

# Literature screening report

# COVID-19 vaccines in the WHO's Emergency Use Listing (EUL) Report (14)

Report submission date:	28.02.2022
Responsible authors:	Sabina Rodriguez Velásquez* <sup>A,B</sup>
*Authors contributed equally	Camille Beatrice Gaza Valera* A,B
	Juliette Caroline Choiseul* <sup>A,B</sup>
Co-authors/	Muaamar Al Gobari <sup>B,C</sup>
collaborators:	Sara Botero-Mesa <sup>A,B</sup>
	Olivia Keiser <sup>A</sup>
Affiliation:	<sup>A</sup> Institute of Global Health, University of Geneva, Switzerland
	<sup>B</sup> Association Actions en Santé Publique (ASP) & The GRAPH Network,
	<sup>c</sup> Department of Occupational and Environmental Health, Center for
	Primary Care and Public Health (Unisanté), University of Lausanne,
	Epalinges-Lausanne, Switzerland.
	· ·
Coordination contact:	Jargan Bauwana (SSDU)

Coordination contact: Jorgen Bauwens (SSPH+)

## Abstract

This report provides an in-depth review of the **eight**<sup>1</sup> World Health Organization's (WHO) Emergency Use Listing (EUL) authorized vaccines: BNT162b2/COMIRNATY (Pfizer-BioNTech, USA), Spikevax/Moderna COVID-19 Vaccine/ mRNA-1273 (Moderna, USA), Vaxzevria/ ChAdOx1 nCoV-19/ AZD1222/ Covishield (AstraZeneca/Oxford, UK, India), Janssen Covid-19 vaccine/ Ad26CoV2.S/ Johnson & Johnson (Janssen, USA), Sinopharm/ BBIBP-CorV (China), Sinovac/ CoronaVac (China), COVAXIN/ BBV152 (Bharat Biotech, India), and Novavax/ NXV-CoV2373/ COVAVAX (USA, India)]. The current report summarises the latest data on COVID-19 vaccine-related literature as of 24 February 2022 and presents the information in the form of a synoptic table. This report covers vaccine effectiveness, protection against variants, transmissibility, breakthrough infections, booster doses, COVID-19 vaccines for children, and further important information for each vaccine.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Since the Covishield vaccine uses the same formulation and platform as Vaxzevria (AstraZeneca's COVID-19 vaccines), we combined both vaccines into one column in the synoptic table. Henceforth, seven vaccines will be referenced as WHO EUL approved (including Covishield)



A Foundation of Swiss Universities Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 1/197



# Content

Abstract	1
Content	2
Preamble	4
Background	4
Methodology	5
Results	5
The Omicron Variant (B.1.1.529)	5
Effectiveness and Breakthrough Infections	5
Transmissibility	7
Immunogenicity of Booster Doses	9
Booster Doses	10
Heterologous Boosters	10
Fourth Dose	10
Immunogenicity	11
Children Vaccination	14
Synoptic Table	17
EFFECTIVENESS AGAINST ANY SARS-COV-2 INFECTION	17
EFFECTIVENESS AGAINST VARIANTS	19
EFFECTIVENESS AGAINST HOSPITALIZATION	20
DURATION OF PROTECTION, TRANSMISSION & BREAKTHROUGH INFECTIONS	21
IMMUNOGENICITY	23
CHILDREN VACCINATION	25
BOOSTER DOSES	27
HETEROLOGOUS BOOSTER DOSES	
ANNEXES	
Full Synoptic Table	
GENERAL VACCINE INFORMATION	
EFFECTIVENESS AGAINST ANY SARS-COV-2 INFECTION	
EFFECTIVENESS AGAINST VARIANTS	54
EFFECTIVENESS AGAINST HOSPITALIZATION	66
DURATION OF PROTECTION & BREAKTHROUGH INFECTIONS	79
SAFETY AND ADVERSE EVENTS	114
CHILDREN VACCINATION	127
HETEROLOGOUS VACCINATION	138
BOOSTER DOSES	
HETEROLOGOUS BOOSTER DOSES	159





IMMUNOGENICITY	
EFFICACY	176
EFFICACY AGAINST VARIANTS	179
Phase III Trials Results	
PHASE III TRIALS RESULTS	
PHASE III TRIAL OTHER	
Vaccine Production Sites	
References	



A Foundation of Swiss Universities Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 3/197



## Preamble

A large number of scientific publications become available on a daily basis, reflecting the rapid development of knowledge and progress of science on COVID-19 related issues. Leading authorities should base decisions or policies on this knowledge; hence they need to master the actual state of this knowledge. Due to the large number of publications shared daily, decision makers heavily depend on accurate summaries of these publications, in the different public health domains. Therefore, the authors of this report were mandated by the Swiss School of Public Health plus (SSPH+), upon request of the Federal Office of Public Health (FOPH), to inform the FOPH on recent findings from the literature.

## Background

According to the current global data on vaccinations, 62.6% of the world populations, of which only 12.3% of people in low-income countries, have received at least one dose of a marketed COVID-19 vaccine as of 25 February 2022<sup>2</sup>. Currently, eight vaccines [namely, Comirnaty/BNT162b2 (Pfizer-BioNTech, USA), Spikevax/Moderna COVID-19 Vaccine/mRNA-1273 (Moderna, USA), Vaxzevria/ChAdOx1 nCoV-19/AZD1222/Covishield (AstraZeneca/Oxford, UK, India), Janssen Covid-19 vaccine/ Ad26CoV2.S/Johnson & Johnson (Janssen, USA), Sinopharm/BBIBP-CorV (China), Sinovac/CoronaVac (China), COVAXIN/BBV152 (Bharat Biotech, India), and Novavax/NXV-CoV2373/COVAVAX (USA, India)] were assessed and granted an authorization by WHO as of 18 February 2022<sup>3</sup>. Articles regarding the latest data on vaccine effectiveness, particularly against the omicron variant, vaccine induced immune response, breakthrough infections and transmission, booster doses, and children vaccination were prioritized during the literature search and are the latest additions to the table. The newest data from clinical trials and observational studies for the eight EUL-accepted vaccines regarding these highlighted topics were summarized and can be found in the synoptic table below. A full version of the synoptic table containing older data on the COVID-19 vaccines can be found under the Annex section.

https://extranet.who.int/pqweb/sites/default/files/documents/Status\_COVID\_VAX\_18February2022.pdf [Last updated 18 February 2022; Accessed 25 February 2022]



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 4/197

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://ourworldindata.org/covid-vaccinations (accessed on 25.02.2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Status of COVID-19 vaccines within WHO EUL/ PQ evaluation process. World Health Organization.



## Methodology

We screened the data for the EUL-accepted vaccines as of 24 February 2022 from PubMed, Embase, medRxiv, bioRxiv, Cochrane, and clinical trials databases such as ClinicalTrials and WHO Trial Registry. The methods used were reported previously and can be found in prior reports<sup>4</sup>.

### Results

### The Omicron Variant (B.1.1.529)

### Effectiveness and Breakthrough Infections

Omicron continues to be responsible for the majority of COVID-19 cases in numerous countries around the globe. While preliminary studies regarding the protective elements of vaccination effectiveness (VE) against Omicron have been congruent thus far (i.e.; less severe disease manifestations and decreased hospitalization rates as discussed in previous reports), knowledge gaps persist especially regarding the differences of VE against Omicron sub-lineages BA.1 and BA.2 and breakthrough infections.

As part of its national surveillance of the COVID-19 evolution, a test-negative case control study by the UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) was conducted to determine the VE against BA.2 symptomatic disease compared to the BA.1 sub-lineage. Between 27 December 2021 and 21 January 2022, early analysis combining all vaccines (BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, and ChAdOx1) showed that VE against BA.1 symptomatic infection was **9% (95% CI, 7.0-10.0)** approximately 25+ weeks after

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> COVID-19 vaccines: efficacy and safety (Literature Review 1). Swiss School of Public Health. https://www.bag.admin.ch/dam/bag/de/dokumente/mt/k-und-i/aktuelle-ausbrueche-pandemien/2019nCoV/Literaturrecherchen/literaturrecherchen\_covid-19impfstoffe\_20210209.pdf.download.pdf/20210209\_Literaturrecherchen\_Covid-19-Impfstoffe\_EN.pdf





completion of the primary vaccination doses.<sup>5</sup> Alternatively, VE against BA.2 symptomatic infection appeared to be slightly higher at **13% (95% CI, -26.0-40.0)** 25+ weeks after completion of the primary vaccination doses. <sup>6</sup> These early estimates also showed that pooled VE of available boosters in the UK was **63% (95% CI, 63.0-64.0)** against BA.1 and **70% (95% CI, 58.0-79.0)** against BA.2. <sup>7</sup> It should be noted that no statistical difference in VE against BA.1 and BA.2 infection was found — analyses will be repeated by the UKHSA. <sup>8</sup> Nevertheless, these current VE estimates against BA.1 and BA.2 sub-lineages give a small insight of the true situation as well as contribute to decisions concerning booster doses and potential relaxation of public health measures. Future analyses should also investigate VE of individual vaccines to determine which platforms offer the most protection.

With regard to breakthrough infections, a study was conducted in Houston, Texas utilizing genome sequenced specimens from 27 November 2021 through 05 January 2022 to investigate the disease character of Omicron. Findings largely support results from previous literature and demonstrated that individuals infected with the Omicron variant were significantly younger and had higher rates of breakthrough infections (BTIs), but also less likely to be hospitalized compared with individuals infected with Alpha or Delta variants.<sup>9</sup> Based on the CDC definition for breakthrough infections, researchers found that among 4468 patients with Omicron, **55.9% (2497/4468)** met

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/1050999/Technical-Briefing-35-28January2022.pdf

<sup>8</sup> SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern and variants under investigation in England Technical briefing 35. UK Health Security Agency.

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/1050999/Technical-Briefing-35-28January2022.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Signals of Significantly Increased Vaccine Breakthrough, Decreased Hospitalization Rates, and Less Severe Disease in Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 Caused by the Omicron Variant of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 in Houston, Texas. *The American Journal of Pathology*. <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S000294402200044X</u>



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern and variants under investigation in England Technical briefing 35. *UK Health Security Agency.* 

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/1050999/Technical-Briefing-35-28January2022.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern and variants under investigation in England Technical briefing 35. UK Health Security Agency.

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/1050999/Technical-Briefing-35-28January2022.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern and variants under investigation in England Technical briefing 35. *UK Health Security Agency.* 



the criteria for BTI.<sup>10</sup> Of patients who only received primary vaccinations meeting the BTI definition, 73% (1828/2497) received full doses of BNT162b2, 22% (553/2497) received a full dose of mRNA-1273, and 5% (115/2497) received the Janssen vaccine.<sup>11</sup> When considering other variants of concern, analyses also showed that there were significantly higher percentage of patients with Omicron-associated BTIs compared with Alpha and Delta infections at 55.9%, 3.2%, and 24.3%, respectively.<sup>12</sup> Further, among individuals who received a third dose of BNT162b2 or mRNA-12723 vaccines, the percentage of BTIs was lower at 15.9% among the total number of patients infected with Omicron.<sup>13</sup>

#### Transmissibility

As the Omicron variant fully overtakes Delta as the dominant variant of concern in Switzerland and most of Europe, new information has been published which offers further insight on the differences between the two variants. A study from the UK assessed differences in transmissibility between the Delta and Omicron variants by using contact tracing data. This analysis reaffirmed an increased transmission of Omicron which is displacing the Delta variant in England, and around the world as well. Secondary attack rates(SAR) for Omicron vs. Delta showed that SAR for Omicron was higher than Delta in both household and non-household settings. Omicron cases made up a higher proportion of index cases than Delta- 16.1% vs. 7.3%. Notably, household transmission was significantly less likely in cases of those who received

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Signals of Significantly Increased Vaccine Breakthrough, Decreased Hospitalization Rates, and Less Severe Disease in Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 Caused by the Omicron Variant of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 in Houston, Texas. The American Journal of Pathology. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S000294402200044X



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Signals of Significantly Increased Vaccine Breakthrough, Decreased Hospitalization Rates, and Less Severe Disease in Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 Caused by the Omicron Variant of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 in Houston, Texas. The American Journal of Pathology. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S000294402200044X

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Signals of Significantly Increased Vaccine Breakthrough, Decreased Hospitalization Rates, and Less Severe Disease in Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 Caused by the Omicron Variant of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 in Houston, Texas. The American Journal of Pathology. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S000294402200044X

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Signals of Significantly Increased Vaccine Breakthrough, Decreased Hospitalization Rates, and Less Severe Disease in Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 Caused by the Omicron Variant of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 in Houston, Texas. The American Journal of Pathology. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S000294402200044X



the booster vaccine than those who only received 2 doses. This effect was less beneficial in cases of Omicron transmission (aRR of **0.78/0.88** vs aRR of **0.62/0.68** for Delta). In non-household settings, 2 or 3-dose vaccination offered a similar reduction in transmission risk for Delta cases and contacts, but only for contacts of those with Omicron. This study offers more insight into how vaccination/booster status can affect transmission dynamics even under conditions of reduced VE.<sup>14</sup>

Much has been said regarding the increased transmissibility of the Omicron variant. Current studies which focus on the distinction between the BA.1 and BA.2 sublineages can offer more specific information on the dynamics of these two lineages and how they function in large populations. To investigate natural immunity against BA.2 conferred by previous BA.1 infection, a study from Denmark used a pool of more than 1.8 million cases to examine re-infection rates in its population. Individuals who had 2 positive sera samples within a period of 20 days to 2 months were selected. From a total of 187 eligible re-infection cases, there were 47 instances of a BA.2 reinfection after BA.1 infection. These 47 cases offered more insight as to the vaccination status of this group- 42 of them were not vaccinated (89%), 3 of them were vaccinated twice (6%), and 2 people (4%) only received one dose of a vaccine. Additionally, in this group reinfection cases were all mild, with no need for hospitalization. Strikingly, reinfection appeared to be more common in younger age groups. This study reaffirms the importance of vaccination even under conditions of reduced effectiveness- un-vaccinated people appear to be more vulnerable to reinfection from alternative variants of Omicron, suggesting that the boost in immunity from vaccination is helpful and prevents morbidity from COVID-19.15

Though real-time data regarding Omicron transmission is readily available, there is a potential for added value using models for transmission, which are able to factor in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Occurrence and significance of Omicron BA.1 infection followed by BA.2 reinfection. *medRxiv*. <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.02.19.22271112v1</u>



A Foundation of Swiss Universities Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 8/197

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Comparative transmission of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron (B.1.1.529) and Delta (B.1.617.2) variants and the impact of vaccination: national cohort study, England. *medRxiv*. <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.02.15.22271001v1</u>



many parameters regarding vaccination rates. A stochastic modeling project from New Zealand simulated the spread of COVID-19, factoring in unvaccinated, vaccinated and boosted people to model potential for the start of local outbreaks. The model was applied to both the Delta and Omicron variants. This model output showed that regarding the Delta variant, a vaccinated traveler (not in quarantine) infected with COVID-19 was **9 times less** likely to seed an outbreak than an unvaccinated traveler with COVID-19, but this effect was not seen with the Omicron variant. This model showed that under conditions where Delta is dominant, unvaccinated people were responsible for **87%** of all infections. However, under the Omicron variant, this number dropped to **45%**, with vaccinated people making up **39%**, and boosted people being responsible for **15%**. However, it was shown that only **3%** of infections occurred between 2 people who were both boosted, suggesting that high rates of booster administration can help limit Omicron outbreaks.<sup>16</sup>

#### Immunogenicity of Booster Doses

Considering globally high rates of Omicron transmission, a study of blood donors in New York City who had either two or three doses of an mRNA vaccine (Pfizer or Moderna) compared neutralizing antibody titers for people who had received 2 or 3 doses and had experienced breakthrough infection, looking at the effect against several variants of concern, including Omicron. The study compared 2-dose vaccinated participants who experienced a breakthrough infection with 2-dose vaccinated participants who remained un-infected. Findings showed that 2-dose vaccinated participants with breakthrough Omicron infections had median plasma titers that were **4.2** times greater against the Wuhan-hu-1 variant, **7.4** times greater against the Delta variant, and **161.5** times greater against the Omicron variant. Additionally, participants with omicron breakthrough had neutralizing titers that were **2.9**-times higher when compared to boosted participants. This study asserts that Omicron breakthrough infection is more powerful in increasing neutralizing antibody

https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.11.28.21266967v1



A Foundation of Swiss Universities Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | g/197

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Likelihood of infecting or getting infected with COVID-19 as a function of vaccination status, as investigated with a stochastic model for New Zealand (Aotearoa) for Delta and Omicron variants. *medRxiv*. https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.11.28.21265067v1



titers against Omicron than vaccination, but this effect was seen to be reduced in individuals who had received a third dose of vaccination.<sup>17</sup>

#### **Booster Doses**

#### Heterologous Boosters

A test-negative design study aiming to evaluate the effectiveness of the BNT162b2 vaccine as a booster dose in individuals vaccinated with two doses of CoronaVac against SARS-CoV-2 infections and severe outcomes found that the mRNA booster dose increased the protection against SARS-CoV-2 infections. Based on the results, a BNT162b2 booster, 6 months after the second dose of CoronaVac, improved the vaccine effectiveness against infection to **92.7% (95% CI: 91.0–94.0)** and **97.3% (95% CI: 96.1–98.1)** against severe outcomes 14 to 30 days after the booster. Compared with younger age groups, individuals 80 years of age or older had lower protection after the second dose but similar protection after the booster. Overall, the study supports a BNT162b2 booster vaccine dose after two doses of CoronaVac, particularly for the elderly.

#### Fourth Dose

With the new emerging evidence that the immunogenicity of boosted-individuals is waning over time, some countries and scientists have decided to administer and test the safety, immunogenicity, and effectiveness of a fourth COVID-19 vaccine dose. Although a fourth dose appeared to lower the confirmed rate of infection and severe illness and increased the neutralizing capacity against the Omicron variant, the duration of protection of the fourth dose remained poorly known, especially against variants of concerns such as Omicron. An open-label, clinical intervention trial investigating the immunogenicity, efficacy, and safety of BNT162b2 or mRNA1273 fourth dose against Omicron in health care workers found that a fourth COVID-19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> SARS-CoV-2 neutralization after mRNA vaccination and variant breakthrough infection. *medRxiv* <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.02.09.22270692v1</u>



A Foundation of Swiss Universities Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 10/197



mRNA dose restored antibody titers to the peak of post-third dose titers.<sup>18</sup> Based on the results, recipients of both vaccine types had a **9- to 10-fold increase** in IgG and neutralizing titers within 2 weeks of their fourth dose and an **8-fold increase** in live Omicron neutralization. Another study analysing the administration of a fourth SinoPharm dose in individuals previously vaccinated with three homologous doses demonstrated that the fourth dose was safe and capable of recalling waned immunity responses 6 months after the third dose; however, the peak RBD-NAbs level induced by the 4<sup>th</sup> dose was inferior to the peak of the 3<sup>rd</sup> dose.<sup>19</sup> Overall, a fourth dose of any COVID-19 vaccine appears to increase the immune response up to the previously attained levels while it appeared safe and tolerable in individuals; nevertheless, further studies evaluating the dynamics of the immune response granted by fourth doses are needed.

