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 16 |
| Republic of Moldova | 21 | 17 | 19 | 17 | 13 | 15 | 17 | 12 | 14 |
| Romania | 21 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 22 | 15 | 18 |
| Russian Federation | 17 | 21 | 19 | 18 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Scotland | 14 | 12 | 13 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 20 | 22 | 21 |
| Serbia | 19 | 14 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 21 | 16 | 19 |
| Slovenia | 12 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 10 |
| Spain | 25 | 18 | 21 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 22 | 24 | 23 |
| Sweden | 12 | 8 | 10 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 20 |
| Ukraine | 18 | 14 | 16 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 8 | 10 |
| Wales | 16 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 17 |
| HBSC average | 15 | 12 | 13 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 15 |

MEASURE: young people were asked how often they had online contact with friends and others.
Responses ranged from never or almost never to almost all the time throughout the day. Findings presented here show the proportion who had contact almost all the time with friends they got to know online but didn't know before. This indicator contributes to the combined indicator for intensive electronic communication presented earlier.

Intensive electronic communication with friends met online

| COUNTRY/REGION | 11-year-olds |  |  | 13-year-olds |  |  | 15-year-olds |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BOYS | GIRLS | TOTAL | BOYS | GIRLS | TOTAL | BOYS | GIRLS | TOTAL |
| Albania | 17 | 3 | 10 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 4 | 7 |
| Azerbaijan | 5 | 6 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 7 |
| Austria | 12 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 9 |
| Armenia | 14 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 7 | 9 | 14 | 9 | 12 |
| Belgium (Flemish) | 9 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| Belgium (French) | 18 | 13 | 16 | 17 | 11 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 11 |
| Bulgaria | 16 | 16 | 16 | 19 | 14 | 16 | 13 | 14 | 14 |
| Canada | 10 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 14 | 13 | 14 |
| Croatia | 7 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 9 |
| Czechia | 6 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Denmark | 19 | 7 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 11 |
| England | 6 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Estonia | 9 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| Finland | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 10 |
| France | 12 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Georgia | 6 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| Germany | 12 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 |
| Greece | 9 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 6 |
| Hungary | 7 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 8 |
| Iceland | 13 | 7 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Ireland | 7 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 9 |
| Italy | 10 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 8 |
| Kazakhstan | 13 | 5 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 8 |
| Latvia | 4 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 8 |
| Lithuania | 14 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 8 | 10 |
| Luxembourg | 12 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 11 |
| Malta | 8 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 9 |
| Netherlands | 3 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 8 |
| North Macedonia | 11 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 9 |
| Norway | 8 | 6 | 7 | 14 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 17 | 16 |
| Poland | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 16 | 13 | 12 | 17 | 14 |
| Portugal | 13 | 8 | 11 | 18 | 12 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 13 |
| Republic of Moldova | 17 | 11 | 14 | 13 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 7 | 9 |
| Romania | 14 | 6 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 7 | 8 |
| Russian Federation | 15 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 11 |
| Scotland | 9 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 13 | 11 | 12 |
| Serbia | 10 | 6 | 8 | 13 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 9 | 11 |
| Slovenia | 13 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 9 |
| Spain | 20 | 12 | 16 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 11 |
| Sweden | 11 | 6 | 8 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| Ukraine | 12 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Wales | 10 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 11 |
| HBSC average | 11 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 10 |

Note: no data were received from Greenland, Slovakia and Switzerland.

MEASURE: young people were asked how often they had online contact with friends and others. Responses ranged from never or almost never to almost all the time throughout the day. Findings presented here show the proportion who had contact almost all the time with people other than friends (such as parents, siblings, classmates, teachers). This indicator contributes to the combined indicator for intensive electronic communication presented earlier.

Intensive electronic communication with people other than friends

| COUNTRY/REGION | 11-year-olds |  |  | 13-year-olds |  |  | 15-year-olds |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BOYS | GIRLS | TOTAL | BOYS | GIRLS | TOTAL | BOYS | GIRLS | TOTAL |
| Albania | 40 | 35 | 38 | 34 | 31 | 33 | 31 | 33 | 32 |
| Azerbaijan | 12 | 14 | 13 | 17 | 12 | 14 | 18 | 14 | 16 |
| Austria | 21 | 17 | 19 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 15 |
| Armenia | 24 | 18 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 23 | 20 | 22 |
| Belgium (Flemish) | 20 | 17 | 18 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 14 | 19 | 17 |
| Belgium (French) | 26 | 23 | 25 | 30 | 27 | 28 | 24 | 19 | 21 |
| Bulgaria | 24 | 33 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 22 | 24 | 23 |
| Canada | 14 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 17 | 15 |
| Croatia | 13 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Czechia | 11 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 8 |
| Denmark | 18 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 16 | 15 |
| England | 17 | 13 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 11 | 14 | 13 |
| Estonia | 19 | 18 | 18 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 9 |
| Finland | 8 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| France | 21 | 21 | 21 | 19 | 23 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 21 |
| Georgia | 18 | 20 | 19 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 21 | 23 | 22 |
| Germany | 13 | 13 | 13 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 7 |
| Greece | 17 | 12 | 14 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 5 | 8 |
| Hungary | 12 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 |
| Iceland | 15 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 12 |
| Ireland | 14 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 23 | 19 | 15 | 21 | 18 |
| Italy | 25 | 24 | 24 | 17 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 15 | 16 |
| Kazakhstan | 21 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 19 | 20 | 23 | 22 | 23 |
| Latvia | 12 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 10 |
| Lithuania | 23 | 24 | 23 | 19 | 16 | 18 | 13 | 15 | 14 |
| Luxembourg | 16 | 13 | 15 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 16 |
| Malta | 22 | 22 | 22 | 17 | 15 | 16 | 20 | 14 | 17 |
| Republic of Moldova | 31 | 33 | 32 | 30 | 33 | 31 | 24 | 28 | 26 |
| Netherlands | 10 | 8 | 9 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 12 |
| North Macedonia | 30 | 29 | 29 | 26 | 24 | 25 | 23 | 25 | 24 |
| Norway | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 12 | 15 | 13 |
| Poland | 19 | 21 | 20 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 14 |
| Portugal | 26 | 21 | 24 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 20 | 25 | 23 |
| Romania | 33 | 29 | 31 | 25 | 27 | 26 | 22 | 25 | 23 |
| Russian Federation | 17 | 21 | 19 | 16 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Scotland | 22 | 16 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 15 | 17 |
| Serbia | 22 | 27 | 24 | 23 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 18 | 19 |
| Slovenia | 23 | 23 | 23 | 18 | 13 | 16 | 13 | 11 | 12 |
| Spain | 26 | 20 | 23 | 23 | 20 | 21 | 14 | 15 | 14 |
| Sweden | 16 | 16 | 16 | 20 | 24 | 22 | 19 | 22 | 20 |
| Ukraine | 22 | 24 | 23 | 19 | 21 | 20 | 15 | 18 | 17 |
| Wales | 19 | 18 | 19 | 13 | 17 | 15 | 12 | 15 | 14 |
| HBSC average | 20 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 16 |

MENTAL WELL-BEING

SELF-RATED HEALTH MEAN LIFE SATISFACTION MULTIPLE HEALTH COMPLAINTS

INDIVIDUAL HEALTH COMPLAINTS: HEADACHE

INDIVIDUAL HEALTH COMPLAINTS: STOMACH ACHE
INDIVIDUAL HEALTH COMPLAINTS: BACKACHE
INDIVIDUAL HEALTH COMPLAINTS: FEELING LOW

INDIVIDUAL HEALTH COMPLAINTS: FEELING IRRITABLE
INDIVIDUAL HEALTH COMPLAINTS: FEELING NERVOUS
INDIVIDUAL HEALTH COMPLAINTS:
SLEEP DIFFICULTIES
INDIVIDUAL HEALTH COMPLAINTS:
FEELING DIZZY

## SELF-RATED HEALTH

11-year-olds who rate
their health as excellent


SIGNIFICANT SIGNIFICANT (1)
CHANGE GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)

13-year-olds who rate
their health as excellent

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%) $\square$

8 $\begin{array}{ll}37 \\ 37 & 35 \\ 35\end{array}$ $-\quad 31$
$-\quad 49$ 39

44 | 34 |
| :--- |
| 53 | $\begin{array}{r}28 \\ 40 \\ \mathbf{4} \quad 19 \\ \hline\end{array}$ (4) 5 34

40
32 27
39 (1) 40 $\omega \sim \sim$ 28
41
24
$\begin{array}{r}44 \\ 20 \\ \hline 31\end{array}$

[^4]MEASURE: young people were asked to describe their health (Would you say your health is ...?). Response options were excellent, good, fair and poor. Findings presented here show the proportions reporting their health as excellent.


Prevalence by family affluence:
excellent health by country/region
and gender


## MEAN LIFE SATISFACTION





| 11-year-olds: mean life satisfaction | DIRECTION OF SIGNIFICANT <br> 2014-2018 <br> $8^{\prime}$ (L) |
| :---: | :---: |




[^5]MEASURE: young people were asked to rate their life satisfaction using a visual analogue scale. The Cantril ladder has 11 steps: the top indicates the best possible life and the bottom the worst. Respondents were asked to indicate the ladder step at which they would place their lives at present (from zero to 10). Mean life satisfaction is presented here.

| 15-year-olds: mean life satisfaction |  | GIRLS Boys | Mean life satisfaction by family affluence: shown by country/region and gender |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lsow High } \\ & \text { YS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kazakhstan |  | 20142018 <br> $\quad 8.1$ <br> 8 | England | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| Armenia |  |  | Latvia | $8-$ | 6.9 <br> 6.8 <br> 6.8 <br> 8.8 <br> 8.8 | \% |
| Romania |  | - 7.48 .8 | Estonia | $\bigcirc$ | 7, 7.988 .0 | - |
| Repubic of Moldova |  | 77 <br> 8.7 <br> 8.0 <br> 8.0 | Albania | $\bigcirc{ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }_{7}^{7.3} 88.5$ | $\Sigma$ |
| Serbia |  | こ $\begin{array}{r}76 \\ \hline 8.1\end{array}$ | Russian Federation | $8-$ | ${ }^{6} 7.98 .98$ | $\sum$ |
| Azerbaijan |  | $\bigcirc{ }^{79} 7$ | Germany | 88 | 7.188 .2 | F |
| North Macedonia |  |  | Georgia | $8-8$ | 7.5.58.5 | \% |
| Croatia |  | 87.274 .8 | Canada | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | ${ }_{7}^{6.5} 8.5$ | $\bar{\chi}$ |
| Spain |  | 887.574 | Republic of Moldova | 88 | ${ }_{7}^{7.6} 88.6$ | ด |
| Bulgaria |  | $\bigcirc{ }^{\text {® }} 7.675$ | Armenia | 88 | 7.9.88.8 |  |
| Georgia |  | $\bigcirc{ }^{7} 8$ | Malta | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| Albania |  | 7.8 7.65 7.6 7.6 | Hungary | ${ }^{\circ} \cdot$ | ${ }^{7.1} 8.8 .9$ |  |
| Finland |  | ${ }_{77}^{7.72}$ | Scotand | $8-8$ | 7,7, 7.9 |  |
| Belgium (Flemish) |  |  | Ukraine | 8 - | ${ }_{7.3}^{7.38 .0}$ |  |
| Norway |  | 7.3 7.9 7.7 | Luxembourg | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{7.0} 8.88$ |  |
| Denmark |  | 7.7 <br> 7.7 <br> 7.7 <br> 8 | Wales | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| Lithuania |  | 7.9 7.7 7.7 | Serbia | O-8 | ${ }_{7}^{7.9} 88.6$ |  |
| Greenland |  | 78 78.8 78 78 | keland | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | 7.0.4.8.8 |  |
| Slovenia |  | 7.1 7.81 7.8 | Bulgaria | $8-8$ | 7.5.48.2 |  |
| Czechia |  | $8^{66.8} 7.17$ | Sweden | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{7}^{6,9} 8.7 .7$ |  |
| Portugal |  |  | Greenland | $8 \bullet$ | 7.788 .4 |  |
| Germany |  |  | North Maceedonia | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{8}^{8,2} 88.8$ |  |
| Estonia |  | 7.0 <br> 7.61 <br> 7.6 | Austria | $0-8$ |  |  |
| Luxembourg |  | $\bigcirc{ }^{66.7} 7.7$ | Poland | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{7}^{6.4} 8.9 .5$ |  |
| Switzerland |  | ${ }_{\text {© }} 7.3878$ | Slovenia | $0 \cdot$ | 7.7.8.8.2 |  |
| Ukraine |  | ${ }_{7.4}^{7.2} 7$ | Craatia | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{7}^{7.9} 88.8$ |  |
| Slovakia |  |  | Ireland | $\bigcirc$ | 7.3 7.7 8.7 |  |
| Austria |  |  | Portugal | $\bigcirc \cdot$ | 7.6 7.6 8.9 8.9 |  |
| Netherlands |  | 77.780 | Czechia | $8 \cdot$ | 7.5 7.9 8.9 |  |
| France |  |  | Italy | $\bigcirc$ | 7.4.4.7.6 |  |
| Belgium (French) |  | ${ }_{7.5}^{6.9715}$ | Belgium (Flemish) | $\bigcirc$ | 7.3 7.6 8.9 8.9 |  |
| keland |  | © 07.878 | Lithuania | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }_{7}^{7.7} 8.8$ |  |
| Hungary |  | ${ }_{7}^{7.0} 7.78$ | Greece | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{7.5}^{6.9} 8.6$ |  |
| Italy |  |  | Romania | $8 \cdot$ | ${ }^{8.0} 8.08 .5$ |  |
| Sweden |  | $\bigcirc_{7.2}^{6.5} 7.4$ | Spain | $\bigcirc 8$ | 8.1.58.2 |  |
| England |  | $\bigcirc^{6} 9.5$ | Denmark | -8. | ${ }_{7}^{7.7} 8.7$ |  |
| Wales |  |  | Norway | $\bigcirc$ | 7.9.98.7 |  |
| Poland |  |  | Azerbaijan | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{8}^{8.7} 8.8$ |  |
| Greece |  | ${ }^{\text {© } 7.3} 7.78$ | Belgium (French) | -6 | 7.2 7.7 8.7 |  |
| Scotland |  | ${ }_{7}^{6.4} 7.98$ | Slovkia | $0^{8}$ | 7.7.7.7 |  |
| Canada |  |  | Finland | 8 | 7.8.8.9 7.9 |  |
| Latvia |  |  | France | 8 | 7.7. 7.7 |  |
| Russian Federation |  | ${ }_{7}^{6.8} 9.8$ | Netherlands | 0. | 7.4 7.8 8.7 8.7 |  |
| Ireland |  | ${ }_{7}^{68}{ }_{7}^{68} 9.1$ | Switzerland | $\bigcirc$ | 7.7 7.7 8.6 |  |
| Malta |  | © 0.8 .56 .5 | Kazakhstan | 8 | ${ }^{8} 8.58 .6$ |  |
| HBSC Average (GENDER) | - | 7.57 .2 | Hbscaverage (genore) | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{7}^{7.6} 8.8$ |  |
| HBSCavirage (Total |  | 7.37 .4 | Hiscaverage prealeince | - | 78 | 55 |

## MULTIPLE HEALTH COMPLAINTS

11-year-olds who report multiple health complaints more than once a week


13-year-olds who report multiple health complaints more than once a week

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)


[^6] and no significances are shown). No data were received from North Macedonia.