#### Immunogenicity

A study of vaccinated healthcare workers (HCW) in Germany analysed their sera samples in order to examine anti-SARS-CoV-2 specific antibodies and t-cell responses over time, with a secondary goal of establishing a potential correlate of protection for quantifying immune responses to SARS-CoV-2 vaccines. This analysis showed that on average, younger people had more robust immune responses to the vaccines -in this case, Pfizer, Moderna or AstraZeneca- immediately from receipt of the vaccine. However, over time, antibody titres dropped steadily for all age and demographic groups at an almost linear rate. At 200 days after receipt of a second dose, neutralization capacity had dropped from over **90%** to almost **40%**. This study suggested that a **75%** neutralizing capability and a T-cell (interferon-gamma) response above **200 mIU/mI** should be considered as a threshold for protection.<sup>20</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Immune responses after twofold SARS-CoV-2 immunisation in elderly residents and Health Care Workers in nursing homes and homes with assisted living support - Proposal for a correlate of protection. *medRxiv*. <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.02.09.22270747v1</u>



A Foundation of Swiss Universities Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 11/197

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> 4th dose COVID-19 mRNA Vaccines' Immunogenicity & Efficacy Against Omicron VOC. *medRxiv*. <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.02.15.22270948v1</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Fourth doses of inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine redistribute humoral immune response away from the Receptor Binding Domai. *medRxiv*. <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.02.19.22271215v1.full-text</u>



Another study investigated the dynamics of different immunological markers in health care workers over time after receiving the BNT162b2 (Pfizer/Comirnaty) vaccine. The study looked at anti-RBD IgG, anti-spike trimeric IgG, and neutralizing antibody responses up to 6 months after vaccination. The study showed that immune response in this sample peaked at 2 weeks. Anti-RBD IgG levels began to decrease and showed a decrease of 4.5-fold by 3 months, and 13-fold by 6 months. Using another metric, the antri-Trimeric S IgG titers showed a less pronounced, but still significant, decrease of 2.8 and 4.7 fold at 3 and 6 months, respectively. Interestingly, neutralizing antibody titers (Nabs) did not show a steep decrease over time.<sup>21</sup>

A cross-sectional comparative study from Serbia aimed to measure anti-S antibody levels in individuals vaccinated with 3 different SARS-Cov-2 vaccines, including he BNT162b2 and BBIBP-CorV vaccines. The study also measured anti-S Ab levels in convalescent sera samples. The highest mean antibody levels were found in the BNT162b2 group, followed by Gam-COVID-Vac (not covered in this report) and BBIBP-CorV. Seropositivity in the convalescent group was seen to be **81%**, whereas it was **83%** for the BBIBP-CorV vaccinated individuals and 100% in BNT162b2 vaccinated individuals.<sup>22</sup>

A prospective longitudinal observational study of healthcare workers gathered data on cross neutralization against the Delta variant (B.1.617.2). Over an 8-month period, anti-S IgG antibodies were seen to decrease significantly from a high of **147 (102-298)**, to a low of **97 (96-98)**. Similar trends were seen for surrogate neutralizing antibodies and anti-RBD antibodies. However, neutralization against Delta appeared to be conserved over time, as 50/53 (**94%**) of participants had detectable neutralizing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Immunogenicity of BNT162b2, BBIBP-CorV and Gam-COVID-Vac vaccines and immunity after natural SARS-CoV-2 infection-A comparative study from Novi Sad, Serbia. *PloS one.* <u>https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0263468</u>



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 12/197

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Differential Dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 Binding and Functional Antibodies upon BNT162b2 Vaccine: A 6-Month Follow-Up. Viruses. <u>https://www.mdpi.com/1999-4915/14/2/312</u>



ability at 8 months after their first dose. This confirms the existing data about trends of humoral immunity and neutralizing activity against SARS-CoV-2 over time.<sup>23</sup>

A study from Argentina analyzed the SARS-CoV-2 specific humoral response of BBIBP-CorV vaccinated healthcare workers with or without exposure to SARS-CoV-2 infection. The study examined antibody titers over time, up to 3 months post second-dose of vaccination. Results from this study showed that participants who were exposed to SARS-CoV-2 before vaccination showed a significantly stronger immune response, in the form of high anti-spike IgG antibody levels, than those not exposed to SARS-CoV-2. This effect was seen to be independent of time. Additionally, a single dose of the BBIBP-CorV vaccine was seen to induce higher antibody titers than 2 doses did in those who were naïve to the pathogen. After 3 months, both groups showed a decline in antibody levels.<sup>24</sup>

A study used an international SARS-CoV-2 antibody standard to compare the nAbs of a vector-based vaccine, an mRNA vaccine, and a protein-based vaccine. The vaccines used which are of interest for this report are NVX-CoV2373, Comirnaty (Pfizer) and Vaxzevria. Samples were collected from vaccinated individuals 2 weeks to a month after receiving the vaccine. Results showed that Comirnaty elicited the strongest neutralizing antibody titer, followed by NVX-CoV2373, and then Vaxzevria. Statistical analysis showed that Comirnaty and NVX-CoV2373 had comparable mean nAB levels.<sup>25</sup>

A study of vaccinated people in Libya aimed to evaluate levels of antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 and their persistence, both post vaccination and post infection. The study sample included people vaccinated with AstraZeneca, Sputnik, Sinovac, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Calibrated comparison of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody levels in response to protein-, mRNA-, and vector-based COVID-19 vaccines. *NPJ Vaccines*. <u>https://www.nature.com/articles/s41541-022-00455-3</u>



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Neutralizing antibody activity against the B.1.617.2 (delta) variant 8 months after two-dose vaccination with BNT162b2 in health care workers. *Clinical microbiology and infection*. <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35124261/</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Humoral response to the BBIBP-CorV vaccine over time in healthcare workers with or without exposure to SARS-CoV-2. *Molecular immunology*. <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35091231/</u>



Sinopharm. Out of a total 9460 seropositive individuals included in the study, 65.6% were vaccinated. Notably, a total of 38.3% of people were seropositive without reporting a previous infection, suggesting a high level of asymptomatic infection. Over 21 weeks of surveillance, the dynamics of the levels of antibodies in this sample were tracked. From 1 week to 11 weeks after vaccination, those vaccinated with AstraZeneca showed a higher titer than those vaccinated with Sinopharm and Sinovac. Titers from those vaccinated with AstraZeneca showed a peak at 7 weeks post-vaccination, with gradual decline after. This differed in those vaccinated with Sinovac and Sinopharm, as the IgG levels in these samples continued to rise until week 15. At this point, all vaccines surveyed in the study showed similar titers. Ultimately, the rate of decline was most noticeable in AstraZeneca and Sinopharm. However, it is worth noting that this study was mainly able to gather information about immune response to a single dose of a vaccine, which ultimately reduces the relevance of this study the efficacy of single doses has proven to be limited.<sup>26</sup>

#### **Children Vaccination**

The most recent updates on literature on child vaccination are mainly concerning three areas: vaccine effectiveness against Omicron, suitability of non-mRNA vaccine candidates for children and adolescents, and assessment of myocarditis risk.

A study from the UK used a test-negative case control study design to analyze national SARS-CoV-2 testing, hospitalization, and vaccination data to estimate VE of BNT162b2 against PCR-confirmed COVID-19. BNT162b2 vaccination in 12–17-year-olds was seen to be associated with reduced vaccine effectiveness against symptomatic COVID-19 caused by Omicron as compared to Delta. Overall, the data shows a rapid increase in vaccine effectiveness against symptomatic COVID-19 after receipt of a second dose for both Delta and Omicron, although this protection level declines to as little as **23%** against Omicron after an extended amount of time. This study also showed that robust protected against hospitalization due to the Delta variant

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies after recovery from COVID-19 or vaccination in Libyan population: comparison of four vaccines. *medRxiv*. <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.02.18.22271130v1.article-info</u>



A Foundation of Swiss Universities Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 14/197



was offered by even one dose. This study reaffirms what we know so far about vaccine effectiveness in children against Omicron, which is that children and adolescents are susceptible to the same kind of waning immunity and reduced protection against Omicron as adults.<sup>27</sup>

An interim report from a phase 3 clinical trial of CoronaVac among children and adolescents in Chile reported safety and immunogenicity data. These preliminary results showed low levels of adverse events, with the primary adverse reaction reported being mild and local pain at the injection site. No age group showed rates of systemic reactions at higher than **2.2%**. Additionally, adolescents appeared to have marginally higher rates of adverse events than children aged 3-11. 4 weeks post-vaccination, significant increases in total and neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 were observed, and significant neutralizing capacity was observed in plasma from the 3-11 age group and the 12-17 age group. Significant T-cell activation was also observed 4 weeks after the second dose. Notably, a reduced neutralization response was observed against the Delta and Omicron variants, as compared to the D614G variant. This study concluded that the CoronaVac vaccine is safe for children and adolescents, and immunogenic enough that it would likely confer protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection.<sup>28</sup>

A self-controlled case series study in Italy was designed to investigate the associations between SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccines and myocarditis in people aged 12-39. During a 9-month study period, **441** participants out of a study cohort of almost 3 million vaccinated people were seen to develop myocarditis or pericarditis. Focusing on child and adolescent age groups within the study, those from age 12-17 had an increased risk of myocarditis between 0-7 days post-vaccination with the BNT162b2 vaccine. There were not enough events occurring under the mRNA-1273 vaccine to make

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> An inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine is safe and induces humoral and cellular immunity against virus variants in healthy children and adolescents in Chile. *medRxiv*. <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.02.15.22270973v1</u>



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Adolescent vaccination with BNT162b2 (Comirnaty, Pfizer-BioNTech) vaccine and effectiveness against COVID-19: national test-negative case-control study, England. *medRxiv*. <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.12.10.21267408v1</u>



statistically significant associations for the 12-17 age group. However, in the 18-29 age group, an increased risk of myocarditis or pericarditis was seen between 0-7 days of receipt of a first or second dose of mRNA-1273. Predictably, this study found that there was an association between youth, being male, and getting myocarditis/pericarditis after vaccination. It also found that the risk increased after the second dose.<sup>29</sup>

A study in the US was conducted to create a risk benefit analysis to weigh the benefits of one or two doses of vaccine against the risk of myocarditis among a stratified youth population. The total number of cases of either myocarditis or pericarditis was **253**. **86.9%** of these cases were hospitalized. Incidence rates per million after two doses in males aged 12-15 was **162.2** while for males aged 16-17 it was **93**. This study makes the somewhat uncommon assertion that after conducting a risk-benefit analysis weighing myocarditis risk against COVID-19 hospitalization due to the Delta variant, the 2-dose vaccine schedule was not universally favorable. The authors of this study suggest the promotion of a more individualized vaccine schedule for children and adolescents, particularly those more at risk of myocarditis.<sup>30</sup>

Further (biweekly) updated data on the eight WHO EUL vaccines are synthesized in the synoptic table.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Vaccine-Associated Myo/Pericarditis in Adolescents: A Stratified Risk-Benefit Analysis. Eur J Clin Invest. <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35156705/</u>



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Post-marketing active surveillance of myocarditis and pericarditis following vaccination with COVID-19 mRNA vaccines in persons aged 12-39 years in Italy: a multi-database, self-controlled case series study. *medRxiv*. <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.02.07.22270020v1</u>

## Synoptic Table

Synoptic table about SARS-CoV-2 vaccines accepted in the WHO's Emergency Use Listing containing ONLY the newest information (as of 24 February 2022)

	BNT162b2/ COMIRNATY (Pfizer-BioNTech, USA)	Spikevax/ Moderna COVID- 19 Vaccine/ mRNA-1273 (Moderna, USA)	Vaxzevria/ ChAdOx1 nCoV- 19/ AZD1222/ Covishield (AstraZeneca/Oxf ord, UK, India)	Janssen COVID- 19 vaccine/Johnson & Johnson (Janssen, USA)	BBIBP-CorV/ Covilo (Sinopharm, China)	CoronaVac (Sinovac, China)	COVAXIN / BBV152 (Bharat Biotech, India)	NVX-CoV2373/ Covovax/ Nuvaxovid (Novavax, Czech Republic, India)
			EFFECTIVENESS /	AGAINST ANY SARS	-COV-2 INFECTION			
Effectiveness of Single Dose	<b>74% (95% CI,</b> <b>71.0-76.0)</b> at 21- 55 days post- vaccination.[Cana da; 04 April 2021 to 02 October 2021] <sup>1i</sup> <b>53% (95% CI,</b> <b>32.0-68.0)</b> ≥ 14 days after.[Pooled ratio from meta- analyses] $^{2}$	<b>74% (95% Cl,</b> <b>71.0-76.0)</b> at 21- 55 days post- vaccination.[Cana da; 04 April 2021 to 02 October 2021] <sup>1ii</sup>	<ul> <li>49% (95% Cl, 17.0-68.0) for one dose.[India]<sup>3</sup></li> <li>59% (95% Cl, 53.0-65.0) at 21- 55 days post- vaccination.[Cana da; 04 April 2021 to 02 October 2021]<sup>1</sup></li> <li>31.0% (95% Cl, 12.7-45.5) 21 days after.[Brazil; 17 January 2021</li> </ul>	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data

<sup>i</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.

<sup>ii</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 🛛 🦡



			to 27 November 2021] <sup>4</sup> <u>Against</u> <u>Symptomatic</u> <u>Disease:</u> <b>31.6% (95% CI,</b> <b>12.0-46.8)</b> 21 days after.[Brazil; 17 January 2021 to 27 November 2021] <sup>4</sup> <b>54% (95% CI,</b>					
Effectiveness of Two Doses	95% (95% CI, 96.0-97.0) ≥ 7 days after.[Pooled ratio from meta- analyses] $^2$ 82% (95% CI, 80.0-84.0) among 16-64 years old and 60% (95% CI, 36.0-76.0) among 65 years or older with history of prior infection. [Israel; 01 March 2021 to 26 November 26 2021] <sup>5</sup>	No new data	<b>27.0-71.0)</b> for two doses.[India] <sup>3</sup> <b>59.0% (95% CI,</b> <b>33.1-74.8)</b> 14 days after.[Brazil; 17 January 2021 to 27 November 2021] <sup>4</sup> <u>Against</u> <u>symptomatic</u> <u>disease</u> : <b>65.1% (95% CI,</b> <b>40.9-79.4)</b> 14 days after.[Brazil; 17 January 2021 to 27 November 2021] <sup>4</sup> <u>Against Severe</u> <u>Disease:</u>	Not Applicable (One Dose Schedule)	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 18/197



			95% (95% CI, 44.0-100.0) for any doses.[India] <sup>3</sup>					
			EFFECTI	VENESS AGAINST V	/ARIANTS			
Alpha	80% (95% CI, 76.0-84.0) against infections after single dose.[Canada; 04 April 2021 to 02 October 2021] <sup>1iii</sup>	80% (95% CI, 76.0-84.0) against infections after single dose.[Canada; 04 April 2021 to 02 October 2021] <sup>1iv</sup>	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data
Gamma	80% (95% CI, 76.0-84.0) against infections after single dose.[Canada; 04 April 2021 to 02 October 2021] <sup>1</sup> v	80% (95% CI, 76.0-84.0) against infections after single dose.[Canada; 04 April 2021 to 02 October 2021] <sup>1vi</sup>	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data
Delta	63% (95% Cl, 56.0-69.0) against infection after single	63% (95% CI, 56.0-69.0) against infection after single	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data

<sup>iii</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.

<sup>iv</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.

<sup>v</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.

<sup>vi</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 🛛 👘



	dose.[Canada; 04 April 2021 to 02 October 2021] <sup>1vii</sup>	dose.[Canada; 04 April 2021 to 02 October 2021] <sup>1viii</sup>						
Omicron	<u>Against</u> <u>Symptomatic</u> <u>Disease:</u> <b>9% (95% CI, 7.0-</b> <b>10.0)</b> for BA.1 and <b>13% (95% CI, -</b> <b>26.0-40.0)</b> against BA.2 [England] <sup>6ix</sup>	Against Symptomatic Disease: 9% (95% CI, 7.0- 10.0) for BA.1 and 13% (95% CI, - 26.0-40.0) against BA.2 [England] <sup>6x</sup>	<u>Against</u> <u>Symptomatic</u> <u>Disease:</u> <b>9% (95% CI, 7.0-</b> <b>10.0)</b> for BA.1 and 13% ( <b>95% CI, -</b> <b>26.0-40.0)</b> against BA.2 [England] <sup>6xi</sup>	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data
			EFFECTIVEN	ESS AGAINST HOSI	PITALIZATION			
Any SARS-CoV- 2 Infection	<u>Single Dose:</u> 86% (95% Cl, 80.0-90.0) at 21- 55 days post- vaccination.[Cana da; 04 April 2021 to 02 October 2021] <sup>1xii</sup>	<u>Single Dose:</u> 86% (95% Cl, 80.0-90.0) at 21- 55 days post- vaccination.[Cana da; 04 April 2021 to 02 October 2021] <sup>1</sup> ×iii	Single Dose: 94% (95% CI, 85.0-97.0) at 21- 55 days post- vaccination.[Cana da; 04 April 2021 to 02 October 202111	No new data	No new data	No difference against clinical course at the ICU found between vaccinated and unvaccinated[Turk ey] <sup>7</sup>	No new data	No new data

<sup>vii</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.

 $\ensuremath{^{\mbox{viii}}}$  Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.

 $^{\mbox{\scriptsize ix}}$  Technical brief does not differentiate between vaccines.

 $^{\rm x}$  Technical brief does not differentiate between vaccines.

xi Technical brief does not differentiate between vaccines.

 $^{\rm xii}$  Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.