MEASURE: young people were asked how often they had experienced the following symptoms in the last six months: headache; stomach ache; backache; feeling low; feeling irritable or bad tempered; feeling nervous; difficulties in getting to sleep; and feeling dizzy. Response options for each symptom ranged from about every day to rarely or never. Findings presented here show the proportions with multiple (two or more) health complaints more than once a week in the last six months.

15-year-olds who report multiple health complaints more than once a week


Prevalence by family affluence:
multiple health complaints by country/region and gender

|  |  | Low | High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Azerbaijan | 8 | 27 26 | 38 34 |
| Georgia | $\bigcirc 0-0$ | 36 17 | 43 27 |
| Lithuania | - 0 | 39 20 | 40 21 |
| Norway | $0^{\circ}$ | 28 23 | 32 21 |
| Serbia | c 0 | 41 23 | 40 25 |
| Slovenia | - 0 | 35 21 | 36 21 |
| Armenia | $0^{\infty}$ | 40 | 42 35 |
| Republic of Moldova | 0 - | 45 32 | ${ }_{31}^{46}$ |
| Switzerland | $0 \quad 0$ | 41 23 | 41 23 |
| Greenland | - - 0 | 46 31 | 50 25 |
| Austria | - $\quad \infty$ | 39 25 | 36 25 |
| France |  | 53 35 | 51 34 |
| Albania | -0 0 | 43 30 | 42 26 |
| Kazakhstan | $0$ | 32 29 | 31 25 |
| Croatia | -- ${ }^{0}$ | 35 28 | 36 21 |
| Poland | 00 | 49 39 | 47 35 |
| Latvia | - -0 | 50 29 | 45 |
| Slovakia |  | 47 37 | 47 30 |
| Denmark | -0 - | 41 23 | 37 19 |
| Greece | - - 0 | 58 37 | 47 |
| Sweden | $\bigcirc$-0 | 56 31 | 50 29 |
| Ukraine | 0 -0 | 53 34 | 46 33 |
| Czechia | -0 0 | 48 32 | 45 25 |
| Bulgaria |  | 50 48 | 49 37 |
| Netherlands | $0 \cdot 0$ | 37 26 | 30 21 |
| Russian Federation | -0-0 | 44 30 | 37 25 |
| Estonia | -0 -0 | 52 3 | 45 27 |
| Italy | - 0 -0 | 67 47 | 62 39 |
| Portugal | - $0 \cdot 0$ | 41 27 | 37 18 |
| Spain | $00 \cdot 0$ | 38 21 | 30 16 |
| Belgium (Flemish) | - - 0 | 41 26 | 32 22 |
| Finland | - $0 \cdot 0$ | 45 29 | 38 22 |
| Hungary | -0-0 | 49 32 | 41 26 |
| Germany | - - 0 | 37 23 | 29 16 |
| Canada | - - - 0 | 48 28 | 41 19 |
| Romania | $0-0$ | 52 | ${ }_{32}$ |
| Iceland | $-0 \cdot 0$ | 48 34 | 40 24 |
| Belgium (French) | - 000 | 52 | ${ }_{29}^{44}$ |
| Ireland | - $0-0$ | 48 3 | 28 |
| Wales |  | 53 38 | 42 |
| Luxembourg | - 0 -0 | 51 37 | 41 23 |
| Malta | $00$ | 50 | ${ }_{36}^{48}$ |
| England | $0-0$ | 55 42 | 37 |
| Scotland | $000$ | 49 42 | 34 24 |
| HBSC AVERAGE (GENDER) | -0 -0 |  | 41 26 |
| HBSC AVERAGE PREVALENCE | - |  |  |

INDIVIDUAL HEALTH COMPLAINTS: HEADACHE

$\qquad$ CHANGE
$2014-2018$ (1)

20142018


13-year-olds who report
a headache more than once a week
$\begin{gathered}\text { DREGTIONOF } \\ \text { SIGNiCRANT }\end{gathered}$
(1)
GIRLS (\%)
BOYS (\%)


[^7] and no significances are shown).

MEASURE：young people were asked how often they had experienced a headache in the last six months．Response options ranged from about every day to rarely or never．Findings presented here show the proportions who reported experiencing a headache more than once a week．

| 15－year－olds who report a headache more than once a week |  |
| :---: | :---: |



Prevalence by family affluence： headache more than once a week by country／region and gender

|  |  | ${ }_{\text {Len }}^{\text {Low }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Has }}^{\text {Hiah }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Azerbaijan | $8-$ | 17 16 | 26 22 | $\Sigma$ |
| Lithuania | 0 | 19 | 23 | TT |
|  | 0 |  | 11 | $Z$ |
| Norway | $0^{\circ}$ | 12 4 | 13 | D |
| Greenland | 0 O－ | 27 | 32 | F |
|  |  | 17 | 15 | $\sum$ |
| Georgia | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 15 8 | 15 9 | $\underset{\square}{1}$ |
| Croatia | － 0 | 15 | 17 | $\Gamma$ |
| Finland | － | 23 | 22 | 0 |
|  |  | 14 | 14 | T1 |
| Kazakhstan | $0^{0}$ | 21 13 | 20 13 | $Z$ |
| North Macedonia | ${ }_{0}{ }^{\circ}$ | 14 |  | － |

INDIVIDUAL HEALTH COMPLAINTS: STOMACH ACHE


Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at p < 0.05); significant change between 2014 and 2018 (at p < 0.05 ) is denoted by an arrow indicating direction of change (averages for 2014 and 2018 are not directly comparable and no significances are shown).

MEASURE: young people were asked how often they had experienced a stomach ache in the last six months. Response options ranged from about every day to rarely or never. Findings presented here show the proportions who reported experiencing stomach ache more than once a week.


Prevalence by family affluence: $\begin{array}{ll}\text { stomach ache more than once a week } & \text { GIRLS (\%) } \\ \text { by country/region and gender } & \text { BOYS }(\%)\end{array}$

| Norway | ${ }^{+}$ |  | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Georgia | \% | $\begin{array}{lll}15 & 15 \\ 18 & 16\end{array}$ | $\underline{7}$ |
| Greenland | $0^{\infty}$ | 18 <br> 18 <br> 18 <br> 14 | - |
| Albania | 8 | ${ }_{5}{ }_{5} 9$ |  |
| Greece | 0 | ${ }_{7}^{10} 8$ | $\sum_{1}$ |
| Lithuania | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 9 4 4 | $\stackrel{\square}{T}$ |
| Romania | $8 \bullet$ | ${ }^{8} 812$ | \% |
| Switzeland | ${ }^{\infty}$ | 18 <br> 15 <br> 8 | Z |
| Austria | $0^{\circ}$ |  | ด |

INDIVIDUAL HEALTH COMPLAINTS:
BACKACHE



GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%) 20142018
 C $\begin{gathered}2014 \\ \text { - } 2018 \\ 13 \\ 13 \\ 19\end{gathered}$

13-year-olds who report backache more than once a week

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)



Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at p < 0.05 ); significant change between 2014 and 2018 (at p < 0.05 ) is denoted by an arrow indicating direction of change (averages for 2014 and 2018 are not directly comparable and no significances are shown).

MEASURE：young people were asked how often they had backache in the last six months．Response options ranged from about every day to rarely or never．Findings presented here show the proportions who reported experiencing backache more than once a week．

## 15－year－olds who report backache more than once a week

DIRECTION OF
SIGNIIICANT
CHANE，
2014－2018

GIRLS（\％） BOYS（\％）

Prevalence by family affluence： backache more than once a week by country／region and gender

INDIVIDUAL HEALTH COMPLAINTS: FEELING LOW

11-year-olds who report feeling low more than once a week $\qquad$
 $2014-2018$ (L) GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)
$\square 20142018$


13-year-olds who report feeling low more than once a week

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)

MEASURE：young people were asked how often they had experienced feeling low in the last six months．Response options ranged from about every day to rarely or never．Findings presented here show the proportions who reported feeling low more than once a week．

## 15－year－olds who report feeling

 low more than once a week

Prevalence by family affluence： $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Prevalence by family affluence：} & \\ \text { feeling low more than once a week } & \text { GIRLS（\％）}{ }^{\text {Low }} \text { HGH } \\ \text { by country／region and gender } & \text { BOYS }(\%)\end{array}$

| Georgia | $\bigcirc{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ |  | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Azerbajian | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 20 16 18 | \％ |
| Grece | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 298 <br> 18 <br> 18 | D |
| Ukraine | $\infty^{\circ}$ | 23 12 12 14 | $\Sigma$ |
| Kazakhstan | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 15 17 17 10 | $\sum$ |
| Poland | $\bigcirc$ | 29 <br> 17 <br> 18 | F |
| Switzerland | 0 － | ${ }^{25} 98$ | ¢ |
| Armenia |  | 32 <br> ${ }_{25}{ }^{32}$ <br> 18 | 2 |
| Slovakia | － |  | ด |

INDIVIDUAL HEALTH COMPLAINTS: FEELING IRRITABLE

11-year-olds who report feeling irritable more than once a week

DIRECTION OF
SIGNIFCCANT 2014-2018 (4) GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%) 20142018
 34
30
37
25
32

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)
irritable more than once a week ${ }_{2014}^{\mathrm{CAAOOEF}}$


[^8] and no significances are shown).

MEASURE：young people were asked how often they had felt irritable or bad tempered in the last six months．Response options ranged from about every day to rarely or never．Findings presented here show the proportions who reported feeling irritable more than once a week．



Prevalence by family affluence：



INDIVIDUAL HEALTH COMPLAINTS: FEELING NERVOUS

11-year-olds who report feeling nervous more than once a week


GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)

|  |
| :--- |
|  |



GIRLS (\%)
BOYS (\%)


Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at p < 0.05); significant change between 2014 and 2018 (at p < 0.05 ) is denoted by an arrow indicating direction of change (averages for 2014 and 2018 are not directly comparable and no significances are shown).

MEASURE：young people were asked how often they had experienced feeling nervous in the last six months．Response options ranged from about every day to rarely or never．Findings presented here show the proportions who reported feeling nervous more than once a week．

| 15－year－olds who report feeling |  | GIRLS（\％） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nervous more than once a |  | BOYS（\％） |



Prevalence by family affluence：



INDIVIDUAL HEALTH COMPLAINTS: SLEEP DIFFICULTIES

11-year-olds who report sleep
difficulties more than once a week
 GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)

Belgium (French) $\square$ © | 20142018 |
| :--- |



$\stackrel{0}{\infty}$
$\theta \Theta$
-


GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)


Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at p < 0.05); significant change between 2014 and 2018 (at p < 0.05 ) is denoted by an arrow indicating direction of change (averages for 2014 and 2018 are not directly comparable and no significances are shown). No data were received from North Macedonia.

MEASURE: young people were asked how often they had experienced difficulties in getting to sleep in the last six months. Response options ranged from about every day to rarely or never. Findings presented here show the proportions who reported experiencing difficulties getting to sleep more than once a week.


INDIVIDUAL HEALTH COMPLAINTS:
FEELING DIZZY

11-year-olds who report feeling dizzy more than once a week

$\square$
$\stackrel{\oplus}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}_{\infty}}$



DIRECTION OF
SIGNITANT
HANGE, GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%) 20142018


GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)


[^9]MEASURE: young people were asked how often they had felt dizzy in the last six months. Response options ranged from about every day to rarely or never. Findings presented here show the proportions who reported feeling dizzy more than once a week.


| Prevalence by family affluence: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| feeling dizzy more than once a week | GIRLS (\%) |
| by country/region and gender | BOYS $(\%) \bigcirc$ |

SEXUAL HEALTH
SEXUAL INTERCOURSE CONDOM USE AT LAST SEXUAL INTERCOURSE

CONTRACEPTIVE PILL USE AT LAST SEXUAL INTERCOURSE

USING NEITHER CONDOM NOR CONTRACEPTIVE PILL AT LAST
SEXUAL INTERCOURSE

MEASURE: 15-year-olds only were asked whether they had ever had sexual intercourse. The question was presented using colloquial terminology (such as "having sex") to ensure respondents understood it was about full penetrative sex. Findings presented here show the proportions who responded yes to having had sexual intercourse.

## 15-year-olds who have had sexual intercourse

Prevalence by family affluence:
15-year-olds who have had sexual intercourse by country/region and gender GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)


CONDOM USE AT LAST
SEXUAL INTERCOURSE

${ }^{2}$ Data are not presented for girls as numbers reporting having had sex were too low for a reliable estimate of prevalence. Note:
country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at $p<0.05$ ); ; significant change between 2014 and
2018 (at p < 0.05) is denoted by an arrow indicating direction of change (averages for 2014 and 2018 are not directly comparable and no significances are shown). No data were received from Azerbaijan, Norway and Switzerland.

MEASURE: 15-year-olds who have had sex were asked whether they or their partners used a condom at their last sexual intercourse. Findings presented here show the proportions who reported yes to this question.

Prevalence by family affluence: 15-year-olds who used a condom at last sexua | GIRLS (\%) |
| :---: |
| LOW |
| BOYS |
| HIGH | intercourse by country/region and gender BOYS (\%) ○ -

## CONTRACEPTIVE PILL USE AT LAST SEXUAL INTERCOURSE

MEASURE: 15-year-olds who have had sex were asked whether they or their partner used the contraceptive pill at their last sexual intercourse. The findings presented here show the proportions who reported that they or their partners used the contraceptive pill at their last sexual intercourse.

> DIRECTION OF
SIGNIFICANT CHANGE,
$2014-2018$

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)

Prevalence by family affluence: 15-year-olds who used the contraceptive pill at last sexual GIRLS (\%) intercourse by country/region and gender BOYS (\%)


15-year-olds who used the contraceptive pill at last sexual intercourse
 $\begin{array}{lc} & 2018 \\ 66 & 70 \\ 60 & 56\end{array}$

USING NEITHER CONDOM NOR CONTRACEPTIVE PILL AT LAST SEXUAL INTERCOURSE

MEASURE: 15-year-olds were asked whether they or their partner had used a condom or the contraceptive pill at their last sexual intercourse. The findings presented here show the proportions who reported that they or their partners used neither a condom nor the contraceptive pill at their last sexual intercourse.

15-year-olds who used neither a condom nor the contraceptive pill at last sexual intercourse

| COUNTRY/REGION | BOYS (\%) | GIRLS (\%) | TOTAL (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Malta | 43 | 61 | 52 |
| Republic of Moldova | 32 | 56 | 44 |
| Wales | 38 | 39 | 39 |
| Croatia | 35 | 41 | 38 |
| Slovakia | 34 | 42 | 38 |
| Lithuania | 29 | 38 | 33 |
| Bulgaria | 27 | 38 | 32 |
| Poland | 34 | 30 | 32 |
| Czechia | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Romania | 25 | 37 | 31 |
| North Macedonia | 29 | 32 | 31 |
| Scotland | 36 | 25 | 31 |
| Serbia | 27 | 34 | 31 |
| Ireland | 21 | 37 | 29 |
| France | 29 | 24 | 26 |
| Italy | 17 | 35 | 26 |
| England | 20 | 31 | 26 |
| Latvia | 21 | 28 | 25 |
| Belgium (French) | 20 | 28 | 24 |
| Portugal | 20 | 27 | 24 |
| Sweden | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Ukraine | 20 | 26 | 23 |
| Slovenia | 21 | 24 | 23 |
| Greece | 20 | 24 | 22 |
| Hungary | 11 | 32 | 21 |
| Finland | 22 | 18 | 20 |
| Estonia | 15 | 24 | 19 |
| Spain | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| Iceland | 17 | 19 | 18 |
| Canada | 16 | 17 | 17 |
| Russian Federation | 14 | 19 | 16 |
| Germany | 19 | 13 | 16 |
| Luxembourg | 15 | 17 | 16 |
| Austria | 8 | 21 | 15 |
| Belgium (Flemish) | 10 | 17 | 13 |
| Netherlands | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Denmark | 5 | 12 | 8 |
| Albania ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 22 | - | - |
| Armenia ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 38 | - | - |
| Georgia ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 32 | - | - |
| Greenland ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - | 41 | - |
| Kazakhstan ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 21 | - | - |
| HBSC average | 23 | 29 | 25 |