<sup>xiii</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

2021]<sup>1</sup>

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 20



Alpha	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data		
Delta	<u>Single Dose</u> : 85% (95% Cl, 71.0-92.0) [Canada; 04 April 2021 to 02 October 2021] <sup>1xiv</sup>	<u>Single Dose</u> : 85% (95% CI, 71.0-92.0) [Canada; 04 April 2021 to 02 October 2021] <sup>1xv</sup>	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data		
DURATION OF PROTECTION, TRANSMISSION & BREAKTHROUGH INFECTIONS										
Duration of Protection (Antibodies)	Neutralizing antibodies decreased by <b>23.9%</b> , and the anti-spike/receptor binding domain antibody decreased by <b>53.8%</b> at 24 weeks.[Korea] <sup>8</sup>	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data		
Duration of Protection (Vaccine Effectiveness)	88.3% (95% CI, 83.2-91.8) against any infection and declined to about 65% at the end of an 8-month follow- up.[Czech Republic; 27	VE against Delta infection decreased from 82% (95% CI, 80.0-84.0) at 3-4 weeks after the second dose of vaccine to 33%	<b>58% (95% CI,</b> <b>23.0-77.0)</b> 14 to 73 days after the second dose. [United Kingdom] <sup>10</sup>	No new data						

xiv Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.

<sup>xv</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 21/



December 2020 to 31 August 2021] <sup>9</sup> <b>85% (95% Cl,</b> <b>72.0-92.0)</b> against any infection and declined to <b>51%</b> <b>(95% Cl, 22.0-</b> <b>69.0)</b> at a median of 201 days after second dose.[United Kingdom] <sup>10</sup> VE against Delta infection <b>decreased from</b> <b>82% (95% Cl,</b> <b>80.0-84.0)</b> at 3-4 weeks after the second dose of vaccine to <b>33%</b> <b>(95% Cl, 27.0-</b> <b>39.0)</b> at 27-30 weeks after the second dose. [Italy; 27 December 2020 to	(95% CI, 27.0- 39.0) at 27-30 weeks after the second dose. [Italy; 27 December 2020 to 07 November 2021] <sup>11xviii</sup> VE against Delta- associated severe disease decreased from 96% (95% CI, 95.0-97.0) to 80% (95% CI, 76.0- 83.0) at 27-30 weeks after the second dose.[Italy; 27 December 2020 to 07 November 2021] <sup>11xix</sup>	

<sup>xvi</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.

<sup>xviii</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>xix</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 🛛 👓



	200 days post 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose,	200 days post 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose,	200 days post 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose,		<u>Mean anti-S Ab</u> titer :	lgG Ab, One dose,		
Breakthrough Infections	Of 2497 patients with Omicron BTI, <b>73% (1828/2497)</b> received full doses of BNT162b2[USA; 27 November 2021 to 05 January 2022] <sup>12</sup>	Of 2497 patients with Omicron BTI, <b>22% (553/2497)</b> received full doses of mRNA- 1273[USA; 27 November 2021 to 05 January 2022] <sup>12</sup>	No new data	Of 2497 patients with Omicron BTI, <b>5% (115/2497)</b> received a full dose of Janssen[USA; 27 November 2021 to 05 January 2022] <sup>12</sup>	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data
	VE against Delta- associated severe disease <b>decreased from</b> <b>96% (95% CI,</b> <b>95.0-97.0) to 80%</b> <b>(95% CI, 76.0-</b> <b>83.0)</b> at 27-30 weeks after the second dose.[Italy; 27 December 2020 to 07 November 2021] <sup>11xvii</sup>							

<sup>xvii</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.

xx Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, or AstraZeneca



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

capacity drop from 90% to 40%<sup>13</sup> capacity drop from 90% to 40%<sup>13</sup> capacity drop from 90% to 40%<sup>13</sup>

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch

**= 72.78**<sup>16</sup>

113.6 AU/ml<sup>19</sup>



Boosted subjects with pre-Omicron breakthrough infection had <b>4.9</b> <b>times</b> greater neutralization against Delta <sup>14xxi</sup> <u>Anti-RBD IgG:</u> At 3 months: <b>4.5</b> - <b>fold</b> decrease At 6 months: <b>13</b> - <b>fold</b> decrease <u>Anti-Trimeric S</u> <u>IgG:</u> at 3 months: <b>2.8</b> - <b>fold</b> decrease at 6 months: <b>4.7</b> - <b>fold</b> decrease <sup>15</sup> <u>Mean anti-S Ab</u> <u>titer:</u> <b>210.11 AU/mL,</b> <b>SD=100.42</b> <sup>16</sup>	Boosted subjects with pre-Omicron breakthrough infection had <b>4.9</b> <b>times</b> greater neutralization against Delta <sup>14xxii</sup>	<u>Nabs:</u> 202 IU/ml <sup>18</sup> <u>Anti-spike IgG:</u> 257 AU/ml (p value<0.005) <sup>19</sup>	83% Seropositivty <sup>16</sup> <u>IgG anti-spike Ab :</u> GMC : 377.0 IU/mL (95% Cl, 324.4-438.3) After 3 mo: 125.4 IU/mL (95% Cl, 88.2-178.4) <sup>20</sup>		
<u>Against Delta :</u> <u>Median (IQR) anti-</u> <u>S1 IgG :</u> Decrease from <b>147 (102-298)</b> to <b>8</b> (4-13) over 8 months					

<sup>xxi</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer and Moderna

xxii Study does not differentiate between Pfizer and Moderna



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 24/197



	<u>Anti-RBD Ab:</u> Decrease from 20,159 (19,023- 21,628) to 15,324 (13,055-17,288) over 8 months <sup>17</sup> <u>Nabs:</u> 557 IU/mL <sup>18</sup>							
Omicron (B.1.1.529)	Boosted subjects with pre-Omicron breakthrough infection had <b>26.4-</b> <b>times</b> greater neutralization <sup>14xxiii</sup>	Boosted subjects with pre-Omicron breakthrough infection had <b>26.4-</b> <b>times</b> greater neutralization <sup>14xxiv</sup>	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data
			Cł	HILDREN VACCINAT	ION			
Effectiveness	<u>VE over time</u> ( <u>DELTA):</u> 12-15: peak at <b>93.2% (95% CI,</b> <b>81.5-97.5)</b> after 7- 13 days 16-17: peak at <b>96.1% (95% CI,</b> <b>95.2-96.8</b> ) after 14-34 days <sup>21</sup>		No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data
Effectiveness against	<u>VE over time:</u> 12-15: peak at <b>83.1% (95%CI</b>							

xxiii Study does not differentiate between Pfizer and Moderna

xxiv Study does not differentiate between Pfizer and Moderna



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 25/197



Omicron (B.1.1.529)	<b>78.2-86.9</b> ) after 7- 13 days 16-17: peak at <b>76.1% (73.4-78.6</b> ), decline to <b>23%</b> over 70+ days <sup>21</sup>							
Safety and adverse events	<u>Myocarditis risk, 0-</u> <u>7 days post :</u> 12-17 : <b>RI=5.74</b> (95% <b>CI</b> , 1.52- 21.72) 18-29 : <b>RI=4.02</b> , (95% <b>CI</b> ,1.81- 8.91) <sup>22</sup> <u>Myocarditis :</u> Incidence per million after dose two in males 12–15 :162.2 16–17 93.0 <sup>23</sup>	<u>Myocarditis risk,</u> <u>0-7 days post:</u> <u>18-29:</u> RI=9.58, (95% CI 3.32- 27.58) <sup>22</sup>	No new data	No new data	No new data	Mild local adverse reactions, no immediate SAE. Immediate systemic reactions at rates of no more than 2.2% (all ages) <sup>24</sup>	No new data	no new data
Immunogenicity	no new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	<u>Plasma</u> <u>neutralizing</u> <u>capability :</u> Ages 3-11: <b>GMU 713.1 (95%</b> <b>CI, 565.8-898.8)</b> Ages 12-17: <b>(GMU 492.2, 95%</b> <b>CI=342.0-708.3)</b> <sup>24</sup> <u>Neutralization</u> <u>against Delta :</u>	No new data	No new data



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 26/197



						GMT 141.6 (95% Cl, 113.6-176.5)- reduction of 1.9- fold <sup>24</sup> <u>Neutralization</u> <u>against Omicron:</u> (GMT 16.8 (95% Cl,13.95-20.26), reduction of 15.8- fold <sup>24</sup>		
				BOOSTER DOSES				
Effectiveness	Effectiveness against hospitalization: 97% (95% CI, 95- 99) [USA; August- December 2021] <sup>25xxv</sup> 88% (95% CI, 81- 93) in immunocompromi sed individuals [USA; August- December 2021] <sup>25xxvi</sup>	Effectiveness against hospitalization: 97% (95% CI, 95- 99) [USA; August- December 2021] <sup>25xxvii</sup> 88% (95% CI, 81- 93) in immunocompromi sed individuals [USA; August- December 2021] <sup>25xxviii</sup>	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data

<sup>xxv</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer and Moderna
 <sup>xxvi</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer and Moderna
 <sup>xxvii</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer and Moderna
 <sup>xxviii</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer and Moderna



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 27



Breakthrough infections	<b>0.7%</b> breakthrough infection rate <sup>26</sup> <b>30%</b> absolute risk reduction in $\ge$ 45 years old <sup>26</sup>	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data
Duration of protection	Immunogenicity:65% GMLs byweek 10 to 14 inBNT162b2-extended/BNT162b2 and infection-naïve2740% GMLs byweek 10 to 14 inBNT162b2/BNT162b2 and infection-naïve27Effectivenessagainstsymptomaticdisease:25% to 40% VEfrom 15 weeks ormore followingbooster dosexxix27Effectivenessagainsthospitalization:	Effectiveness against symptomatic disease: 25% to 40% from 15 weeks or more following booster dose <sup>xxxi27</sup> Effectiveness against hospitalization: 90% to 95% VE up to 9 weeks <sup>xxxi27</sup>	No new data					

<sup>xxix</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer and Moderna

xxxi Study does not differentiate between Pfizer and Moderna

xxxii Study does not specify primary vaccination course



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 🛛 \_\_\_\_\_28



	<b>75% VE</b> after 10 to 14 weeks <sup>xxx27</sup>							
4th Dose	Immunogenicity: 9- to 10-fold increase 14 days after 4th dose in IgG and neutralizing antibodies <sup>28xxxiii</sup> Immunogenicity against Omicron: 8-fols increase in neutralization <sup>28xxxiv</sup>	Immunogenicity: 9- to 10-fold increase 14 days after 4th dose in IgG and neutralizing antibodies <sup>28xxxv</sup> Immunogenicity to <u>Omicron:</u> 8-fols increase in neutralization <sup>28xxxvi</sup>	No new data	No new data	Immunogenicity: <b>19-fold increase</b> in neutralizing antibodies <sup>29</sup> Immunogenicity to <u>Omicron:</u> <b>2.9-fold increase</b> in neutralizing antibodies <sup>29</sup>	No new data	No new data	No new data
			HETER	DLOGOUS BOOSTEI	R DOSES			
Vaccine	<u>Heterologous 1:</u> mRNA1273/BNT1 62b2 <u>Heterologous 2:</u> Ad26.CoV.2.S/BN	<u>Heterologous 1:</u> BNT162b2/mRNA 1273 <u>Heterologous 2:</u> Ad26.CoV.2.S/m	<u>Heterologous 1:</u> BNT162b2/ChAd Ox1*	<u>Heterologous 1:</u> BNT162b2/Ad26. CoV.2.S <u>Heterologous 2:</u> mRNA1273/Ad26.	<u>Heterologous 1:</u> SinoPharm/BNT1 62b2 <u>Heterologous 2:</u> ChAdOx1/SinoPh	<u>Heterologous 1:</u> CoronaVac/ChAd Ox1 <u>Heterologous 2 :</u> CoronaVac/BNT1	No available data	<u>Heterologous 1:</u> BNT162b2/NVX- CoV2373 <u>Heterologous 2:</u> ChAdOx1/NVX-
Schedule	T162b2 <u>Heterologous 3:</u> ChAdOx1/BNT16 2b2	RNA1273 <u>Heterologous 3:</u> ChAdOx1/mRNA 1273	*Received ChAdOx1 as booster dose	CoV.2.S <u>Heterologous 3:</u> ChAdOx1/Ad26.C oV.2.S.	arm* *Received SinoPharm as booster dose	62b2 <u>Heterologous 3 :</u> CoronaVac/Sino Pharm		CoV2373 *Received NVX- CoV2373 as booster dose

<sup>xxx</sup> Study does not specify primary vaccination course
 <sup>xxxiii</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer and Moderna
 <sup>xxxv</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer and Moderna
 <sup>xxxvi</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer and Moderna
 <sup>xxxvi</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer and Moderna



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 29/197



	*Received BNT162b2 as booster dose	*Received mRNA1273 as booster dose		*Received Ad26.CoV.2 as booster dose		Heterologous 4: CoronaVac/mRN A1273 *Received CoronaVac as initial regimen		
Effectiveness	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	No new data	Heterologous 2: <u>Effectiveness</u> <u>against infection:</u> 92.7% (95% Cl, 91.0-94.0) [Brazil; February 2020- November 2021] <sup>30</sup> <u>Effectiveness</u> <u>against severe</u> <u>outcomes:</u> 97.3% (95% Cl, 96.1-98.1) [Brazil; February 2020- November 2021] <sup>30</sup>	No new data	No new data
Duration of protection	Heterologous 1: Immunogenicity: <b>49%</b> GMLs by week 10 to 14 in mRNA127- extended/BNT162 b2 and infection- naïve <sup>27</sup>							



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 30/197



## ANNEXES

### Full Synoptic Table

Full Synoptic table about SARS-CoV-2 vaccines accepted in the WHO's Emergency Use Listing containing old information (as of 14 February 2022)

	BNT162b2/ COMIRNATY (Pfizer-BioNTech, USA)	Spikevax/ Moderna COVID- 19 Vaccine/ mRNA-1273 (Moderna, USA)	Vaxzevria/ ChAdOx1 nCoV- 19/ AZD1222/ Covishield (AstraZeneca/Oxf ord, UK, India)	Janssen COVID- 19 vaccine/Johnson & Johnson (Janssen, USA)	Covilo/ BBIBP- CorV (Sinopharm, China)	CoronaVac (Sinovac, China)	COVAXIN / BBV152 (Bharat Biotech, India)	Nuvaxovid/ NVX- CoV2373/ Covovax (Novavax, Czech Republic, India)
			GENER	AL VACCINE INFOR	MATION			
Platform	mRNA-based vaccine	mRNA-based vaccine	Non-replicating vector-based vaccine	Non-replicating vector-based vaccine	Inactivated virus (Vero cell)	Inactivated virus (Vero cell)	Whole-virion inactivated Vero cell	Recombinant protein (nanoparticle) vaccine with Matrix-M adjuvant
Dose and frequency	2 doses, 21 days apart	2 doses, 28 days apart	2 doses, 4-12 weeks apart	1 dose, once [Phase III trials currently testing 2- dose regime, 56 days apart] <sup>xxxvii</sup>	2 doses, 21 days apart	2 doses, 14 days apart	2 doses, 28 days apart	2 doses, 21 days apart

xxxvii Johnson & Johnson Announces Real-World Evidence and Phase 3 Data Confirming Strong and Long-Lasting Protection of Single-Shot COVID-19 Vaccine in the U.S. Johnson & Johnson. https://www.jnj.com/johnson-johnson-announces-real-world-evidence-and-phase-3-data-confirming-strong-and-long-lasting-protection-of-single-shot-covid-19-vaccine-in-the-u-s



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 31/



Target population	12 years old and over	12 years old and over	18 years old and over	18 years old and over	18 years old and over	18 years old and over	18 years old and over	18 years old and over
Storage conditions	2°C to 8 °C (for 1 month)	2°C to 8 °C (for 1 month)	2°C until 8 °C	2°C to 8 °C (for 3 months)	2°C until 8 °C	2°C until 8 °C	2°C until 8 °C	2°C to 8 °C
Approving authorities	FDA (11.12.20) <sup>xxxviii</sup> ; EMA (21.12.20); WHO EUL (31.12.20); and list of 137 countries (including Switzerland – approved on 20.12.20)	FDA (18.12.20); EMA (06.01.21); WHO EUL (30.04.21); and list of 85 countries (including Switzerland – approved 12.01.21)	FDA (awaiting on approval); EMA (29.01.21); WHO EUL (15.02.21); and list of 137 (Vaxzevria) and 47 (Covishield) countries (Switzerland awaiting on approval)	FDA (27.02.21); EMA (11.03.21), WHO EUL (12.03.21), and list of 106 countries (including Switzerland – approved 22.03.21)	WHO EUL (07.05.21); and list of 88 countries (e.g., Argentina, Bahrain, Brazil, China, Indonesia, United Arab Emirates, Zimbabwe)	WHO EUL (01.06.21), and list of 53 countries (e.g., Albania, Chile, Egypt, Hong Kong, Malaysia, Tunisia, Turkey, Ukraine)	WHO EUL (03.11.21) and list of 13 countries (Guyana, Inidia, Iran, Mauritius, Mexico, Nepal, Paraguay, Philippines & Zimbabwe)	WHO EUL (17- 20.12.21) and list of 32 countries (Nuvaxovid) and 3 countries (Covovax)
Booster shot approving authorities	EMA approved booster for those aged 18 and above, 6 months after the 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose FDA approved booster for those ages 16 and above, 6 months after the 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose <sup>xxxix</sup>	EMA authorised booster dose for people aged 18 years and above <sup>xli</sup> FDA approved third booster dose for individuals >65 and high-risk individuals, 6 months after the 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose <sup>xlii</sup>	-	EMA authorised	-	-	-	·

xxxviii Pfizer-BioNTech's Comirnaty Vaccine received full FDA approval on 23 August 2021 for people age 16 and above, moving it beyond emergency use status. <u>https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-approves-first-covid-19-vaccine</u>

xxxix FDA authorizes booster dose of Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine for certain populations. FDA News Release. <u>https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-authorizes-booster-dose-pfizer-biontech-covid-19-vaccine-certain-populations</u>

x<sup>ii</sup> Comirnaty and Spikevax: EMA recommendations on extra doses and boosters. European Medicines Agency. <u>https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/comirnaty-spikevax-ema-recommendations-extra-doses-boosters</u>

xiii F.D.A. Panel recommends booster for many Moderna vaccine recipients. The New York Times. https://www.nytimes.com/2021/10/14/us/politics/fda-moderna-vaccine-boosters.html