## ALCOHOL, TOBACCO

 AND CANNABIS USEALCOHOL CONSUMPTION: LIFETIME USE ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION: LAST 30 DAYS (CURRENT) USE DRUNKENNESS: LIFETIME DRUNKENNESS: LAST 30 DAYS CIGARETTE-SMOKING: LIFETIME USE CIGARETTE-SMOKING: LAST 30 DAYS (CURRENT) USE
CANNABIS USE: LIFETIME USE
CANNABIS USE: LAST 30 DAYS (CURRENT) USE

## ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION:

## LIFETIME USE

11-year-olds who have
ever drunk alcohol
$\qquad$ 2014AOMEE (4)

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%) 20142018

| 13-year-olds who have | $\begin{gathered} \text { DiRE } \\ \text { Sicicil } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| eve |  |

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)



[^10]and no significances are shown). No data were received from Azerbaijan, Finland and Norway (11-year-olds).

MEASURE: young people were asked on how many days they had drunk alcohol in their lifetime. Response options ranged from never to 30 or more days. Findings presented here show the proportions who had ever drunk alcohol.


## ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION:

LAST 30 DAYS (CURRENT) USE


13-year-olds who have


- GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%) ald

| 2014 | 2018 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 30 | 31 |
| 36 | 32 |
| 23 | 22 |
| 32 | 26 |
| 19 | 24 |
| (19 | 24 |
| 17 | 21 |
| (1) 33 | 25 |
| - | 17 |
| - | 27 |



[^11]$0 \quad 50$

MEASURE: young people were asked on how many occasions they had drunk alcohol in the last 30 days. Response options ranged from never to 30 or more days. Findings presented here show the proportions who had drunk alcohol in the last 30 days.

| 15-year-olds who have drunk alcohol in the last 30 days |  20142018 (1) BOYS (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |



Prevalence by family affluence:
drunk alcohol in the last 30 days
by country/region and gender


## DRUNKENNESS:

## LIFETIME

| 11-year-olds who have been drunk at least twice | DIRECTION OF SIGNIFICANT $\uparrow ~$ <br> CHANGE | GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |


| 13-year-olds who have been drunk at least twice | 2014 CANGE |
| :---: | :---: |



MEASURE: young people were asked whether they had ever had so much alcohol that they were really drunk. Response options ranged from never to more than 10 times. Findings presented here show the proportions who reported having been drunk twice or more in their lifetime.


Prevalence by family affluence:
drunk at least twice in lifetime
by country/region and gender

## DRUNKENNESS:

LAST 30 DAYS
11-year-olds who hav
been drunk in last 30
Bulgaria
Georgia
Armenia
Albania
Romania
Serbia
Republic of Moldova
Hungary
Ukraine
Lithuania
Kazakhstan
Russian Federation
Croatia
Wales
Slovakia
Slovenia

North Macedonia


|  | GIRLS (\%) |
| :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{2014}^{\text {ClAOOEF }}$ ( (4) | BOYS (\%) |

DIRECTION OF
SIGNIFICANT SIGNIFICANT
CHANGE,
2014-2018, 2014-2018 (L) GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%) been drunk in last 30 days


North Macedonia


Spain

Sweden

- ${ }_{1}^{2}$

Norway
Ireland
Kazakhstan
Azerbaijan
Luxembourg
|taly |
France
$\ominus \oplus$
$\omega \sim$

Greenland
Switzerland
Iceland
Netherlands
Austria
$\stackrel{\oplus}{\omega}$

HBSC AVERAGE (GENDER)
3
4
HBSC AVERAGE (TOTAL)

MEASURE: young people were asked on how many occasions in the last 30 days they had taken so much alcohol that they were really drunk. Response options ranged from never to more than 10 times. Findings presented here show the proportions who reported having been drunk on one or more occasion in the last 30 days.


## CIGARETTE-SMOKING:

## LIFETIME USE




GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)


MEASURE: young people were asked on how many days they had smoked cigarettes in their lifetime. Response options ranged from never to 30 or more days. Findings presented here show the proportions who had ever smoked a cigarette.


CIGARETTE-SMOKING:
LAST 30 DAYS (CURRENT) USE

11-year-olds who have
smoked in last 30 days
Bulgaria Lithuania
Portugal |
Slovenia
Czechia
Finland
Croatia
Canada
Italy

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Sweden } \\
\text { England } \\
\text { Greece } \\
\text { Austria } \\
\text { Switzerland } \\
\text { Denmark } \\
\text { Scotland } \\
\text { Netherlands } \\
\text { Belgium (Flemish) } \\
\text { Spain } \\
\text { Ireland } \\
\text { Germany } \\
\text { Malta }
\end{array}
$$

DIRECIINNOF
SIGNFICANT
CHANGE,
2014-2018

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%) 20142018

13-year-olds who have smoked in last 30 days DIRECTION OF
SIGNIFICANT SGNIFICANT (1)
CHANGE
2014-2018 $2014-2018$ (L) GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%) OTS

HBSC AVERAGE (GENDER)
hBSC AVERAGE (TOTAL)


MEASURE: young people were asked on how many days they had smoked cigarettes in the last 30 days. Response options ranged from never to 30 or more days. Findings presented here show the proportions who had smoked a cigarette at least once in the last 30 days.

## 15-year-olds who have smoked in last 30 days



Prevalence by family affluence: smoked in last 30 days by
country/region and gender


## CANNABIS USE:

LIFETIME USE


| 15-year-olds who have ever used cannabis |  |
| :---: | :---: |




15 -year-olds who have ever used cannabis by country/region and gender
GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)

MEASURE: 15 -year-olds only were asked how often they had used cannabis in their lifetimes. Findings presented here show the proportions who reported using cannabis on at least one day in their lives (lifetime use).


Note: bold indicates a significant difference in prevalence by family affluence group (at p $<0.05$ ). Low- and high-afluence groups represent the lowest $20 \%$ and highest $20 \%$ in each country/region. No data were received from Norway and Serbia.

## CANNABIS USE: <br> LAST 30 DAYS (CURRENT) USE

15-year-olds who have
used cannabis in the last 30 days

DIRECTION OF
SIGNIFICAN
CHANGE, 2014-2018 (L)

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)

MEASURE: 15-year-olds only were asked how often they had used cannabis during the last 30 days. Findings presented here show the proportions who reported using cannabis on at least one day in the last 30 days (recent use).

## Prevalence by family affluence:




BULLYING AND VIOLENCE
BULLYING: BEING BULLIED
BULLYING: BULLYING OTHERS
CYBERBULLYING: BEING BULLIED CYBERBULLYING: BULLYING OTHERS FIGHTING

## BULLYING:

BEING BULLIED

11-year-olds who have been bullied at school at least twice in the past couple of months

13-year-olds who have been bullied at school at least twice in the past couple of months

$\qquad$ GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)


Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at p < 0.05); significant change between 2014 and 2018 (at p < 0.05 ) is denoted by an arrow indicating direction of change (averages for 2014 and 2018 are not directly comparable and no significances are shown).

MEASURE: young people were asked how often they had been bullied by (an) other person(s) at school in the past couple of months. Response options ranged from zero to several times a week. Findings presented here show the proportions who reported being bullied at least two or three times in the past couple of months.

15-year-olds who have been bullied at school at least twice in the past couple of months


Prevalence by family affluence: been Prevalence by family affluence: been
bullied at school at least twice in past couple GIRLS (\%) ${ }^{\text {LOW H }} \mathrm{O}$ (low High

## BULLYING:

BULLYING OTHERS

11-year-olds who have
 GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)





Canada
Serbia
Belgium (French)
Czechia
Austria
Germany
Italy


13-year-olds who have bullied others at school at least
twice in the past couple of month twice in the past couple of months GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)


MEASURE: young people were asked how often they had taken part in bullying (an) other person(s) at school in the past couple of months. Response options ranged from zero to several times a week. Findings presented here show the proportions who reported bullying others at least two or three times in the past couple of months.

15-year-olds who have bullied others at school at least
twice in the past couple of months


Prevalence by family affluence: bullied others at school at least twice in past couple GIRLS (\%) ${ }^{\text {Low }}$ of months by country/region and gender BOYS (\%)


## CYBERBULLYING: <br> BEING BULLIED

11-year-olds who have been cyberbullied at least once in the past couple of months

13-year-olds who have been cyberbullied at least once in
the past couple of months


MEASURE: young people were asked whether they had experienced anyone sending mean instant messages, wall postings or emails, or someone positing or sharing photos or videos online without their permission. Findings presented here show the proportions who had experienced such incidents at least once in the past couple of months.

15-year-olds who have been
cyberbullied at least once in
the past couple of months


Prevalence by family affluence: been cyberbullied at least once in past couple

GIRLS (\%) ${ }^{\text {LOW }}$ BOYS (\%)



## CYBERBULLYING: <br> BULLYING OTHERS

11-year-olds who have cyberbullied others at least once in the past couple of months

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)

13-year-olds who have

| cyberbullied others at least once | GIRLS (\%) |
| :--- | :--- |
| in the past couple of months | BOYS (\%) |



MEASURE: young people were asked whether they had taken part in sending mean instant messages, wall postings or emails, or posting or sharing photos or videos online without permission. Findings presented here show the proportions who had perpetrated such incidents at least once in the past couple of months.

15-year-olds who have cyberbullied others at least once
in the past couple of months
$3 \quad B O Y 5(\%)$


Prevalence by family affluence: cyberbullied others at least once in past couple of
months by country/region and gender GIRLS (\%) ${ }^{\text {LOW }}$ BOYS (\%)


## FIGHTING

11-year-olds who have been


GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)

13-year-olds who have been
 three times in the past 12 months $\qquad$ GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)


12

[^12]MEASURE: young people were asked how many times in the past 12 months they had been involved in a physical fight. Response options ranged from none to four times or more. Findings presented here show the proportions who reported physical fighting three times or more in the past 12 months.

15-year-olds who have been involved in a physical fight at least three times in the past 12 months

ITRECTION OF
SIGNIFICANT CHANGE (1)


Prevalence by family affluence: involved in a physical fight at least three times in the past GIRLS (\%) ${ }^{\text {Lon }}$ 12 months by country/region and gender BOYS(\%)


INJURIES
MEDICALLY ATTENDED INJURIES

## MEDICALLY ATTENDED INJURIES

11-year-olds who report at least one medically attended injury in the last 12 months

DIRECTION OF
SIGNIFICANT CHANGE, (1)
$2014-2018$

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)


13-year-olds who report



[^13] and no significances are shown).

MEASURE: young people were asked how many times during the last 12 months they had been injured and needed to be treated by a doctor or nurse. Response options ranged from no injury to four times or more. Findings presented here show the proportions who reported having a medically attended injury at least once in the last 12 months.

15-year-olds who report at least one medically attended injury in the last 12 months

DIRECTION OF
SIGNIIICANT 2014 CAMGEF (4)

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)

Prevalence by family affluence: report at least one medically attended injury in the GIRLS (\%) $\stackrel{\text { Low MiGH }}{\circ}$ last 12 months by country/region and gender BOYS(\%) ○-



## SOCIAL WELL-BEING

FAMILY COMIMUNICATION:
EASY COMMUNICATION WITH MOTHER
FAMILY COMMUNICATION:
EASY COMMUNICATION WITH FATHER
FAMILY SUPPORT
PEER SUPPORT

## FAMILY COMMUNICATION:

EASY COMMUNICATION WITH MOTHER

11-year-olds who find it easy or very easy to talk to their mother
 ${ }^{2014} \mathbf{C l}$ GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)
-

13-year-olds who find it easy or very easy to talk to their mother , $2014-2018 \mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{HAGE}(1)}$ BOYS (\%)


Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at p < 0.05); significant change between 2014 and 2018 (at p < 0.05 ) is denoted by an arrow indicating direction of change (averages for 2014 and 2018 are not directly comparable and no significances are shown).

MEASURE: young people were asked how easy it is for them to talk to their mother about things that really bother them. Response options ranged from very easy to very difficult. Findings presented here show the proportions who reported finding it easy or very easy to talk to their mother.


Prevalence by family affluence: find it easy or very easy to talk to mother by country/region and gender

## FAMILY COMMUNICATION:

EASY COMMUNICATION WITH FATHER

11-year-olds who find it easy or very easy to talk to their father
 2014-2018 (4) GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)

13-year-olds who find it easy or
 GIRLS (\%) very easy to talk to their father 2014AOMOE (4) BOYS (\%)


[^14] and no significances are shown). No data were available for Malta.

MEASURE: young people were asked how easy it is for them to talk to their father about things that really bother them. Response options ranged from very easy to very difficult. Findings presented here show the proportions who reported finding it easy or very easy to talk to their father.


Prevalence by family affluence: find it easy or very easy to talk to father by country/region and gender

[^15]FAMILY SUPPORT

11-year-olds who report feeling high family support

 GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)

13-year-olds who report feeling high family support



MEASURE: young people were asked if they perceive that their family really tries to help them, that they can get emotional support from them when they need it, they can talk to their family about problems, and if the family is prepared to help them make decisions. Response options ranged from very strongly disagree to very strongly agree. Findings presented here show the proportions who scored 5.5 or more on the Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support, categorized as high perceived family support.


PEER SUPPORT

11-year-olds who report feeling high peer support

DIRECTION OF
SIGNIFICANT


GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)
 SP

13-year-olds who report
DIRECTION O
SIGNIFICAN
GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)


[^16]MEASURE: young people were asked if they perceive that their friends really try to help them, that they can count on them when things go wrong, if they had friends with whom they can share their sorrows and joys, and if they can talk to them about their problems. Response options ranged from very strongly disagree to very strongly agree. Findings presented here show the proportions reporting an average score of 5.5 or more (high social support) on the Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support.


SCHOOL EXPERIENCE
SCHOOL SATISFACTION (LIKING SCHOOL)
SCHOOLWORK PRESSURE STUDENT SUPPORT
TEACHER SUPPORT

## SCHOOL SATISFACTION (LIKING SCHOOL)

|  | 11-year-olds wh | ditction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ool a lot |  |




Note: country/region name in bold
and no significances are shown).

MEASURE：young people were asked how they feel about school at present．Response options ranged from I like it a lot to I don＇t like it at all．Findings presented here show the proportions who reported liking school a lot


## SCHOOLWORK PRESSURE

11-year-olds who feel
pressured by schoolwork

DIRECTION OF
SIGNIFICANT 2014 COIGE (L)

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%) 20142018


GIRLS (\%)
BOYS (\%)



Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at p < 0.05); significant change between 2014 and 2018 (at p < 0.05 ) is denoted by an arrow indicating direction of change (averages for 2014 and 2018 are not directly comparable and no significances are shown).