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 🛛 32/-



	Swissmedic approves booster dose for everyone aged 16 and over <sup>xi</sup>	Swissmedic approves booster dose for adults aged 18 and over <sup>xliii</sup>						
			EFFECTIVENESS	AGAINST ANY SAR	S-COV-2 INFECTION	1		
	BNT162b2/ COMIRNATY	Spikevax/ Moderna COVID- 19 Vaccine/ mRNA-1273	Vaxzevria/ ChAdOx1 nCoV- 19/ AZD1222/ Covishield	Janssen COVID- 19 vaccine/Johnson & Johnson	Covilo/ BBIBP- CorV	CoronaVac	COVAXIN / BBV152	Nuvaxovid/ NVX- CoV2373/ Covovax
Effectiveness single dose	Against any SARS-CoV-2 infection: 70%. 77.6% (95% Cl, 70.9-82.7) 36.8% (95% Cl, 33.2-40.2) [3 weeks after first dose] 57% (95% Cl, 52- 61; Spain) [Apr- Aug] 72% (pooled meta-analysis) 64% (95% Cl, 59%-68%; United	Against SARS- CoV-2 infection: <b>60%</b> (95% CI, 57- 64; >2 weeks after dose). <sup>xlv</sup> <b>88.9%</b> (95% CI, 78.7-94.2) <b>66%</b> (95% CI, 56- 73; Spain) [Apr- Aug] <b>69%</b> (pooled meta-analysis) <b>64%</b> (95% CI, 59%-68%; United States) [May to July 2021] <sup>xlvi</sup>	Against SARS- CoV-2 infection: <b>31.4%</b> (95% Cl, 25.7-36.7; Norway) [Jan-Sep] <u>Symptomatic</u> disease: <b>67%</b> <b>49%</b> (95% Cl, 32.0-62.0; India) [Apr-Jun] <b>41%</b> (95% Cl, 34- 48; Spain) [Apr- Aug] <b>51%</b> (pooled meta-analysis)	Against SARS- <u>CoV-2 infection:</u> <b>50.6%</b> (95% CI, 14.0-74.0) [<2 weeks after dose]; <b>76.7%</b> (95% CI, 30.3-95.3) [>2 weeks after dose]; <b>79%</b> (95% CI, 77- 80) (when corrected for under-recording, VE was estimated to be <b>69%</b> (95% CI, 67-71).	Partial protection. <sup>tvii</sup>	<ul> <li>15.5% for preventing COVID-19; 37.4% for preventing hospitalization;</li> <li>44.7% for preventing admission to the ICU; and 45.7% for preventing of COVID-19 related death.</li> <li>18.6% (95% CI, 17.6-19.6) against SARS-CoV-2 infection, 28.1%</li> </ul>	Against symptomatic disease: <b>45%</b> (95% CI,6.0- 68.0; India) [Apr- Jun] <b>40%</b> (95% CI, -21- 71; India) less than 7 days after first dose [April- May] <b>1%</b> (95% CI, -30- 25); India) at least 7 days after first dose [April-May]	Ongoing studies in South Africa and the United Kingdom

x<sup>I</sup> COVID-19 vaccine from Pfizer/BioNTech: Swissmedic approves the extension of the booster dose to everyone aged 16 years and over. Swissmedic. <u>https://www.swissmedic/en/home/news/coronavirus-covid-19/covid-19-impfstoff-pfizer-biontech-boosterdosis.html</u>

x<sup>liii</sup> Swissmedic approves booster dose of the Moderna COVID-19 vaccine for adults aged 18 and over. Swissmedic. <u>https://www.swissmedic.ch/swissmedic/en/home/news/coronavirus-covid-19/auffrischimpfung-boosterdosis-impfstoff-moderna-ab-18-jahren.html</u>

<sup>x/v</sup> mRNA-aggregated data (results do not disaggregate between BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273).

xivi Results do not disaggregate between mRNA vaccines, BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273.

Ivii Study did not report numerical data on vaccine effectiveness. Further studies are required to validate results.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



	States) [May to July 2021] <sup>xliv</sup> <b>19.6%</b> (95% CI, 17.3-21.9; Norway) [Jan-Sep] <u>Against</u> <u>symptomatic</u> <u>disease</u> : <b>66%</b> (95% CI, 60- 71; Spain) [Apr- Aug] <u>Individuals <math>\geq</math> 70:</u> Symptomatic disease: <b>58%</b> .	<b>39.6%</b> (95% CI, 36.3-42.8; Norway) [Jan-Sep] <u>Against</u> <u>symptomatic</u> <u>disease</u> : <b>71%</b> (95% CI, 61- 79; Spain) [Apr- Aug] <u>Individuals ≥ 70:</u> Symptomatic disease: <b>64%</b> (95% CI, 46-78; >2 weeks after dose). <sup>xlvii</sup>	46% (95% CI, 37- 54; Spain) [Apr- Aug] <u>Individuals ≥70:</u> Symptomatic disease: 58%.	<b>71%</b> (95% CI, 56- 81) [11 March – 15 August]. <b>61%</b> (95% CI, 29- 84) [January- June] <b>50.9%</b> (95% CI, 35.1-63.0) [June- September; Brazil] <b>50.0%</b> (95% CI, 42.0-57.0; Spain) [Apr-Aug] <b>73.6%</b> (95% CI, 65.9-79.9; US) [Feb-Jul] <b>82.3%</b> (95% CI, 75.1-87.4%; USA) [16 Dec 2020 to 30 Sep 2021]× <sup>iviii</sup> Among individuals with history of infection, VE against symptomatic infection ≥ 14 days from vaccine series completion was 44.0% (95% CI, 31.5-54.2) for Ad26.COV2.S. [Brazil]		(95% CI, 26.3- 29.9) against hospitalization, <b>28.5%</b> (95% CI, 25.4-31.4) against ICU admission, and <b>29.4%</b> (95% CI, 26.7.3-31.9) against death [January-April] <b>14.5% (95% CI,</b> <b>11.0-34.2)</b> 0-13 days after first dose[Indonesia; 13 January 2021 to 30 June 2021] <sup>33</sup>	-1% (95% Cl, -51- 33; India) at least 21 days after first dose [April-May]	
--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

<sup>xliv</sup> Results do not disaggregate between mRNA vaccines, BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273.

xivii mRNA-aggregated data (results do not disaggregate between BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273).

xiviii Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, and Janssen.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 34/197



	Symptomatic disease: 54% (95% CI, 45- 62; Spain) [Apr- Aug] 81% (95% CI, 79- 84) for preventing hospitalization when corrected for under-recording, VE was estimated to be 73% (95% CI, 69-76). 75% (95% CI, 65- 82) against severe		
	66.1% against moderate to severe-critical COVID-19 cases after 28 days [ENSEMBLE study; Sep 2020- Nov 2021) 85.4% against severe COVID-19 cases after 28 days [ENSEMBLE study; Sep 2020-		
	Nov 2021) Individuals ≥50:		



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 35/197



68% (95% Cl, 50- 79). VE against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection was 89.1% (95% Cl 85.6–92.6%), VE against COVID- 19-related hospitalization was 97.2% (95% Cl 96.1–98.3%), and VE against admission to the intensive care unit 97.4% (95%	
CI 96.0–98.8%), and against death was 99.0% (95% CI 98.5–99.6%). [Overall average from literature review and meta-	
analysis] <sup>×li×</sup> VE against infection in the general population aged ≥16 years was 86.1% (95%	

xiix Study does not differentiate between Pfizer-BioNTech, Moderna, Oxford-AstraZeneca, CoronaVac, and Janssen.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 36

36/197



workers VE was 95.3% (95% CI 92.0– 98.6%).[Overall average from literature review and meta- analysis] <sup>1</sup> Adjusted VE was 71% (95% confidence		
interval, 49%- 83%) among fully vaccinated participants reporting contact with persons with COVID-19 versus 80% (95% CI, 72%-86%) among those without contact.[United States; February 2021 to September 2021] <sup>ii</sup> <u>Against Severe</u>		

<sup>1</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer-BioNTech, Moderna, Oxford-AstraZeneca, CoronaVac, and Janssen. <sup>II</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, and Janssen



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



	>60% against infection, severe infection, and infection requiring hospitalization[ave rage from systematic review] <sup>31</sup>	
	Age 80+: 94.4 (95% Cl, 92.1-96.1) waned to 86.0 (95% Cl, 83.1-88.4) after 6 months[Greece; January 2021 to December 2021; pooled effectiveness] <sup>32lii</sup>	
	Age 60-79: 96.9 (95% CI, 96.1- 97.6)[Greece; January 2021 to December 2021; pooled effectiveness] <sup>32!!!!</sup>	
	<u>Age 15-59:</u> 98.3 (95% Cl, 97.6- 98.7)[Greece;	

 $^{\mbox{\tiny III}}$  Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S.

III Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



January 2021 to December 2021; pooled effectiveness] <sup>32liv</sup> Against Death - <u>Age 80+</u> 91.0 (95% Cl, 87.8-93.0) waned to 84.1 (95% Cl, 81.9-86.0) after 6 months[Greece; January 2021 to December 2021; pooled effectiveness] <sup>32liv</sup>	
Age 60-79:         94.6 (95% CI,         93.1-         95.8)[Greece;         January 2021 to         December 2021;         pooled         effectiveness] <sup>32!vi</sup> Age 15-59:         96.9 (95% CI,         95.0-         98.0)[Greece;         January 2021 to         December 2021;         December 2021;	

<sup>liv</sup> Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S.

<sup>IV</sup> Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S.

<sup>Mi</sup> Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



	0450 0 0			pooled effectiveness] <sup>32</sup>		<b></b>		
Effectiveness of two doses	SARS-Cov-2 infection: 85%. 94.6%. 94.6%. 94.5%. 76% (95% Cl, 69- 81) [Jan-Jul]. 88.8% (95% Cl, 69- 81) [Jan-Jul]. 88.8% (95% Cl, 84.6-91.8) [Dec 2020-May] 74% (95% Cl, 72- 76) [Jan-Jun] 77.5% (95% Cl, 43- 51) [5 months after second dose] 47% (95% Cl, 43- 51) [5 months after second dose] 56% (95% Cl, 43- 59) [4 months after second dose] 69% (95% Cl, 66- 72; Spain) [Apr- Aug] 88% (pooled meta-analysis) 84% (95% Cl, 40- 96; Italy) [27 Dec 2020 – 24 Mar 2021] 14-21 days	SARS-Cov-2         infection:         100%.         86% (95% Cl, 81-         90.6) [January-         July].         96.3% (95% Cl, 81-         90.6) [January-         July].         96.3% (95% Cl, 81-         91.3-98.4)         [December-May]         85% (95% Cl, 80-         90) [January-         June]         71% (95% Cl, 68-         74) [4 months         after second dose]         63% (95% Cl, 44-         76) [June-August]         82% (95% Cl, 78-         86; Spain) [Apr-         Aug]         80% (pooled         meta-analysis)	Asymptomatic <u>efficacy:</u> 61.9% <u>SARS-CoV-2</u> <u>infection</u> : <b>53%</b> (95% CI, 12- 84) [January- June] <b>27%</b> (95% CI, 17- 37) [4 months after second dose] <b>88%</b> (95% CI, 17- 37) [4 months after second dose] <b>88%</b> (95% CI, 79.0-94.0; India) [Apr-Jun] <b>54.0%</b> (95% CI, 17- 48-60; Spain) [Apr-Jun] <b>54.0%</b> (95% CI, 48-60; Spain) [Apr-Aug] <b>43.4%</b> (95% CI, 4.4-66.5; Norway) [Jan-Sep] <b>80%</b> (95% CI; 73- 86; India) [May - July 2021] <b>60%</b> (95% CI, 50- 67; Sweden) [27	Not Applicable (one dose schedule)	Partial protection. <sup>ciii</sup>	<ul> <li>65.9% for preventing COVID-19; 87.5% for preventing hospitalization;</li> <li>90.3% for preventing ICU admission; and</li> <li>86.3% for preventing COVID-19 related death.</li> <li>52.7% (95% CI, 52.1-53.4) against SARS-CoV-2 infection, 72.8% (95% CI, 71.8- 73.7) against hospitalization, 73.8% (95% CI, 72.2-75.2) against ICU admission, and 73.7% (95% CI, 72.3-75.0) against death [January-April]</li> <li>Among individuals with history of infection, VE</li> </ul>	Against symptomatic disease: 71% (95% CI, 41- 85; India) [Apr- Jun] VE against symptomatic COVID-19 (second dose administered at least 14 days before RT-PCR testing) <b>50%</b> (95%CI 33.0-62.0; India)[April 15 to May 15 2021] <u>Effectiveness of full vaccination:</u> <b>69%</b> (95% CI; 54- 79; India) [May - July 2021] <b>50%</b> (95% CI, 33- 62; India) 14 days after second dose [April-May]	Ongoing studies in South Africa and the United Kingdom <b>89.7%</b> protection against SARS- CoV-2 infection (95% CI, 80.2- 94.6; United Kingdom)

c<sup>iii</sup> Study did not report numerical data on vaccine effectiveness. Further studies are required to validate results. Death reports on fully vaccinated doctors (10 cases during June 2021 in Indonesia). It may be related to new variants [media report]. Indonesian Covid deaths add to questions over Sinovac vaccine. *The Guardian* [press release]. <u>https://www.theguardian.com/world/2021/jun/28/indonesian-covid-deaths-add-to-questions-over-sinovac-vaccine</u>



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



from the first dose and 95% (95% CI, 62-99; Italy) [2795% (95% CI, 93%-96%; United States) [May to July 2021] <sup>XXV</sup> Dec 2020-2 Nov 2021]against symptomatic infection $\geq 14$ days from vaccine and AZD1222, VE and AZD1222, VEagainst series completion participants with previous SARS- cl, 36.1-42.6) for CoronaVac. [Brazil]47% (95% CI, 29- 61; India) 14 days after second dose95% (95% CI, 95% (95% CI, 95% (95% CI, July 2021] <sup>VXV</sup> For BNT162b2 and AZD1222, VE was higher acrossdays from vaccine participants with previous SARS- Cl, 36.1-42.6) for CoronaVac. [Brazil]- excluding participants with previous SARS- (46% (95% CI, 22- dose two dose two95% (95% CI, July 2021] <sup>VVII</sup> Norway) [Jan-Sep] (16 Dec 2020 to 30 Sep 2021] <sup>IXXVI</sup> compared to one dose, but the magnitude varied46% (95% CI, 22- dose, but the magnitude varied69.7% (95% CI, 95% CI, 30 Sep 2021] <sup>IXXVI</sup> magnitude variedFor those fully vaccinated the after second dose	_
$62-99;$ Italy) [27 Dec 2020 - 24 Mar 2021] at least 7 days from the second doseStates) [May to July 2021] <sup>IXXV</sup> For BNT162b2 and AZD1222, VE mashigher acrossinfection $\geq 14$ days from vaccine series completion was 39.4% (95%after second dose - excluding participants with previous SARS- CoronaVac. [Brazil]95% (95% Cl, 95% (95% Cl, 93%-96%; United States) [May to July 2021] <sup>IVIII</sup> For BNT162b2 and AZD1222, VE was higher acrossseries completion was 39.4% (95%- excluding participants with previous SARS- CoV-2 infections [April-May]93%-96%; United States) [May to July 2021] <sup>IVIII</sup> 75.1-87.4%; USA) (95% Cl, dose twocompared to one dose, but the- excluding participants with previous SARS- CoronaVac. [Brazil]62; India) 28 days	
Dec 2020 - 24 Mar 2021] at least 7 days from the second doseJuly 2021] <sup>bxiv</sup> For BNT162b2 and AZD1222, VEdays from vaccine series completion was 39.4% (95%- excluding participants with previous SARS- CoronaVac. [Brazil]95% (95% Cl, 95% (95% Cl, 93%-96%; United States) [May to July 2021] <sup>bviii</sup> For BNT162b2 and AZD1222, VEdays from vaccine series completion was 39.4% (95%- excluding participants with previous SARS- Cov-2 infections [April-May]93%-96%; United States) [May to July 2021] <sup>tviii</sup> 75.1-87.4%; USA) (65% Cl, 22- dose, but thecompared to one dose, but the46% (95% Cl, 22- 62; India) 28 days	
Mar 2021] at least 7 days from the second doseand AZD1222, VE was higher acrossseries completion was 39.4% (95%participants with previous SARS- CoV-2 infections95% (95% Cl, 95% (95% Cl, 93%-96%; United States) [May to July 2021] <sup>viii</sup> nd AZD1222, VE was higher acrossseries completion was 39.4% (95% Cl, 36.1-42.6) for CoronaVac. [Brazil]participants with previous SARS- CoV-2 infections46% (95% Cl, 0000compared to one dose, but thecompared to one dose, but thefor those fully	
7 days from the second dose78.2% (95% Cl, 76.7-79.6; all age-groupswas higher across all age-groupswas 39.4% (95% Cl, 36.1-42.6) for Cov-2 infectionsprevious SARS- CoV-2 infections95% (95% Cl, 93%-96%; United States) [May to July 2021] <sup>viii</sup> Norway) [Jan-Sep] from 14 days after dose two compared to one dose, but themax 39.4% (95% Cl, 36.1-42.6) for (Brazil)previous SARS- CoV-2 infections [April-May]46% (95% Cl, 22- dose, but thecompared to one dose, but the46% (95% Cl, 22- 62; India) 28 days	
second dose76.7-79.6; Norway) [Jan-Sep]all age-groupsCI, 36.1-42.6) for CoronaVac.CoV-2 infections [April-May]93%-96%; United States) [May to July 2021] <sup>Viii</sup> 82.3% (95% CI, 75.1-87.4%; USA) [16 Dec 2020 toall age-groups from 14 days after dose two compared to one dose, but theCI, 36.1-42.6) for CoronaVac.CoV-2 infections [April-May]46% (95% CI, 22- dose, but thecompared to one dose, but the46% (95% CI, 22- dose, but the	
95% (95% Cl, 93%-96%; United States) [May to July 2021] <sup>Viii</sup> Norway) [Jan-Sep] from 14 days after dose two compared to one dose, but theCoronaVac. [Brazil][April-May] <b>46%</b> (95% Cl, 22- 62; India) 28 days	
93%-96%; United       82.3% (95% CI, 1)       dose two       [Brazil]         States) [May to       75.1-87.4%; USA)       compared to one       46% (95% CI, 22-         July 2021] <sup>tviii</sup> [16 Dec 2020 to       dose, but the       For those fully       62; India) 28 days	
States) [May to July 2021] <sup>Iviii</sup> 75.1-87.4%; USA) [16 Dec 2020 to         compared to one dose, but the         For those fully         46% (95% Cl, 22- 62; India) 28 days	
July 2021] <sup>Iviii</sup> [16 Dec 2020 to dose, but the For those fully 62; India) 28 days	
69.7% (95% CI, 30 Sep 2021] <sup>xxvi</sup> magnitude varied vaccinated the after second dose	
68.6-70.8; 85% (95% Cl, 82- with dose interval. 0bserved [April-May]	
Norway) [Jan-Sep] 87; Sweden) [27 [England] effectiveness of	
82.3% (95% CI, Dec 2020-2 Nov the CoronaVac 57% (95% CI, 21-	
75.1-87.4%; USA) 2021] VE was VE was vaccine was found 76; India) 42 days	
[16 Dec 2020 to approximately to be after second dose	
30 Sep 2021] <sup>lix</sup> For those fully 96.7% (95% CI, 65.7%.[Overall [April-May]	
75% (95% CI, 73- vaccinated the 87.9-99.9) 7 days average from	
77; Sweden) [27 observed after the second literature review	
Dec 2020-2 Nov effectiveness of dose [France; and meta-	
2021] the Moderna December 2020 to analysis]	
VE was 49% (95% vaccine was June 2021] <sup>xci</sup>	
CI 22.0%- 98.1%. [Overall VE against	
67.0%)[England] average from VE against severe infection in the	
literature review acute respiratory general population	
Higher dose two and meta- syndrome aged ≥16 years	
VE was observed analysis] coronavirus 2 was 86.1% (95%	
with >6 week (SARS-CoV-2) CI 77.8–94.4%),	
interval between infection was for the elderly VE	

<sup>Iviii</sup> Results do not disaggregate between mRNA vaccines, BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273.

<sup>lxxv</sup> Results do not disaggregate between mRNA vaccines, BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273.

<sup>lxxvi</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, and Janssen.

xci Study does not differentiate between Comirnaty and Vaxrevria



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

lix Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, and Janssen.



BNT162b2 doses compared to the standardVE against symptomatic SARS-CoV-289.1% (95% CI 85.6–92.6%), VE against COVID-was 83.8% (95% CI 77.1–90.6%), and for healthcare	
schedule. Infection was 19-related workers VE was	
14–35 days after for mRNA- CI 96.1–98.3%), 98.6%).[Overall average from and VE against average from the second sec	
higher in contractions from a contraction to the contraction contractions from a contraction contracti	
recipients with an unit 97.4% (95% analysis] <sup>civ</sup>	
extended vaccine VE greater than CI 96.0–98.8%),	
interval (65–84 26 weeks from a and against death VE against severe	
days) compared second dose was was 99.0% (95% acute respiratory	
with those 65% (95% CI, CI 98.5–99.6%). syndrome	
vaccinated with a 65.0-66.0) and VE [Overall average coronavirus 2 (04.0.0.0.1/2)	
standard (19–29 against SARS- from literature (SARS-CoV-2)	
days) interval. CoV-2 related review and meta-	
Following the hospitalizations for analysis] <sup>xcii</sup> 89.1% (95% Cl	
extended individuals greater 85.6–92.6%), VE	
schedule, antibody than 26 weeks VE against VE against COVID-	
levels were 6-fold from a second infection in the 19-related	
higher at 14–35 dose was 73% general population hospitalization	
days post dose 2 (95% CI, 71.0- aged ≥16 years was 97.2% (95%	
for BNT162b2 75.0) for was 86.1% (95% CI 96.1–98.3%),	
than AZD1222. Moderna.[United CI 77.8–94.4%), and VE against	
[England] States] for the elderly VE admission to the	
was 83.8% (95% intensive care	
For BNT162b2 VE was 69% (95% CI 77.1–90.6%), unit 97.4% (95%	
and AZD1222, VE CI, 67.0% to and for healthcare CI 96.0–98.8%),	
was higher across 70.0%) against workers VE was and against death	
all age-groups SARS-CoV-2 95.3% (95% CI was 99.0% (95%	
from 14 days after infection and 86% 92.0– CI 98.5–99.6%).	
(95% Cl, 82.0% to 98.6%).[Overall [Overall [Overall average]	

<sup>xcii</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer-BioNTech, Moderna, Oxford-AstraZeneca, CoronaVac, and Janssen.

<sup>civ</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer-BioNTech, Moderna, Oxford-AstraZeneca, CoronaVac, and Janssen.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



dose two	89.0%) against	average from		from literature	
compared to one	SARS-CoV-2-	literature review		review and meta-	
dose, but the	related death or	and meta-		analysis <sup>cv</sup>	
magnitude varied	more days after	analysis] <sup>xciii</sup>			
with dose interval.	the second			VE was 94.3%	
[England]	vaccine dose and	Symptomatic		against mild	
	was similar when	<u>disease</u> : <b>90%</b> .		disease and	
VE greater than	follow-up period	56% (95% CI, 48-		99.9% against	
26 weeks from a	was extended. VE	63; Spain) [Apr-		severe	
second dose was	against infection	Aug]		infection[Colombia	
45% (95% CI,	decreased with			, 24 February	
44.0-47.0) for	increasing age	For two doses, VE		2021 to 10 August	
Pfizer.[United	and comorbidity	against		2021] <sup>cvi</sup>	
States]	burden. [United	symptomatic			
	States, December	SARS-CoV-2			
For those fully	2020 to March	infection was		<u>In pregnant</u>	
vaccinated the	2021] <sup>Ixxvii</sup>	73.9% (95% CI,		<u>women</u> :	
observed		26.2%–90.8%)		<b>41%</b> (95% CI,	
effectiveness of	VE against severe	[Portugal;		27.1-52.2%;	
the Pfizer-	acute respiratory	December 2020 to		Brazil) against	
BioNTech vaccine	syndrome	November		symptomatic	
was 91.2%.	coronavirus 2	2021] <sup>xciv</sup>		COVID-19, <b>85%</b>	
[Overall average	(SARS-CoV-2)			(95% Cl, 59.5-	
from literature	infection was	VE against		94.8; Brazil)	
review and meta-	89.1% (95% CI	symptomatic		against severe	
analysis]	85.6–92.6%), VE	SARS-CoV-2		COVID-19, and	
VE was 69% (95%	against COVID-	infection was		<b>75%</b> (95% Cl	
CI, 67.0% to	19-related	estimated at 92%		27.9-91.2; Brazil)	
70.0%) against	hospitalization	(95% CI, 78–97%)			

<sup>lxxvii</sup> Study does not differentiate between Moderna or Pfizer-BioNTech.

xciii Study does not differentiate between Pfizer-BioNTech, Moderna, Oxford-AstraZeneca, CoronaVac, and Janssen.

xciv Study does not differentiate between Pfizer and AstraZeneca.

<sup>cv</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer-BioNTech, Moderna, Oxford-AstraZeneca, CoronaVac, and Janssen.

<sup>cvi</sup> 95% CI were not reported by authors.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



SARS-CoV-2 infection and 86% (95% CI, 82.0% to 89.0%) against SARS-CoV-2– related death or more days after the second vaccine dose and was similar when follow-up period was extended. VE against infection decreased with increasing age and comorbidity burden. [United States, December 2020 to March 2021] <sup>I×</sup> VE was approximately <b>96.7%</b> (95% CI, 87.9-99.9) 7 days after the second dose [France; December 2020 to June 2021] <sup>I×i</sup>

<sup>Ix</sup> Study does not differentiate between Moderna or Pfizer-BioNTech.

<sup>lxi</sup> Study does not differentiate between Comirnaty and Vaxrevria.

<sup>Ixxviii</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer-BioNTech, Moderna, Oxford-AstraZeneca, CoronaVac, and Janssen.

<sup>xcv</sup> Study does not differentiate between Comirnaty and Vaxrevria.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



VE against severe	literature review	<u>Against any</u>			
acute respiratory	and meta-	<u>SARS-CoV-2</u>			
syndrome	analysis] <sup>Ixxix</sup>	Infection -			
coronavirus 2		62.8% (95% CI,			
(SARS-CoV-2)	Adjusted VE was	49.3–72.7) for all			
infection was	71% (95%	vaccines			
89.1% (95% CI	confidence	combined[England			
85.6–92.6%), VE	interval, 49%-	] <sup>34xcvi</sup>			
against COVID-	83%) among fully				
19-related	vaccinated	<u>Age 80+:</u>			
hospitalization	participants	94.4 (95% CI,			
was 97.2% (95%	reporting contact	92.1-96.1) waned			
CI 96.1–98.3%),	with persons with	to 86.0 (95% CI,			
and VE against	COVID-19 versus	83.1-88.4) after 6			
admission to the	80% (95% CI,	months[Greece;			
intensive care	72%-86%) among	January 2021 to			
unit 97.4% (95%	those without	December 2021;			
CI 96.0–98.8%),	contact.[United	pooled			
and against death	States; February	effectiveness] <sup>32xcvii</sup>			
was 99.0% (95%	2021 to	-			
CI 98.5–99.6%).	September	<u>Age 60-79:</u>			
Overall average	2021] <sup>Ixxx</sup>	96.9 (95% CI,			
from literature		96.1-			
review and meta-	Symptomatic	97.6)[Greece;			
analysis] <sup>Ixii</sup>	disease: 91%	January 2021 to			
	(95% Cl, 89-93;	December 2021;			
VE against	>2 weeks after	pooled			
infection in the	dose). <sup>Ixxxi</sup>	effectiveness] <sup>32xcviii</sup>			

<sup>1xii</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer-BioNTech, Moderna, Oxford-AstraZeneca, CoronaVac, and Janssen.

<sup>Ixxix</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer-BioNTech, Moderna, Oxford-AstraZeneca, CoronaVac, and Janssen.

<sup>Ixxx</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, and Janssen.

<sup>Ixxxi</sup> Results do not disaggregate between BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273.

xcvi Study included BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, and ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19.

xcvii Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S.

xcviii Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



<sup>Ixiii</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer-BioNTech, Moderna, Oxford-AstraZeneca, CoronaVac, and Janssen.

<sup>°</sup> Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>Ixxxii</sup> Results do not disaggregate between BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>Ixxxiii</sup> mRNA-aggregated data (results do not disaggregate between BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273).

xcix Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S.



contact.[United States; February	<u>Against any</u> <u>SARS-CoV-2</u>	pooled effectiveness] <sup>32ci</sup>			
2021 to	Infection -	onconvenceoj			
September	62.8% (95% CI,	<u>Age 15-59:</u>			
2021] <sup>Ixiv</sup>	<b>49.3–72.7)</b> for all	96.9 (95% CI,			
2021]	vaccines	95.0-			
Adjusted VE	combined[England	98.0)[Greece;			
against infection	134lxxxiv	January 2021 to			
was 93.0%	1	December 2021;			
(CI:92·6–93·4%)	Against Severe	pooled			
[Israel]	Disease -	effectiveness] <sup>32cii</sup>			
	>80% against				
	infection, severe				
VE against	infection, and				
infection among	infection requiring				
older population	hospitalization[ave				
was <b>34.5%</b> (95%	rage from				
Cl, 18.5-	systematic				
47.3)[France]	review] <sup>31</sup>				
	-				
VE against any	Age 80+:				
infection during	94.4 (95% CI,				
predominance of	92.1-96.1) waned				
alpha variant was	to 86.0 (95% CI,				
<b>94.5%</b> (95% CI,	83.1-88.4) after 6				
82.6%-	months[Greece;				
98.2%)[Israel]	January 2021 to				
	December 2021;				
VE against severe	pooled				
disease among	effectiveness]32lxxxv				
older population					

<sup>lxiv</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, and Janssen.
 <sup>bxxiv</sup> Study included BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, and ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19.
 <sup>bxxiv</sup> Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S.

- <sup>ci</sup> Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S.
- <sup>cii</sup> Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



CI, 43.8-69.6). [France] <u>Symptomatic</u> <u>disease</u> : <b>72%</b> (95% CI, 69- 75; Spain) [Apr- Aug] Adjusted VE was 59% (95% CI 23.0%- 78.0%)[England ] VE against symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection was estimated at 89– 97% BNT162b2.[Based on estimations from a Rapid Review] Among individuals with history of infection, VE against symptomatic infection ≥ 14 days	Age 60-79: 96.9 (95% CI, 96.1- 97.6)[Greece; January 2021 to December 2021; pooled effectiveness] <sup>32</sup> bxxvi Age 15-59: 98.3 (95% CI, 97.6- 98.7)[Greece; January 2021 to December 2021; pooled effectiveness] <sup>32</sup> bxxvii Against Death - Age 80+ 91.0 (95% CI, 87.8-93.0) waned to 84.1 (95% CI, 81.9-86.0) after 6 months[Greece; January 2021 to December 2021; pooled effectiveness] <sup>32</sup> bxxvii					
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

<sup>boxvi</sup> Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S. <sup>boxvii</sup> Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S. <sup>boxviii</sup> Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>lxv</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer and AstraZeneca

lxvi Results do not disaggregate between BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>xc</sup> Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

bxxix Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S.



89% (95% Cl, 87-				
91) for individuals				
≥50 years [1				
January-22 June.				
<b>90%</b> (95% Cl, 89-				
92) [Dec 2020 –				
Aug 2021]				
VE against SARS-				
CoV-2 related				
hospitalizations for				
individuals greater				
than 26 weeks				
from a second				
dose was 67%				
(95% CI, 65.0-				
69.0) for				
Pfizer.[United				
States]				
VE against				
hospitalization or				
death ≥ 14 days				
from vaccine				
series completion				
was 89.7% (95%				
CI, 54.3-97.7) for				
BNT162b2.				
[Brazil]				
VE against				
hospitalization 14– 119 days following				
The days following				

kvii mRNA-aggregated data (results do not disaggregate between BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273).



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



second Pfizer-				
BioNTech dose				
was 86.0% (95%				
Cl = 77.6%–				
91.3%); at ≥120				
days VE was				
75.1% (95% CI =				
64.6%-				
82.4%).[United				
States; February				
2021 to				
September 2021]				
ocptember 2021]				
<u>Individuals ≥65:</u>				
<b>61%</b> (95% Cl, 57-				
65) against SARS-				
CoV-2 infection				
and <b>86%</b> (95% CI,				
82-88) against				
hospitalizations				
nospitalizations				
<u>Individuals <math>\geq 80</math>:</u>				
VE of <b>68.3%</b> (95%				
Cl, 65.5-70.9) for				
infections, <b>73.2%</b>				
(95% CI, 65.3-				
79.3) for				
hospitalization,				
<b>85.1%</b> (95% Cl,				
80.0-89.0) for				
mortality				
[Germany, 09 Jan				
– 11 Apr 2021]				
Against any				
SARS-CoV-2				
Infection -				



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



62.8% (95% CI,				
49.3–72.7) for all				
vaccines				
combined[England				
1 <sup>341xviii</sup>				
1				
Against Severe				
<u>Disease -</u>				
>90% against				
infection, severe				
infection, infection				
requiring				
hospitalization,				
and				
mortality[average				
from overage				
from systematic				
review] <sup>31</sup>				
<u>Age 80+:</u>				
94.4 (95% CI,				
92.1-96.1) waned				
to <b>86.0 (95% CI</b> ,				
83.1-88.4) after 6				
months[Greece;				
January 2021 to				
December 2021;				
pooled				
effectiveness] <sup>32lxix</sup>				
<u>Age 60-79:</u>				
96.9 (95% CI,				
96.1-				
<b>97.6)</b> [Greece;				
January 2021 to				

<sup>Izviii</sup> Study included BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, and ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19. <sup>Ixix</sup> Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



De	cember 2021;			
poo	oled			
effe	ectiveness] <sup>32lxx</sup>			
Ag	<u>e 15-59:</u>			
98.	.3 (95% CI,			
97.				
	.7)[Greece;			
Jar	nuary 2021 to			
De	cember 2021;			
	oled			
effe	ectiveness] <sup>32lxxi</sup>			
one				
Aa	ainst Death -			
	<u>e 80+</u>			
	.0 (95% CI,			
	.8-93.0) waned			
to	84.1 (95% CI,			
81	<b>.9-86.0)</b> after 6			
mo	onths[Greece;			
lar	nuary 2021 to			
	cember 2021;			
	oled			
offe	ectiveness] <sup>32lxxii</sup>			
Circ	convenessj			
Δa	r <u>e 60-79:</u>			
<u>A9</u>	.6 (95% CI,			
93.	1-			
Jo.	nuary 2021 to			
	cember 2021;			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>bxii</sup> Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>bxx</sup> Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>bxi</sup> Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S.



	pooled effectiveness] <sup>32lxxiii</sup> <u>Age 15-59:</u> <b>96.9 (95% CI,</b> <b>95.0-</b> <b>98.0)</b> [Greece; January 2021 to December 2021; pooled effectiveness] <sup>32lxxiv</sup>							
			EFFECTIV	VENESS AGAINST V	ARIANTS <sup>cvii</sup>			
	BNT162b2/ COMIRNATY	Spikevax/ Moderna COVID- 19 Vaccine/ mRNA-1273	Vaxzevria/ ChAdOx1 nCoV- 19/ AZD1222/ Covishield	Janssen COVID- 19 vaccine/Johnson & Johnson	Covilo/ BBIBP- CorV	CoronaVac	COVAXIN / BBV152	Nuvaxovid/ NVX- CoV2373/ Covovax
Alpha (B.1.1.7)	<u>Single dose:</u> <b>48.7%</b> (95% Cl, 45.5 to 51.7) <b>66%</b> (95% Cl,64- 68). <b>54.5%</b> (95 Cl, 50.4-58.3) <u>Two doses:</u> <b>93.7%</b> (95% Cl,	<u>Single dose:</u> <b>88.1%</b> (95% CI, 83.7 to 91.5) <b>83%</b> (95% CI, 80- 86). <u><i>Two doses:</i></u> <b>100%</b> (95% CI, 91.8 to 100)	<u>Single dose:</u> <b>48.7%</b> (95% CI 45.5 to 51.7) <b>64%</b> (95% CI, 60- 68). <u>Two doses:</u> <b>74.5%</b> (95% CI,	-	No published data	<u><i>Two doses:</i></u> Equally effective (~76%) in neutralizing D614G, B.1.1.7 and B.1.429 as the wild-type strain.	No available data	Ongoing studies in South Africa and the United Kingdom Post hoc analysis showed efficacy of <b>86.3%</b> (95% CI, 71.3-93.5; United Kingdom) <b>against</b>

<sup>lxxiii</sup> Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S.

bxiv Study investigated BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx-1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S.