MEASURE：young people were asked how pressured they feel by the schoolwork they have to do．Response options ranged from not at all to a lot． Findings presented here show the proportions who reported feeling pressured by schoolwork some or a lot．


## STUDENT SUPPORT

11-year-olds who report feeling high classmate support

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)

13-year-olds who report feeling high classmate support



MEASURE：young people were asked how much they agreed or disagreed with three statements about their classmates，and their responses were combined into a mean score from 1 to 5 ．Findings presented here are the proportions of pupils who reported classmate support of 4 or higher．
15－year－olds who report
feeling high classmate support

\(\begin{array}{ll}Prevalence by family affluence： \& <br>

\)|  high classmate support by  |  GIRLS $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  country／region and gender  |  BGGH  | \& BOYS$(\%)\end{array}$

TEACHER SUPPORT

11-year-olds who report feeling high teacher support

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)

13-year-olds who report feeling high teacher support

GIRLS (\%) BOYS (\%)


Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at p $<0.05$ ). No data were received from Latvia.

MEASURE: young people were asked how much they agreed or disagreed with three statements about their teachers, and their responses were combined into a mean score from 1 to 5 . Findings presented here are the proportions of pupils who reported teacher support of 4 or higher.

## 15-year-olds who report feeling high teacher support



Prevalence by family affluence: high teacher support by country/region and gender

## FAMILY CONTEXT

FAMILY STRUCTURE
FAMILY AFFLUENCE ACCORDING TO FAMILY AFFLUENCE SCALE

PARENTAL EMPLOYMENT
IMMIGRANT STATUS

MEASURE: young people were asked about their family living arrangements and who they lived with most of the time. Findings presented here show the proportions who reported living primarily with both parents, within a step family, within a single-parent family or some other arrangement (for instance, a foster home or cared for by non-parental family member).

## Family structure: young people living in different family types

| COUNTRY/REGION | BOTH PARENTS (\%) | SINGLE PARENT (\%) | STEP FAMILY (\%) | OTHER (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albania | 90.8 | 6.5 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| Armenia | 89.8 | 9.3 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| North Macedonia | 88.3 | 9.3 | 1.8 | 0.5 |
| Georgia | 84.8 | 13.3 | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| Croatia | 83.0 | 11.2 | 4.5 | 1.2 |
| Greece | 81.2 | 13.9 | 4.5 | 0.4 |
| Slovenia | 80.5 | 12.5 | 5.3 | 1.7 |
| Italy | 79.4 | 14.1 | 5.1 | 1.5 |
| Spain | 78.5 | 14.4 | 5.3 | 1.8 |
| Switzerland | 78.1 | 11.5 | 9.8 | 0.7 |
| Poland | 77.7 | 15.8 | 5.2 | 1.3 |
| Netherlands | 77.6 | 13.3 | 8.2 | 0.9 |
| Bulgaria | 76.5 | 19.0 | 2.9 | 1.5 |
| Malta | 76.3 | 15.4 | 6.2 | 2.1 |
| Ireland | 75.6 | 16.7 | 5.8 | 1.8 |
| Serbia | 75.6 | 18.9 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| Slovakia | 74.2 | 15.9 | 7.4 | 2.5 |
| Finland | 73.8 | 12.3 | 12.5 | 1.4 |
| Denmark | 73.3 | 14.9 | 10.7 | 1.1 |
| Germany | 72.9 | 16.7 | 9.0 | 1.4 |
| Ukraine | 72.2 | 17.2 | 8.8 | 1.8 |
| Norway | 71.4 | 17.3 | 9.8 | 1.6 |
| Republic of Moldova | 71.1 | 19.7 | 5.1 | 4.1 |
| Sweden | 70.7 | 15.6 | 12.3 | 1.4 |
| Austria | 70.6 | 16.7 | 8.3 | 4.5 |
| Hungary | 70.3 | 16.7 | 11.5 | 1.4 |
| Portugal | 69.8 | 17.8 | 8.7 | 3.7 |
| Czechia | 69.7 | 16.8 | 11.3 | 2.2 |
| Kazakhstan | 69.5 | 26.0 | 3.9 | 0.6 |
| Iceland | 69.2 | 15.0 | 13.0 | 2.9 |
| Canada | 68.6 | 18.6 | 10.4 | 2.4 |
| Belgium (Flemish) | 68.5 | 19.5 | 9.9 | 2.1 |
| Russian Federation | 68.3 | 17.5 | 12.7 | 1.5 |
| France | 68.0 | 18.4 | 12.3 | 1.3 |
| Belgium (French) | 67.9 | 17.9 | 14.2 | 0.0 |
| Lithuania | 67.9 | 19.0 | 10.2 | 2.9 |
| Wales | 67.8 | 31.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| England | 66.9 | 22.1 | 8.7 | 2.3 |
| Estonia | 66.8 | 18.8 | 12.7 | 1.8 |
| Luxembourg | 66.3 | 21.6 | 8.6 | 3.5 |
| Scotland | 64.4 | 24.0 | 8.7 | 2.9 |
| Latvia | 62.1 | 23.5 | 11.8 | 2.6 |
| Romania | 61.6 | 29.8 | 4.5 | 4.2 |
| Greenland | 52.6 | 26.1 | 13.6 | 7.6 |

Note: no data were received from Azerbaijan.

## FAMILY AFFLUENCE ACCORDING TO FAMILY AFFLUENCE SCALE

MEASURE: country mean level of affluence is expressed through an index of the six-item Family Affluence Scale (FAS). The possible score ranges from 0 to 100, where the value of 100 is the maximum possible affluence score and 0 is the minimum possible affluence score. Findings presented here show the mean FAS index score for each country.

Composite score (all ages), by country and region

| COUNTRY/REGION | MEAN FAS INDEX SCORE (0-100) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Kazakhstan | 32 |
| Azerbaijan | 37 |
| Republic of Moldova | 39 |
| Georgia | 40 |
| Ukraine | 43 |
| Armenia ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 46 |
| Albania | 47 |
| Romania | 48 |
| Greenland | 49 |
| Russian Federation | 50 |
| Greece | 52 |
| Lithuania | 53 |
| North Macedonia | 55 |
| Latvia | 55 |
| Bulgaria | 56 |
| Serbia | 56 |
| Hungary | 56 |
| Croatia | 58 |
| Slovakia | 59 |
| Poland | 60 |
| Italy | 60 |
| Portugal | 62 |
| Czechia | 62 |
| Estonia | 63 |
| France | 65 |
| Belgium (French) | 66 |
| Spain | 66 |
| Malta | 66 |
| Finland | 67 |
| Netherlands | 69 |
| Scotland | 69 |
| England | 70 |
| Canada | 70 |
| Iceland | 71 |
| Ireland | 71 |
| Wales | 71 |
| Austria | 71 |
| Belgium (Flemish) | 71 |
| Germany | 72 |
| Sweden | 72 |
| Slovenia | 72 |
| Denmark | 74 |
| Switzerland | 75 |
| Norway | 76 |
| Luxembourg | 77 |

PARENTAL
EMPLOYMENT

MEASURE: young people were asked whether their mother and father were currently employed out of the home, not in employment but looking for work, or not in employment and not looking for work. Findings presented here show the proportions who lived in families with four different employment profiles.