<sup>cvii</sup> Effectiveness data against the latest variant of interest (Mu) will be included in upcoming reports based on data availability.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



	<b>92%</b> (95% CI, 90- 93). <b>89%</b> (95% CI, 86- 91). <b>78%</b> (95% CI, 68- 84) <b>84.4%</b> (95 CI, 81.8-86.5)	<b>98.4%</b> (95% CI, 96.9-99.1)	<b>73%</b> (95% Cl, 66- 78). 79% (95% Cl, 56- 90).					Cl, 73.8-99.5; United Kingdom) against non- B.1.1.7 variants.
Beta (1.351)	<u>Against SARS-</u> <u>CoV-2 infection:</u> <u>Single dose:</u> <b>60%</b> (95% CI, 52- 67). <u>Two doses:</u> <b>84%</b> (95% CI, 69- 92) <b>72%</b> (95% CI, -5- 97; Israel) [Dec 2020-Mar 2021] <u>Against symptomatic infection:</u> <b>100%</b> (95% CI, 19-100; Israel) [Dec 2020-Mar 2021]	<u>Single dose:</u> <b>61.3%</b> (95% CI, 56.5 to 65.5) <b>77%</b> (95% CI, 69- 92). <u>Two doses:</u> <b>96.4%</b> (95% CI, 91.9 to 98.7)	<u>Single dose:</u> <b>48%</b> (95% Cl, 28- 63).	-	No published data	Neutralization capacity was decreased by factor <b>5.27</b> .	No available data	No available data
Gamma (P.1)	Neutralization activity reduced by <b>3.3-fold</b> .	No available data	No available data	No available data	No published data	Demonstrated <b>42%</b> vaccine effectiveness in a setting with high P.1 transmission,	No available data	No available data



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



						in individuals aged 70 and above. <b>50.2%</b> against P.1 (>14 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose). Neutralization was decreased by factor <b>3.92</b> . <u>Against</u> <u>symptomatic</u> <u>COVID-19:</u> <b>80.5%</b> (95% CI, 75.1-84.7)		
Delta (1.617.2)	<u>Single dose:</u> 30.7% (95% CI, 25.2 to 35.7); 57% (95% CI, 50- 63) 22.5% (95 CI, 17.0-27.4) 22% (95% CI, 10- 32; France) [May- August 2021] <u>Two doses:</u> 88.0% (95% CI, 85.3 to 90.1); 80% (95% CI, 77- 83)	Single dose: 72% effective against symptomatic SARS-Cov-2 infection. ≥14 days after second dose: 76% (95% Cl, 58- 87). 94.5% (95% Cl, 94.1-95) [2-9 weeks after second dose].	Single dose: <b>30.7%</b> (95% CI         25.2 to 35.7) <b>73%</b> (95% CI, 64-         80; India) [May –         July 2021] <u>Two doses:</u> <b>67.0%</b> (95% CI, 62-         71). <b>60%</b> (95% CI, 53-         66).	<ul> <li>78% (95% CI, 73- 82) against SARS- CoV-2 infection.</li> <li>3% (95% CI, -7- 12) [August]</li> <li>76.5% (95% CI, 40.9-90.6; USA) [01 Jul 2021 to 30 Sep 2021]<sup>cxxii</sup></li> <li>Prior to the predominance of the delta variant (delta comprising 1.8% of circulating</li> </ul>	<u>Against Infection</u> ( <u>One Dose):</u> <b>10.7% (95% CI,</b> -41.2- <b>62.6)</b> [China] <sup>36cxxiv</sup> <u>Against</u> <u>Symptomatic</u> <u>Infection (One</u> <u>Dose):</u> <b>6.8% (95% CI,</b> -47.4- <b>61.0)</b> [China] <sup>36cxxv</sup>	<u>Single dose:</u> <b>13.8%</b> (95% CI, - 60.2-54.8). <u><i>Two doses:</i></u> <b>59%</b> (95% CI, 16- 81.6) against SARS-CoV-2 infection and <b>70.2%</b> (95% CI, 29.6- 89.3) against moderate COVID- 19 infection.	<u>Single dose</u> : <b>44%</b> (95% Cl, 0- 71; India) [May – July 2021] <u>Two doses:</u> <b>64%</b> (95% Cl, 40- 79; India) [May – July 2021] VE was <b>44%</b> (95% Cl, 37.0-51.0) against symptomatic infection and <b>61%</b> (95% Cl, 37.0-	No available data

<sup>cxxii</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, and Janssen.

<sup>cxxiv</sup>Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines, Sinopharm or CoronaVac.

<sup>cxxv</sup>Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines, Sinopharm or CoronaVac.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



79% (95% CI,75-	50.6% (95% CI,	66.7% (95% CI,	variants), median	<u>Against</u>		76.0) against	
82).	45.0-55.7) [among	45-49.6) [2-9	VE against	Pneumonia (One	Against Infection	hospitalization or	
80% (95% CI, 77-	nursing home	weeks after	infection was	Dose):	(Ōne Dose):	death 2 weeks	
83)	residents].	second dose].	86.6% (95% CI,	11.6% (95% CI,	10.7% (95% CI,	after second dose	
40.5% (95% CI,	<b>86.7%</b> (95% CI,	<b>47.3%</b> (95% Cl,	77.8 to 89.7) for	-42.6-65.8)[China]	-41.2-	during the delta	
8.7-61.2).	84.3-88.7)	66.3-67.0) [≥20	Ad26.COV2.S and	36cxxvi	62.6)[China] <sup>36cxxxii</sup>	dominant period.	
42% (95% CI, 13-	56.6% (95% Cl,	weeks after	continuously			[India]	
62).	42.0-67.5) against	second dose].	declined in all	Against Infection	<u>Against</u>		
<b>89.8%</b> (95% CI,	infection	<b>81%</b> (95% CI, 71-	cohorts	(Two Doses):	Symptomatic		
89.6-90.0) [2-9	<b>84.2%</b> (95% Cl,	88; India) [May –	(BNT162b2,	51.8% (95% CI,	Infection (One		
weeks after	56.4-94.3) against	July 2021]	mRNA-1273,	20.3-	Dose):		
second dose].	symptomatic	, .	Ad26.COV2.S)	83.2)[China] <sup>36cxxvii</sup>	6.8% (95% CI,		
<b>69.7%</b> (95% CI,	infection	Odds ratio of 5.45	from a median of	60% (95% CI,	-47.4-		
68.7-70.5) [≥20	64% (95% Cl, 62-	(95% CI, 1.39-	93.4% (95% CI,	49.0-69.0)	61.0)[China] <sup>36cxxxiii</sup>		
weeks after	66) [August;	21.4) to become	77.8-98.0) when	[Thailand; 25 July			
second dose].	elderly Veteran	infected with	the prevalence of	2021 to 23	<u>Against</u>		
64.6% (95 Cl,	population]	B.1.167.2	delta was at 1.8%	October 2021]35	Pneumonia (One		
60.6-68.2)	76.5% (95% Cl,	compared to non-	to 73.5% (95% CI,		Dose):		
52.4% (95% CI,	40.9-90.6; USA)	B.1.167.2.	13.8-90.0) when	<u>Against</u>	11.6% (95% CI,		
48.0-56.4) [among	[01 Jul 2021 to 30		delta prevalence	Symptomatic	-42.6-65.8)[China]		
nursing home	Sep 2021] <sup>cxiv</sup>		was <b>85.3%,</b> and	Infection (Two	36cxxxiv		
residents].		Among individuals	74.2% (95% CI,	Doses):			
53% (95% CI, 39-	<u>10-14 weeks after</u>	who received 2	63.4-86.8) when	60.4% (95% CI,	Against Infection		
65) [4 months	second dose:	doses of vaccines	the prevalence of	31.8-	(Two Doses):		
after second dose]	90.3% (95% CI,	(with at least	delta was	88.9)[China] <sup>36cxxviii</sup>	51.8% (95% CI,		
<b>50%</b> (95% CI, 47-	67.2-97.1).	ÌmRNA vaccine)	99.6%.[United	<i></i>	20.3-		
52) [August;	,	VE against Delta	States]	<u>Against</u>	83.2)[China] <sup>36cxxxv</sup>		
elderly Veteran	VE against Delta	declined steadily		Pneumonia (Two	60% (95% ČI,		
population]	variant-related	over time from		Doses):	49.0-69.0)		
· · · -				•			

<sup>cxiv</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, and Janssen.

<sup>coxvi</sup>Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines, Sinopharm or CoronaVac. <sup>coxvii</sup>Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines, Sinopharm or CoronaVac. <sup>coxvii</sup>Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines, Sinopharm or CoronaVac. <sup>coxvii</sup>Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines, Sinopharm or CoronaVac. <sup>coxvii</sup>Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines, Sinopharm or CoronaVac. <sup>coxvii</sup>Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines, Sinopharm or CoronaVac. <sup>coxvii</sup>Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines, Sinopharm or CoronaVac. <sup>coxvii</sup>Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines, Sinopharm or CoronaVac. <sup>coxvii</sup>Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines, Sinopharm or CoronaVac.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



1	<b>76.5%</b> (95% CI,	symptomatic	84% (95%CI, 81-	VE against severe	78.4% (95% CI,	[Thailand; 25 July	
	40.9-90.6; USA)	infection was	86%) 7-59 days	COVID-19 was	56.9-	2021 to 23	
	[01 Jul 2021 to 30	67.0% (95% CI,	after the second	86% (95% CI,	99.9)[China] <sup>36cxxix</sup>	October 2021]35	
	Sep 2021] <sup>cviii</sup>	61.3–71.8%)	dose to 71%	79.0–90.0) for			
	67% (95% Cl, 63-	ChAdOx1 after full	(95%Cl, 66-75%)	ages 18-49, 89%	Against Severe or	<u>Against</u>	
	71; France) [May-	vaccination.[Base	≥240 days after	(95% CI, 85.0–	Critical Illness	Symptomatic	
	August 2021]	d on estimations	the second dose,	91.0) for 50-64,	<u>(Two Doses):</u>	Infection (Two	
	VE against Delta	from a Rapid	but recovered to	77% (95% CI,	100% (95% CI,	<u>Doses):</u>	
	variant-related	Review]	93% (95%CI, 92-	74.0–81.0) for	98.4-	60.4% (95% CI,	
	symptomatic		94%) ≥7 days	≥65 year-olds.	100.0)[China] <sup>36cxxx</sup>	31.8-	
	infection was 88%	Among early	after receiving an	Among ≥ 65 year-	88% (95% CI,	88.9)[China] <sup>36cxxxvi</sup>	
	(95% CI, 85.3-	recipients of	mRNA vaccine for	olds fully	0.02-		
	90.1%) by	mRNA-1273, VE	the third	vaccinated with	0.45)[China] <sup>37cxxxi</sup>	<u>Against</u>	
	BNT162b2 after	decreased an	dose.[Canada;	mRNA vaccines,		<u>Pneumonia (Two</u>	
	full vaccination.	estimated 10	November 2021 to	VE decreased		<u>Doses):</u>	
	[Based on	percentage when	December 2021]	from 93% (95%		78.4% (95% CI,	
	estimations from a	the Delta variant	CXX	CI: 88–96) in		56.9-	
	Rapid Review]	became dominant.		those		<b>99.9)</b> [China] <sup>36cxxxvii</sup>	
			VE against severe	vaccinated $\leq 3$			
	VE against	Among individuals	COVID-19 was	months ago to		<u>Against Severe or</u>	
	hospitalization	who received 2	<b>86%</b> (95% CI,	<b>43%</b> (95% CI: 30–		<u>Critical Illness</u>	
	was 93% (95% CI,	doses of vaccines	79.0–90.0) for	54) in those		<u>(Two Doses):</u>	
	90.0-94.0); South	(with at least	ages 18-49, <b>89%</b>	vaccinated $\geq 6$		100% (95% CI,	
	Africa)[September	1mRNA vaccine)	(95% CI, 85.0–	months ago.		98.4-	
	2021 to October	VE against Delta	91.0) for 50-64,	[Slovenia] <sup>cxxiii</sup>		100.0)[China] <sup>36</sup>	
	2021]	declined steadily	<b>77%</b> (95% Cl,			cxxxviii	
		over time from	74.0–81.0) for	<u>Individuals ≥50:</u>			

<sup>cviii</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, and Janssen.

<sup>cxxiii</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, Oxford-AstraZeneca, or Janssen.

cxxxviiiStudy does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines, Sinopharm or CoronaVac.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>cxx</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, or AstraZeneca.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>cxxix</sup>Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines, Sinopharm or CoronaVac.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>cxxx</sup>Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines, Sinopharm or CoronaVac.

cxxxi Study does not differentiate between the inactivated vaccines CoronaVac or BBIBP-CoRV.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>cxxxvi</sup>Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines, Sinopharm or CoronaVac.

cxxxviiStudy does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines, Sinopharm or CoronaVac.



Among early recipients of BNT162b2, VE decreased an estimated 15 percentage when the Delta variant became dominant. Among individuals who received 2 doses of vaccines (with at least 1mRNA vaccine) VE against Delta declined steadily over time from 84% (95%CI, 81- 86%) 7-59 days after the second dose to 71% (95%CI, 66-75%) ≥240 days after the second dose, but recovered to 93% (95%CI, 92- 94%) ≥7 days after receiving an mRNA vaccine for the third dose.[Canada;	84% (95%Cl, 81- 86%) 7-59 days after the second dose to 71% (95%Cl, 66-75%) ≥240 days after the second dose, but recovered to 93% (95%Cl, 92- 94%) ≥7 days after receiving an mRNA vaccine for the third dose.[Canada; November 2021 to December 2021] cvv VE was 62.0% (95% Cl, 45.6- 73.5) in the first month after complete vaccination and decreased to 57.8% (95%Cl, 52.5-62.5) by month 3, similar to to results from pre-Delta period.cvvi	≥ 65 year-olds. Among ≥ 65 year- olds fully vaccinated with mRNA vaccines, VE decreased from 93% (95% CI: 88–96) in those vaccinated ≤ 3 months ago to 43% (95% CI: 30– 54) in those vaccinated ≥ 6 months ago. [Slovenia] <sup>cxxi</sup> <u>Against Infection</u> (Two Doses): 83% (95% CI, 70.0- 90.0)[Thailand; 25 July 2021 to 23 October 2021] <sup>35</sup>	<b>83% (</b> 95% CI, 81- 85)		88% (95% CI, 0.02- 0.45)[China] <sup>37cxxxix</sup>			
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------	--	-----------------------------------------------------------	--	--	--

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>cxv</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, or AstraZeneca.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>cxxxix</sup> Study does not differentiate between the inactivated vaccines CoronaVac or BBIBP-CoRV.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>cxvi</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA vaccines, Pfizer and Moderna.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>cxxi</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, Oxford-AstraZeneca, or Janssen.



November 2021 to	One dose VE was	
December 2021]cix	77.0% (95% CI,	
	60.7-86.5%).	
VE was 62.0%		
(95% CI, 45.6-	Two dose VE was	
73.5) in the first	86.7% (95% CI	
month after	84.3%-88.7%).	
complete		
vaccination and	VE against	
decreased to	hospitalization	
57.8% (95%CI,	was 97.5% (95%	
52.5-62.5) by	Cl 92.7%-99.2%).	
month 3, similar to		
to results from	VE against	
pre-Delta period.cx	infection declined	
pro Dona ponoar	from 94.1% (95%	
Prior to the	CI 90.5%-96.3%)	
predominance of	14-60 days after	
the delta variant	vaccination to	
(delta comprising	80.0%(95% CI,	
1.8% of circulating	70.2-86.6%) 151-	
variants), median	180 days after.	
VE against	lee daye alter.	
infection was	VE against	
<b>91.3%</b> (95% CI,	infection was	
84.1-97.0) for	lower for $\ge 65$	
BNT162b2, and	years at 75.2%	
continuously	(95% CI 59.6%-	
declined in all	84.8) than those	
cohorts	18-64 years at	
(BNT162b2,	87.9%(95% CI,	
mRNA-1273,	85.5%-89.9%).	
Ad26.COV2.S)	00.070 00.070j.	
/(020.00 v2.0)		

<sup>cix</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, or AstraZeneca.

<sup>cx</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA vaccines, Pfizer and Moderna.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



from a median of	Prior to the
<b>93.4% (9</b> 5% CI,	predominance of
77.8- 98.0) when	the delta variant
the prevalence of	(delta comprising
delta was at 1.8%	1.8% of circulating
to <b>73.5%</b> (95% CI,	variants), median
13.8-90.0) when	VE against
delta prevalence	infection was
was 85.3%, and	<b>96.9%</b> (95% Cl,
<b>74.2%</b> (95% CI,	93.7-98.0) for
63.4-86.8) when	mRNA-1273 and
the prevalence of	<b>continuously</b>
delta was	<b>declined in all</b>
99.6%.[United	<b>cohorts</b>
States]	(BNT162b2,
For those who	mRNA-1273,
have received 2	Ad26.COV2.S)
doses of mRNA	from a median of
vaccines, VE is	<b>93.4%</b> (95% Cl,
41% (95% CI,	77.8- 98.0) when
37.0-44.0) against	the prevalence of
Delta.[United	delta was at 1.8%
States; 01	to <b>73.5%</b> (95% Cl,
December 2021 to	13.8-90.0) when
31 December	delta prevalence
2021] <sup>cxi</sup>	was 85.3%, and
VE against	<b>74.2%</b> (95% Cl,
symptomatic	63.4-86.8) when
infection was	the prevalence of
<b>88.7%</b> (95% CI],	delta was
78.8-93.9) among	99.6%.[United
patients aged 16	States]

<sup>cxi</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



to 64 and 90.3% (95% Cl, 73.6- 96.4) among patients agedFor those who have received 2 doses of mRNApatients aged $\geq 65.$ [Japan, 01 July to 30 September 2021] <sup>cxii</sup> 41% (95% Cl, States; 01 December 2021 to 31 December 2021 to 31 December 2021 to 31 December 2021 [cxvii]Against severe COVID-19: 91.4% (95% Cl, 82.5-95.7).Oeta.[United States; 01 December 2021 to 31 December 2021 to 31 December 2021 [cxvii]91.4% (95% Cl, 82.5-95.7).VE against severe COVID-19 was 86% (95% Cl, ages 18-49, 89% (95% Cl, 85.0- 91.0) for 50-64, 74.0-81.0) for $\geq 65$ year-olds.77% (95% Cl, $\geq 65$ year-olds.91.0) for 50-64, 74.0-81.0) for $\geq 65$ year-olds.Among $\geq 65$ year- olds fully vaccinated with mRNA vaccines, VE decreased from 93% (95% Cl: 88-96) in those vaccinated $\leq 3$ months ago to $43\%$ (95% Cl: 30- 54) in thoseFor those who have received 2 doses of mRNA 43% (95% Cl: 30-			
96.4) among patients aged $\geq 65.[Japan, 01]$ July to 30 September 2021] <sup>cxii</sup> doses of mRNA vaccines, VE is 41% (95% CI, States; 01 December 2021 to 31 December 2021 jaxviiAgainst severe COVID-19: 91.4% (95% CI, 82.5-95.7).VE against severe COVID-19 was 86% (95% CI, 79.0-90.0) for ages 18-49, 89% (95% CI, 85.0- 91.0) for 50-64, 77% (95% CI, 74.0-81.0) for $\geq 65$ year-olds.VE against severe COVID-19 was 86% (95% CI, 91.0) for 50-64, 77% (95% CI, 91.0) for 50-64, 74.0-81.0) for $\geq 65$ year-olds.Among $\geq 65$ year- olds fully vaccinated with mRNA vaccines, VE decreased from 93% (95% CI: 88-96) in those vaccinated < 3 months ago toMoses of mRNA 41% (95% CI, 0210 against CI: 88-96) in those vaccinated < 3 months ago to	to 64 and 90.3%	For those who	
patients aged $\geq 65.[Japan, 01]$ July to 30vaccines, VE is $41\% (95\% CI,$ $37.0-44.0) against$ Delta.[United States; 01 December 2021 to $31$ December $2021]^{cxvii}$ Against severe $COVID-19$ : 91.4% (95% CI, $82.5-95.7$ ).VE against severe $2021]^{cxvii}$ <b>Against severe</b> $COVID-19$ : 91.4% (95% CI, $86\% (95\% CI,$ $79.0-90.0) forages 18-49, 89%(95% CI, 85.0-91.0) for 50-64,79.0-90.0) forages 18-49, 89%(95% CI, 85.0-91.0) for 50-64,74.0-81.0) for\geq 65 year-olds.VE against severeCOVID-19 was86% (95% CI,79.0-90.0) forages 18-49, 89%(95% CI, 85.0-91.0) for 50-64,74.0-81.0) for\geq 65 year-olds.Among \geq 65 year-olds fullyvaccinated withmRNA vaccines,VE decreasedfrom 93% (95%CI: 88-96) inthosevaccinated \leq 3months ago toVaccinated \leq 3months ago to$	(95% CI, 73.6-	have received 2	
≥65.[Japan, 01 July to 3041% (95% Cl, 37.0-44.0) against Delta.[United States; 01 December 2021 to 31 December 2021 to 31 December 2021]cxviiAgainst severe $COVID-19$ : 91.4% (95% Cl, 82.5-95.7).31 December 2021]cxvii91.4% (95% Cl, 86% (95% Cl, 86% (95% Cl, 86% (95% Cl, 86% (95% Cl, 95% Cl, 85.0- 91.0) for 50-64, 91.0) for 50-64, 77% (95% Cl, 74.0-81.0) for ≥ 65 year-olds.VE against severe COVID-19 was 86% (95% Cl, 79.0-90.0) for ages 18-49, 89% (95% Cl, 85.0- 91.0) for 50-64, 77% (95% Cl, 74.0-81.0) for ≥ 65 year-olds.Among ≥ 65 year- olds fully vaccinated with mRNA vaccines, VE decreased from 93% (95% Cl: 88-96) in those vaccinated ≤ 3 months ago to41% (95% Cl, 37.0-44.0) against Delta.[United States; 01 December 2021 to 31 December 2021]cxviiVE decreased from 93% (95% Cl: 88-96) in those vaccinated ≤ 3 months ago toVE decreased ≤ 3 months ago to	96.4) among	doses of mRNA	
July to 30 $37.0-44.0$ ) againstSeptember $2021]^{cxii}$ $37.0-44.0$ ) against $2021]^{cxii}$ Delta.[UnitedAqainst severe $2021$ to $COVID-19$ : $31$ December $2021$ to $91.4\%$ (95% Cl, $31$ December $2021$ ]cxvii $91.4\%$ (95% Cl, $COVID-19$ was $86\%$ (95% Cl, $COVID-19$ was $79.0-90.0$ ) for $86\%$ (95% Cl, $ages 18-49$ , $89\%$ $79.0-90.0$ ) for $(95\%$ Cl, $85.0 79.0-90.0$ ) for $91.0$ ) for 50-64, $79.0-90.0$ ) for $91.0$ ) for 50-64, $95\%$ Cl, $85.0 77\%$ (95% Cl, $91.0$ ) for 50-64, $74.0-81.0$ ) for $77\%$ (95% Cl, $265$ year-olds. $74.0-81.0$ ) forAmong $\geq 65$ year- $265$ year-olds.Among $\geq 65$ year- $265$ year-olds.Among $\geq 65$ year- $265$ year- $0ds$ fullyvaccinated withmRNA vaccines,VE decreasedfrom $93\%$ (95%Cl: $88-96$ ) inthoseCl: $88-96$ ) invaccinated $\leq 3$ thosewanths ago tovaccinated $\leq 3$ $43\%$ (95% Cl: $30-$ months ago to	patients aged	vaccines, VE is	
September $2021$ ] <sup>cxii</sup> Delta.[United States; 01 December 2021 to 31 December 2021 to 31 December 2021]Aqainst severe $COVID-19$ : 91.4% (95% Cl, $82.5-95.7$ ).Delta.[United States; 01 December 2021 to 31 December $2021$ ] <sup>cxvii</sup> 91.4% (95% Cl, $85\%$ (95% Cl, $79.0-90.0$ ) for ages 18-49, 89% (95% Cl, 85.0- 91.0) for 50-64, $77\%$ (95% Cl, $265$ year-olds.VE against severe $COVID-19$ was $86\%$ (95% Cl, $91.0$ ) for 50-64, $74.0-81.0$ ) for $\geq 65$ year-olds.Among $\geq 65$ year- olds fully vaccinated with mRNA vaccines, VE decreased from 93% (95% Cl: $88-96$ ) in those vaccinated $\leq 3$ months ago toVelta.[United States; 01 December 2021 to $31$ December $2021$ ] <sup>cxvii</sup>	≥65.[Japan, 01	41% (95% CI,	
$2021]^{cxii}$ States; 01 December 2021 to 31 December $2021]^{cxvii}$ Aqainst severe $COVID-19:$ $31$ December $2021]^{cxvii}$ 91.4% (95% Cl, $82.5-95.7$ ).VE against severe $COVID-19$ was $86% (95% Cl,$ $39.0-90.0) forages 18-49, 89%91.0 for 50-64,77% (95% Cl,91.0) for 50-64,74.0-81.0) for\geq 65 year-olds.VE against severeCOVID-19 was86% (95% Cl,91.0) for 50-64,74.0-81.0) for\geq 65 year-olds.Among \geq 65 year-olds fullyvaccinated withmRNA vaccines,VE decreasedfrom 93% (95%Cl: 88-96) inthosevaccinated \leq 3months ago toVates; 01December 2021 to31 December2021]^{cxvii}VE decreasedfrom 93% (95%T% decreasedfrom 93% (95%Cl: 88-96) inthoseKe decreasedfrom 93% (95%Cl: 88-96) inthoseVaccinated \leq 3months ago toVaccinated \leq 3months ago toVaccinated \leq 3months ago to$	July to 30	37.0-44.0) against	
$2021]^{cxii}$ States; 01 December 2021 to 31 December $2021]^{cxvii}$ Aqainst severe $COVID-19:$ $31$ December $2021]^{cxvii}$ 91.4% (95% Cl, $82.5-95.7$ ).VE against severe $COVID-19$ was $86% (95% Cl,$ $39.0-90.0) forages 18-49, 89%91.0 for 50-64,77% (95% Cl,91.0) for 50-64,74.0-81.0) for\geq 65 year-olds.VE against severeCOVID-19 was86% (95% Cl,91.0) for 50-64,74.0-81.0) for\geq 65 year-olds.Among \geq 65 year-olds fullyvaccinated withmRNA vaccines,VE decreasedfrom 93% (95%Cl: 88-96) inthosevaccinated \leq 3months ago toVates; 01December 2021 to31 December2021]^{cxvii}VE decreasedfrom 93% (95%T% decreasedfrom 93% (95%Cl: 88-96) inthoseKe decreasedfrom 93% (95%Cl: 88-96) inthoseVaccinated \leq 3months ago toVaccinated \leq 3months ago toVaccinated \leq 3months ago to$	September	Delta.[United	
Against severe $COVID-19$ :31 December $2021$ ]cxvii91.4% (95% Cl, $82.5-95.7$ ). $31 December2021]cxvii91.4% (95% Cl,86\% (95% Cl,79.0-90.0) forages 18-49, 89%(95% Cl, 85.0-91.0) for 50-64,77\% (95% Cl,91.0) for 50-64,77\% (95% Cl,95\% Cl, 85.0-91.0) for 50-64,77\% (95% Cl,265 year-olds.Among \geq 65 year-olds fullyvaccinated withmRNA vaccines,VE decreasedfrom 93% (95%Cl: 88-96) inthosevaccinated \leq 3months ago to31 December2021]cxvii31 December2021]cxvii31 December2021]cxvii91.0) for(95% Cl,79.0-90.0) forages 18-49, 89%(95% Cl,79.0-90.0) forages 18-49, 89%(95% Cl,74.0-81.0) for265 year-olds.Among \geq 65 year-olds fullyvaccinated withmRNA vaccines,VE decreasedfrom 93% (95%Cl: 88-96) inthosevaccinated \leq 3months ago to$	2021] <sup>cxii</sup>	States; 01	
$\overline{COVID-19:}$ $2021]^{cxvii}$ 91.4% (95% Cl,VE against severe86% (95% Cl,COVID-19 was79.0-90.0) for86% (95% Cl,ages 18-49, 89%79.0-90.0) for(95% Cl, 85.0-ages 18-49, 89%91.0) for 50-64,(95% Cl, 85.0-77% (95% Cl,91.0) for 50-64,74.0-81.0) for77% (95% Cl,265 year-olds.74.0-81.0) forAmong $\geq$ 65 year-olds.Among $\geq$ 65 year-olds.Among $\geq$ 65 year-olds.Among $\geq$ 65 year-olds.VE decreasedmRNA vaccines,VE decreasedfrom 93% (95%Cl: 88-96) infrom 93% (95%Cl: 88-96) inthosevaccinated $\leq$ 3thosewanths ago tovaccinated $\leq$ 343% (95% Cl: 30-months ago to		December 2021 to	
$91.4\%$ (95% Cl, 82.5-95.7).VE against severe COVID-19 was 86% (95% Cl, ages 18-49, 89% (95% Cl, 85.0- 91.0) for 50-64, 77% (95% Cl, 91.0) for 50-64, 74.0-81.0) for $265$ year-olds.VE against severe COVID-19 was ages 18-49, 89% (95% Cl, 85.0- 91.0) for 50-64, 77% (95% Cl, $265$ year-olds. Among $\geq 65$ year- olds fully vaccinated with mRNA vaccines, VE decreased from 93% (95% Cl: 88-96) in those vaccinated $\leq 3$ months ago toVE against severe COVID-19 was ages 18-49, 89% (95% Cl, 85.0- 91.0) for 50-64, (95% Cl, 85.0- 91.0) for 50-64, (95% Cl, 91.0) for 50-64, 74.0-81.0) for $\geq 65$ year-olds. Among $\geq 65$ year- olds fully vaccinated with mRNA vaccines, VE decreased from 93% (95% Cl: 88-96) in those vaccinated $\leq 3$ months ago to	Against severe	31 December	
82.5-95.7).       VE against severe $86%$ (95% CI,       COVID-19 was $79.0-90.0$ ) for $86%$ (95% CI,         ages 18-49, $89%$ $79.0-90.0$ ) for         (95% CI, 85.0-       ages 18-49, $89%$ 91.0) for 50-64,       (95% CI, 85.0- $77%$ (95% CI,       91.0) for 50-64, $74.0-81.0$ ) for $77%$ (95% CI,         ≥ 65 year-olds.       74.0-81.0) for         Among ≥ 65 year-       ≥ 65 year-olds.         olds fully       Among ≥ 65 year-         vaccinated with       olds fully         mRNA vaccines,       VE decreased         from 93% (95%       CI: 88-96) in         CI: 88-96) in       from 93% (95%         CI: 88-96) in       those         vaccinated ≤ 3       those         months ago to       vaccinated ≤ 3         Months ago to       vaccinated ≤ 3	<u>COVID-19:</u>	2021] <sup>cxvii</sup>	
86% (95% CI, 79.0-90.0) for ages 18-49, 89% (95% CI, 85.0- 91.0) for 50-64, 74.0-81.0) for $265$ year-olds.COVID-19 was 86% (95% CI, ages 18-49, 89% (95% CI, 85.0- 91.0) for 50-64, 77% (95% CI, 91.0) for 50-64, 74.0-81.0) for $265$ year-olds.Among $\geq 65$ year- olds fully waccinated with mRNA vaccines, VE decreased from 93% (95%CI: 88-96) in from 93% (95% CI: 88-96) in those vaccinated $\leq 3$ months ago toCOVID-19 was 86% (95% CI, 91.0) for 79.0-90.0) for ages 18-49, 89% (95% CI, 85.0- 91.0) for 50-64, 74.0-81.0) for $77\%$ (95% CI, $74.0-81.0) for265 year-olds.Among \geq 65 year-olds fullywaccinated withmRNA vaccines,VE decreasedfrom 93% (95%VE decreasedfrom 93% (95%CI: 88-96) inthosewaccinated \leq 3months ago to$	91.4% (95% Cl,		
$79.0-90.0$ ) for ages 18-49, <b>89%</b> (95% Cl, 85.0- 91.0) for 50-64, 74.0-81.0) for <b>86%</b> (95% Cl, 79.0-90.0) for ages 18-49, <b>89%</b> (95% Cl, 85.0- 91.0) for 50-64, 77% (95% Cl, 74.0-81.0) for $265$ year-olds. Among $\geq 65$ year- olds fully waccinated with mRNA vaccines, VE decreased from 93% (95% Cl: 88-96) in those Cl: 88-96) in those vaccinated $\leq 3$ months ago to <b>86%</b> (95% Cl, 79.0-90.0) for ages 18-49, <b>89%</b> (95% Cl, 85.0- 91.0) for 50-64, 77% (95% Cl, $265$ year-olds. Among $\geq 65$ year- olds fully vaccinated with mRNA vaccines, VE decreased from 93% (95% Cl: 88-96) in those vaccinated $\leq 3$ months ago to	82.5-95.7).	VE against severe	
ages 18-49, 89% (95% Cl, 85.0- 91.0) for 50-64, $77\%$ (95% Cl, $25\%$ Cl, 85.0- $91.0$ ) for 50-64, $74.0-81.0$ ) for $265$ year-olds. Among $\geq 65$ year-olds. $74.0-81.0$ ) for $265$ year-olds. Among $\geq 65$ year- olds fully mRNA vaccines, VE decreased from 93% (95% Cl: 88-96) in those Cl: 88-96) in those Vaccinated $\leq 3$ months ago to79.0-90.0) for ages 18-49, 89% (95% Cl, 85.0- $77\%$ (95% Cl, 91.0) for 50-64, $74.0-81.0$ ) for $\geq 65$ year-olds. Among $\geq 65$ year- olds fully vaccinated with mRNA vaccines, VE decreased from 93% (95% Cl: 88-96) in those vaccinated $\leq 3$ months ago to	86% (95% Cl,	COVID-19 was	
$(95\% CI, 85.0-)$ ages $18-49, 89\%$ $91.0)$ for $50-64,$ $(95\% CI, 85.0-)$ $77\%$ $(95\% CI, 91.0)$ for $50-64,$ $74.0-81.0)$ for $77\%$ $(95\% CI, 265 year-olds.)$ $Among \ge 65 year-olds.$ $74.0-81.0)$ for $among \ge 65 year-olds.$ $among \ge 65 year-olds$	79.0–90.0) for	<b>86%</b> (95% CI,	
91.0) for 50-64, 77% (95% CI, $91.0$ ) for 50-64, $74.0-81.0$ ) for91.0) for 50-64, $74.0-81.0$ ) for $265$ year-olds. $74.0-81.0$ ) for $265$ year-olds.Among $\geq 65$ year- olds fully $265$ year-olds. $4mong \geq 65$ year- olds fullyVaccinated with mRNA vaccines, $0ds fully$ vaccinated with mRNA vaccines, VE decreased from 93% (95%VE decreased from 93% (95% $from 93\%$ (95% CI: 88-96) in thoseCl: 88-96) in vaccinated $\leq 3$ months ago to $from 93\%$ (95% vaccinated $\leq 3$ months ago to	ages 18-49, <b>89%</b>	79.0–90.0) for	
77% (95% CI, 74.0-81.0) for91.0) for 50-64, 77% (95% CI, 74.0-81.0) for $\geq 65$ year-olds.74.0-81.0) for $\geq 65$ year-olds. $among \geq 65$ year- olds fully $\geq 65$ year-olds. $vaccinated with$ mRNA vaccines, $Among \geq 65$ year- olds fullyVE decreased from 93% (95%mRNA vaccines, VE decreased $CI: 88-96)$ in thosefrom 93% (95% CI: 88-96) in thoseVaccinated $\leq 3$ months ago toCI: 88-96) in those43% (95% CI: 30-months ago to	(95% CI, 85.0–	ages 18-49, <b>89%</b>	
$74.0-81.0$ ) for $\geq 65$ year-olds. $77\%$ (95% Cl, $74.0-81.0$ ) for $\geq 65$ year-olds.Among $\geq 65$ year- olds fully $\geq 65$ year-olds.vaccinated with mRNA vaccines,Among $\geq 65$ year- olds fullyVE decreased from 93% (95%mRNA vaccines, VE decreasedCl: 88-96) in thosefrom 93% (95% Cl: 88-96) in thoseVaccinated $\leq 3$ months ago toCl: 88-96) in those	91.0) for 50-64,	(95% CI, 85.0–	
≥ 65 year-olds. Among ≥ 65 year-olds. olds fully ×accinated with mRNA vaccines, VE decreased from 93% (95% Cl: 88–96) in vaccinated ≤ 3 months ago to Keight of the second s	77% (95% CI,	91.0) for 50-64,	
Among $\geq$ 65 year- olds fully $\geq$ 65 year-olds. Among $\geq$ 65 year- olds fullyvaccinated with mRNA vaccines, $\geq$ 65 year-olds. Among $\geq$ 65 year- olds fully vaccinated with mRNA vaccines,VE decreased from 93% (95%VE decreased from 93% (95%Cl: 88-96) in vaccinated $\leq$ 3 months ago toCl: 88-96) in thosevaccinated $\leq$ 3 months ago tothose vaccinated $\leq$ 3 months ago to	74.0–81.0) for	<b>77%</b> (95% Cl,	
olds fullyAmong $\geq$ 65 year- olds fullyvaccinated with mRNA vaccines,accinated with waccinated with mRNA vaccines,VE decreased from 93% (95%VE decreased from 93% (95%CI: 88–96) in thosefrom 93% (95% CI: 88–96) in thosevaccinated $\leq$ 3 months ago tocli 88–96) in those43% (95% CI: 30–months ago to	≥65 year-olds.	74.0–81.0) for	
vaccinated with mRNA vaccines,olds fully vaccinated with mRNA vaccines,VE decreased from 93% (95%VE decreased from 93% (95%CI: $88-96$ ) in thosefrom 93% (95% CI: $88-96$ ) in thosevaccinated $\leq 3$ months ago tocli $88-96$ ) in those43% (95% CI: $30-$ months ago to	Among≥65 year-	≥65 year-olds.	
mRNA vaccines, VE decreased from 93% (95%vaccinated with mRNA vaccines, VE decreased from 93% (95%CI: $88-96$ ) in thosefrom 93% (95% CI: $88-96$ ) in thosevaccinated $\leq 3$ months ago tocli $88-96$ ) in thosevaccinated $\leq 3$ months ago tomonths ago to	olds fully	Among≥65 year-	
VE decreased from 93% (95%)mRNA vaccines, VE decreased from 93% (95%)CI: $88-96$ ) in thosefrom 93% (95%)Vaccinated $\leq 3$ months ago tocl: $88-96$ ) in thosevaccinated $\leq 3$ months ago tovaccinated $\leq 3$ months ago to	vaccinated with	olds fully	
from 93% (95% Cl: $88-96$ ) in thoseVE decreased from 93% (95% Cl: $88-96$ ) in those waccinated $\leq 3$ months ago tovaccinated $\leq 3$ Months ago tovaccinated $\leq 3$ months ago to	mRNA vaccines,	vaccinated with	
CI: 88–96) in       from 93% (95%         those       CI: 88–96) in         vaccinated ≤ 3       those         months ago to       vaccinated ≤ 3         43% (95% CI: 30–       months ago to	VE decreased	mRNA vaccines,	
thoseCI: $88-96$ ) invaccinated $\leq 3$ thosemonths ago tovaccinated $\leq 3$ 43% (95% CI: $30-$ months ago to	from 93% (95%	VE decreased	
vaccinated ≤ 3         those           months ago to         vaccinated ≤ 3           43% (95% CI: 30–         months ago to	CI: 88–96) in	•	
months ago to vaccinated ≤ 3 43% (95% CI: 30– months ago to	those	Cl: 88–96) in	
<b>43%</b> (95% CI: 30– months ago to		those	
		vaccinated $\leq 3$	
54) in those <b>43%</b> (95% CI: 30–			
	54) in those	43% (95% CI: 30-	

 $^{\mbox{cxii}}$  Study does not differentiate between BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273.