## Parental employment

|  | BOTH PARENTS | FATHER ONLY | MOTHER ONLY | BOTH PARENTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| COUNTRY/REGION | EMPLOYED OR NOT | UNEMPLOYED | UNEMPLOYED | UNEMPLOYED |
|  | LOOKING FOR JOBS (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\left(\right.$ (LOOKING FOR JOB) $(\%)^{b}$ | $\left(\right.$ (LOOKING FOR JOB) $(\%)^{c}$ | $\left(\right.$ LOOKING FOR JOBS) $(\%)^{d}$ |


| Iceland | 98.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Czechia | 98.3 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| Belgium (Flemish) | 97.9 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.1 |
| Norway | 97.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| Germany | 97.8 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| Bulgaria | 97.7 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 0.1 |
| Hungary | 97.5 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.3 |
| Estonia | 97.3 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 0.1 |
| Russian Federation | 97.3 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 0.1 |
| Austria | 97.2 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.2 |
| Poland | 97.1 | 0.7 | 2.1 | 0.2 |
| Latvia | 97.0 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 0.2 |
| Sweden | 97.0 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 0.4 |
| Scotland | 96.8 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 0.2 |
| Finland | 96.7 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 0.2 |
| England | 96.7 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 0.4 |
| Netherlands | 96.5 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 0.2 |
| Luxembourg | 96.4 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 0.3 |
| Slovakia | 96.4 | 0.6 | 2.9 | 0.1 |
| Wales | 96.3 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 0.5 |
| Switzerland | 96.1 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 0.3 |
| Ireland | 95.6 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 0.4 |
| Ukraine | 95.5 | 1.3 | 2.8 | 0.5 |
| Croatia | 95.2 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 0.3 |
| Denmark | 95.2 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 0.3 |
| Lithuania | 95.2 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 0.3 |
| Slovenia | 95.2 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.2 |
| Spain | 94.7 | 1.2 | 3.7 | 0.4 |
| Portugal | 94.6 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 0.4 |
| Romania | 94.6 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 0.5 |
| Italy | 94.5 | 1.4 | 3.9 | 0.2 |
| France | 94.4 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 0.7 |
| Kazakhstan | 93.4 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 0.6 |
| Belgium (French) | 93.2 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 0.6 |
| Serbia | 93.1 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 1.0 |
| North Macedonia | 92.2 | 2.5 | 4.4 | 0.9 |
| Greece | 91.9 | 2.0 | 5.8 | 0.3 |
| Albania | 90.7 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 1.2 |
| Georgia | 90.5 | 2.6 | 4.9 | 2.1 |
| Republic of Moldova | 90.1 | 4.8 | 3.4 | 1.6 |
| Armenia | 87.7 | 3.2 | 7.2 | 2.0 |
| Azerbaijan | 84.0 | 3.8 | 9.8 | 2.5 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Both parents have a job or are not looking for a job. ${ }^{\text {b Father does not have a job and is looking for a job while mother has a job or is not looking for a job. 'Mother does not have a job and is looking for a job while father has a job or is not looking for a job. }}$ ${ }^{4}$ Both parents do not have a job and are looking for a job, or one of the parents is looking for a job while not seeing/having the other parent. Note: no data were available for Greenland and Malta.

IMMIGRANT
STATUS

MEASURE: young people were asked where both they and their parents were born. Findings presented here show the proportions of young people by immigrant status.

Immigrant status

| COUNTRY/REGION | NON-IMMIGRANT (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | FIRST GENERATION (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SECOND GENERATION (\%) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Luxembourg | 27.8 | 21.1 | 51.1 |
| Switzerland | 46.8 | 12.7 | 40.5 |
| Belgium (French) | 53.5 | 13.7 | 32.8 |
| Sweden | 63.4 | 11.4 | 25.2 |
| Ireland ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 67.2 | 10.5 | 22.3 |
| Germany | 68.1 | 6.7 | 25.2 |
| Austria | 69.7 | 8.8 | 21.5 |
| Malta | 74.2 | 8.1 | 17.7 |
| Portugal | 74.8 | 5.7 | 19.5 |
| Belgium (Flemish) | 75.1 | 7.6 | 17.3 |
| Norway | 75.3 | 7.5 | 17.2 |
| Greece | 75.4 | 3.2 | 21.4 |
| Netherlands | 77.2 | 3.7 | 19.1 |
| Denmark ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 78.8 | 4.4 | 16.8 |
| Spain | 79.5 | 5.3 | 15.2 |
| Iceland | 81.9 | 6.1 | 12.0 |
| Italy | 82.1 | 3.6 | 14.4 |
| Estonia | 83.2 | 2.0 | 14.8 |
| Russian Federation | 83.7 | 3.8 | 12.5 |
| Wales ${ }^{\dagger}$ | 85.3 | 5.2 | 9.5 |
| Scotland ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 86.2 | 5.7 | 8.1 |
| Czechia | 87.4 | 3.3 | 9.3 |
| Kazakhstan | 87.5 | 4.4 | 8.1 |
| Ukraine | 88.8 | 0.9 | 10.3 |
| Finland | 90.2 | 2.6 | 7.2 |
| Hungary | 92.8 | 1.1 | 6.1 |
| Croatia ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 94.3 | 0.8 | 4.9 |
| Republic of Moldova | 94.8 | 1.1 | 4.1 |
| Romania | 94.9 | 1.6 | 3.5 |
| Slovenia ${ }^{\text {9 }}$ | 95.1 | 1.1 | 3.8 |
| Albania | 95.8 | 2.3 | 1.9 |
| Azerbaijan | 96.2 | 1.3 | 2.5 |
| Bulgaria | 96.2 | 2.1 | 1.7 |
| Serbia ${ }^{9}$ | 96.4 | 0.7 | 2.9 |
| Poland | 98.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
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## Spotlight on adolescent health and well-being

Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC), a WHO collaborative cross-national study, has provided information about the health, well-being, social environment and health behaviour of 11-, 13- and 15-year-old boys and girls for over 30 years. The 2017/2018 survey report presents data from over 220000 young people in 45 countries and regions in Europe and Canada. The data focus on social context (relations with family, peers, school and online communication), health outcomes (subjective health, mental health, overweight and obesity, and injuries), health behaviours (patterns of eating, physical activity and toothbrushing) and risk behaviours (use of tobacco, alcohol and cannabis, sexual behaviour, fighting and bullying) relevant to young people's health and well-being. New items on electronic media communication and cyberbullying and a revised measure on family meals were introduced to the HBSC survey in 2017/2018 and measures of individual health complaints and underweight are also included for the first time in the international report. Volume 1 of the international report presents key findings from the 2017/2018 survey, and Volume 2 provides key data disaggregated by country/region, age, gender and family affluence.

## World Health Organization <br> Regional Office for Europe

UN City, Marmorvej 51, DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark
Tel: +4545337000 Fax: +4545337001
Email: eurocontact@who.int
Website: www.euro.who.int


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ All weblinks accessed 25 February 2020.

[^1]:    and no significances are shown).

[^2]:    Note: country
    Switzerland.

[^3]:    Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at p < 0.05); significant change between 2014 and 2018 (at p < 0.05 ) is denoted by an arrow indicating direction of change (averages for 2014 and 2018 are not directly comparable and no significances are shown).

[^4]:    Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at p < 0.05 ); significant change between 2014 and 2018 (at p < 0.05 ) is denoted by an arrow indicating direction of change (averages for 2014 and 2018 are not directly comparable and no significances are shown).

[^5]:    and no significances are shown).

[^6]:    Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at p < 0.05); significant change between 2014 and 2018 (at p < 0.05 ) is denoted by an arrow indicating direction of change (averages for 2014 and 2018 are not directly comparable

[^7]:    Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at p $<0.05$ ); significant change be

[^8]:    Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at p < 0.05); significant change between 2014 and 2018 (at p < 0.05 ) is denoted by an arrow indicating direction of change (averages for 2014 and 2018 are not directly comparable

[^9]:    and no significances are shown).

[^10]:    Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at p < 0.05 ); significant change ber

[^11]:    and no significances are shown) No data were received from Azerbaiian, Finland and $<0.05$ ); significant chater

[^12]:    and no significances are shown).

[^13]:    Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at p < 0.05); significant change between 2014 and 2018 (at p < 0.05 ) is denoted by an arrow indicating direction of change (averages for 2014 and 2018 are not directly comparable

[^14]:    Note: country/region name in bold indicates significant gender difference in 2018 (at p < 0.05); significant change between 2014 and 2018 (at p < 0.05 ) is denoted by an arrow indicating direction of change (averages for 2014 and 2018 are not directly comparable

[^15]:    Note: bold indicates a significant difference in prevalence by family affluence group (at $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ). Low- and high-affluence groups represent the lowest $20 \%$ and highest $20 \%$ in each country/region. No data were available for Malta.

[^16]:    and no significgion name in bold