<sup>cxvii</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



vaccinated ≥ 6 months ago. [Slovenia] <sup>cxiii</sup>	54) in those vaccinated $\geq$ 6 months ago. [Slovenia] <sup>cxviii</sup> VE against symptomatic infection was <b>88.7%</b> (95% CI], 78.8-93.9) among patients aged 16 to 64 and <b>90.3%</b> (95% CI, 73.6- 96.4) among patients aged $\geq$ 65.[Japan, 01 July to 30 September 2021] <sup>cxix</sup> Pooled VE was <b>66%</b> (95% CI, 65.0-67.0) $\geq$ 21 days after the first dose and <b>91%</b> (95% CI, 84.0- 95.0) $\geq$ 14 days after the second dose.		
--------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

<sup>cxiii</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, Oxford-AstraZeneca, or Janssen.

<sup>cxviii</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, Oxford-AstraZeneca, or Janssen.

<sup>cxix</sup> Study does not differentiate between BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



Mu (B.1.621)	Mu variant is 9.1 times more resistant than the wild type strain when vaccinated with BNT162b2	<u>Two doses:</u> 90.4% (95% CI, 73.9-96.5) (demonstrated similar protective measures as against the Alpha variant)	No available data	No available data	No available data	No available data	No available data	No available data
Omicron (B.1.1.529)	<ul> <li>88.0% (95% CI, 65.9-95.8) after 2- 9 weeks following second dose, 48.5% (95% CI, 24.3-65.0) after 10-14 weeks following second dose, 34-37% from 15 weeks after second dose<sup>38</sup></li> <li>If assuming a 25- fold decrease in pseudovirus neutralization 66% (95% CI, 42-86)<sup>39</sup></li> <li>VE against the Omicron variant was 55.2% (95% CI, 23.5 to 73.7%) for BNT162b2 in the first month after primary vaccination.</li> </ul>	2-dose VE against omicron infection was 30.4% (95% CI, 5.0%-49.0%) at 14-90 days after vaccination and declined quickly thereafter. [United States; December 6 2021 to December 23 2021] <sup>43</sup> VE against the Omicron variant was 36.7% (95% CI: -69.9 to 76.4%) for mRNA- 1273 in the first month after primary vaccination. [Denmark, November 2021] <sup>40</sup> 2 doses of COVID-19	No protective effect of vaccination against symptomatic disease with Omicron from 15 weeks after the second dose <sup>38</sup> 2 doses of COVID-19 vaccines was not protective against Omicron infection at any point in time, and VE was -38% (95%Cl, – 61%, –18%) 120- 179 days and – 42% (95%Cl, – 69%, –19%) 180- 239 days after the second dose. VE against Omicron was 37% (95%Cl, 19-50%) ≥7 days after receiving an					



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



However, the VE is significantly lower than that against Delta	vaccines was not protective against Omicron infection at any point in	mRNA vaccine for the third dose.[Canada; November 2021 to			
infection and declines rapidly over just a few months.	time, and VE was –38% (95%CI, – 61%, –18%) 120- 179 days and –	December 2021] 41 cxliv			
[Denmark, November 2021 to December 2021] <sup>40</sup>	42% (95%Cl, – 69%, –19%) 180- 239 days after the				
2 doses of COVID-19 vaccines was not	second dose. VE against Omicron was 37% (95%CI, 19-50%) ≥7 days				
protective against Omicron infection at any point in	after receiving an mRNA vaccine for the third				
time, and VE was -38% (95%Cl, - 61%, -18%) 120-	dose.[Canada; November 2021 to December 2021] 41 cxlii				
179 days and – 42% (95%Cl, – 69%, –19%) 180- 239 days after the	VE was 30.4% (95% Cl, 5.0%-				
second dose. VE against Omicron was 37% (95%CI,	<b>49.0%)</b> 14-90 days after vaccination and				
19-50%) ≥7 days after receiving an mRNA vaccine for the third	declined thereafter. <sup>43</sup> VE was 25% (95%				
dose.[Canada;	Cl, 20.0-30.0)				

<sup>cxlii</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, or AstraZeneca.

<sup>cxliv</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, or AstraZeneca.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



	November 2021 to December 2021] <sup>41 cxl</sup> VE was 25% (95% CI, 20.0-30.0) against Omicron infection. [United States; 01 December 2021 to 31 December 2021] <sup>42 cxli</sup>	against Omicron infection. [United States; 01 December 2021 to 31 December 2021] <sup>42cxliii</sup>						
			EFFECTIVE	NESS AGAINST HOS	PITALIZATION			
	BNT162b2/ COMIRNATY	Spikevax/ Moderna COVID- 19 Vaccine/ mRNA-1273	Vaxzevria/ ChAdOx1 nCoV- 19/ AZD1222/ Covishield	Janssen COVID- 19 vaccine/Johnson & Johnson	Covilo/ BBIBP- CorV	CoronaVac	COVAXIN / BBV152	Nuvaxovid/ NVX- CoV2373/ Covovax
Any SARS-CoV- 2 infection	<u>Single dose:</u> <b>85%</b> (pooled meta-analysis)	<u>Single dose:</u> <b>73%</b> (pooled meta-analysis) <u>Individuals ≥50:</u>	<u>Single dose:</u> <b>56%</b> (pooled meta-analysis)	VE against hospitalization or death ≥ 14 days from vaccine series completion was 57.7% (95%	<u>Two doses:</u> VE against hospitalization was <b>71.9%</b> [95% Cl: 70.7-73.1%] for those who	<u>Against</u> <u>hospitalization:</u> <b>71.2%</b> (95%Cl, 70.0-72.4)[Brazil, 18 January 2021 to July 2021]	No available data	No available data

<sup>cxl</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, or AstraZeneca.

<sup>cxli</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA vaccines.

cxliii Study does not differentiate between mRNA vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



Hospitalization risk reduced by 35-	≥14 days after first dose: <b>54%</b>	Hospitalization risk reduced by	CI, -2.6-82.5) for Ad26.COV2.S.	received the full vaccination		
<b>45%</b> .	(95% CI, 47-61) [1 Jan-22 Jun. <sup>clii</sup>	<b>35-45%</b> .	[Brazil]	schedule of BBIBP-CorV.[Iran]	Against ICU	
Risk of death			Risk divided by	DDIDI CONV.[IIGII]	admission:	
reduced by 54%.	<u>Two doses:</u>	<u>Two doses:</u>	3.1 for mortality,		<b>72.0%</b> (95% CI, 69.9-73.9:	
Individuals ≥50:	<b>88%</b> (pooled meta-analysis)	<b>91%</b> (pooled meta-analysis)	2.8 for ICU		Malaysia) [Apr-	
$\geq$ 14 days after	<b>91%</b> (95% CI,	meta analysis)	admission, and by 1.8 for		Sep 2021]	
first dose: 54%	93%-96%; United	<b>92%</b> (95% CI, 80-	hospitalization[Fra			
(95% CI, 47-61) [1	States) [May to	97; Sweden) [27	nce;18 January		72.2% (95%Cl,	
Jan-22 Jun. <sup>cxlv</sup>	July 2021] <sup>cliii</sup>	Dec 2020-2 Nov	2021 to 13 August		70.2-74.0)[Brazil,	
True deser		2021]	2021] <sup>44clx</sup>		18 January 2021	
<u>Two doses:</u> 91% (pooled	<b>79%</b> (95% Cl, 60- 89; Sweden) [27	VE against			to July 2021]	
meta-analysis)	Dec 2020-2 Nov	hospitalization or			Against death:	
<b>91%</b> (95% Cl,	2021]	death ≥ 14 days			<b>82.4%</b> (95% Cl,	
93%-96%; United	-	from vaccine			81.0-83.7;	
States) [May to	Adjusted Hazard	series completion			Malaysia) [Apr-	
July 2021] <sup>cxlvi</sup>	Ratio for COVID-	was 89.9% (95%			Sep 2021]	
<b>89%</b> (95% CI, 84-	19 hospitalization from day 7 after	Cl, 83.5-93.8) for ChAdOx1. [Brazil]			VE against hospitalization or	
93; Sweden) [27	the second dose				death $\geq$ 14 days	
Dec 2020-2 Nov	was estimated at	VE against			from vaccine	
2021]	0.14 (95% CI,	hospitalization,			series completion	
	0.11–0.17), for an	<b>91.4%</b> (95%C,			was 81.3% (95%	
Against ICU	estimated 86%	90.1-92.5).			CI, 75.3-85.8) for	
<u>admission</u> : 90.3% (95% CI,	(95% CI, 83.0%- 88.0%) risk	VE against			CoronaVac. [Brazil]	
88.8-91.6;	reduction in	hospitalization			נטומצוון	

<sup>cxlv</sup> mRNA-aggregated data (results do not disaggregate between BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273).

<sup>clx</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer-BioNTech, AstraZeneca, Moderna, and Johnson & Johnson.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

cxlvi Results do not disaggregate between mRNA vaccines, BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>clii</sup> mRNA-aggregated data (results do not disaggregate between BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273).

cliii Results do not disaggregate between mRNA vaccines, BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273.



Malaysia) [Apr-	people aged 75	was <b>81.5%</b> [95%	Adjusted odds	
Sep 2021]	and older [France]	Cl: 79.5-83.4%]	ratios of COVID	
	cliv	for those who	hospitalisation or	
<u>Against death:</u>		received the full	death were	
92.7% (95% Cl,	Fully vaccinated	vaccination	significantly	
91.7-93.6;	patients had a	schedule of	increased from 98	
Malaysia) [Apr-	shorter overall	ChAdOx1-	days since series	
Sep 2021]	length of stay in	S/nCoV-19. [Iran]	completion,	
	hospitals (aHR for		compared to	
Adjusted Hazard	discharge: 1.61,	Against ICU	individuals	
Ratio for COVID-	95%CI: 1.24–	admission:	vaccinated 14-41	
19 hospitalization	2.08), shorter LoS	95.6% (95% CI,	days previously:	
from day 7 after	without ICU (aHR:	88.3-98.4;	1.40 (95% CI,	
the second dose	1.27, 95%CI:	Malaysia) [Apr-	1.09 to 1.79) from	
was estimated at	1.07-1.52), and	Sep 2021]	98-125 days, 1.55	
0.14 (95% CI,	lower risk of ICU		(1.16 to 2.07) from	
0.11-0.17), for an	admission (aHR:	<b>91.1%</b> (95%Cl,	126-153 days,	
estimated 86%	0.50, 95%CI:	88-9-92.9).	1.56 (1.12 to 2.18)	
(95% CI, 83.0%-	0.37-0.69)		from 154-181	
88.0%) risk	compared to		days, and 2.12	
reduction in	unvaccinated	Against death:	(1.39-3.22) from	
people aged 75	patients. We	95.3% (95% CI,	182 days. [Brazil;	
and older [France]	observed no	91.3-97.4;	January 2021 to	
cxlvii	difference in the	Malaysia) [Apr-	September 2021]	
	LoS in ICU, nor	Sep 2021]		
Fully vaccinated	risk of in-hospital	· ·	73.7% (95%Cl,	
patients had a	death between	<b>92.3%</b> (95%Cl,	72.1–75.2)[Brazil,	
shorter overall	fully vaccinated	90.5-93.7)[Brazil,	18 January 2021	
length of stay in	and unvaccinated	18 January 2021	to July 2021]	
hospitals (aHR for	patients. [Norway,	to July 2021]		
discharge: 1.61,	February 2021 to	, ,	84.8%	
95%CI: 1.24-	,		(95%CI:77.1–	
2.08), shorter LoS			89.9) in those <60	

<sup>cxlvii</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer/BioNTech and Moderna.

<sup>cliv</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer/BioNTech and Moderna.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



without ICU (aHR: 1.27, 95%CI: 1.07–1.52), and lower risk of ICU admission (aHR: 0.50, 95%CI: 0.37–0.69) compared to unvaccinated patients. We observed no difference in the LoS in ICU, nor risk of in-hospital death between fully vaccinated and unvaccinated patients. [Norway, February 2021 to November 2021] cxl/iii	November 2021] clv VE was observed to increase after the first dose of mRNA vaccines with week 6 effectiveness approximating 84% (95% CI 72.0-91.0) for COVID-19 infection and 86% (95% CI, 69.0- 95.0) for COVID- 19-associated hospitalization.[Un ited States] clvi	<60 years VE against death was <b>96.5%</b> (95%Cl, 82.1–99.3) versus <b>68-5%</b> (95%Cl, 40.0–83.4) in those ≥90 years.[Brazil, 18 January 2021 to July 2021] Risk divided by 3.1 for mortality, 2.8 for ICU admission, and by 1.8 for hospitalization[Fra nce;18 January 2021 to 13 August 2021] <sup>44</sup> clix	years compared to <b>63.5</b> (95%CI 58.7–67.7) for those aged 80–89 years and <b>48.6%</b> ; (95%CI:35.0– 59.3) for individuals aged $\geq$ 90 years. [Brazil, 18 January 2021 to July 2021] <b>Two Doses:</b> <b>71.1% (95% CI, 62.9-77.6)</b> $\geq$ 14 days[Indonesia; 13 January 2021 to 30 June 2021] <sup>33</sup>	
VE was observed to increase after the first dose of mRNA vaccines with week 6 effectiveness approximating 84% (95% CI 72.0-91.0) for	VE against hospitalization 14– 119 days following second Moderna vaccine dose was 89.6% (95% CI = 80.1%–94.5%) at $\geq$ 120 days VE was 86.1% (95% CI = 77.7%–			

cxlviii Study does not differentiate between mRNA vaccines Pfizer and Moderna.

clix Study does not differentiate between Pfizer-BioNTech, AstraZeneca, Moderna, and Johnson & Johnson.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>clv</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA vaccines Pfizer and Moderna.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>clvi</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer and Moderna.



COVID-19 infection and 86% (95% CI, 69.0- 95.0) for COVID- 19-associated hospitalization.[Un ited States] <sup>cxlix</sup> Adjusted VE against hospitalization was 93.4% (CI:91.9–94.7%) and 91.1% (CI:86.5–94.1%) against death.[Israel]	91.3%).[United States; February 2021 to September 2021] Adjusted Hazard Ratio was 0.14% (95% CI, 0.11- 0.17) against hospitalization 7 days after second dose among people aged 75 and older; which is an <b>estimated</b> <b>86% risk</b> <b>reduction</b> . [France] <sup>clvii</sup>	
Adjusted Hazard Ratio was 0.14% (95% CI, 0.11- 0.17) against hospitalization 7 days after second dose among people aged 75 and older; which is an <b>estimated 86%</b>	Risk divided by 3.1 for mortality, 2.8 for ICU admission, and by 1.8 for hospitalization[Fra nce;18 January 2021 to 13 August 2021] <sup>44ctviii</sup>	

<sup>cxlix</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer and Moderna.

<sup>clvii</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.

<sup>clviii</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer-BioNTech, AstraZeneca, Moderna, and Johnson & Johnson.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



<b>risk reduction</b> . [France] <sup>cl</sup>				
VE against death among older population was <b>75.2%</b> (95% CI, 54.6-86.4). [France]				
VE was <b>82%</b> (95% CI, 69.0-90.0) against hospitalization after full vaccination and <b>53%</b> (95% CI, 23.0-71.0) for partially vaccinated.[Leban on; April to May 2021]				
Risk divided by 3.1 for mortality, 2.8 for ICU admission, and by 1.8 for hospitalization[Fra nce;18 January 2021 to 13 August 2021] <sup>44cli</sup>				

<sup>cl</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.

<sup>cli</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer-BioNTech, AstraZeneca, Moderna, and Johnson & Johnson.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



Alpha	Single dose: 83% (95% Cl, 62-93) 53% (95% Cl, 7- 83; England) [Feb- Sep 2021] Two doses: 95% (95% Cl, 78-99) 71% (95% Cl, 12- 95; England) [Feb- Sep 2021] <u>Against death:</u> 98.2% (95% Cl, 95.9-99.2) [2-9 weeks] 90.4% (95% Cl, 85.1-93.8) [≥20 weeks] <u>One Dose:</u> 84.0% (95% Cl, 72.6-90.6) [France; January to June 2021] <sup>45ctxi</sup> <u>Two Doses:</u> 96.2% (95% Cl, 86.8-98.9)[France;	<u>One Dose:</u> 84.0% (95% Cl, 72.6-90.6) [France; January to June 2021] <sup>45clxiii</sup> <u>Two Doses:</u> 96.2% (95% Cl, 86.8-98.9)[France; January to June 2021] <sup>45clxiv</sup>	Single dose: <b>76%</b> (95% Cl, 61-85) <b>3%</b> (95% Cl, -38 – 39; England) [Feb- Sep 2021] Two doses: <b>86%</b> (95% Cl, 53-96) <b>26%</b> (95% Cl, -39 – 73; England) [Feb-Sep 2021] <u>Against death:</u> <b>94.1%</b> (95% Cl, 91.8-95.8) [2-9 weeks] <b>78.7%</b> (95% Cl, 52.1-90.4) [≥20 weeks] <u>One Dose:</u> <b>84.0%</b> (95% Cl, <b>72.6-90.6)</b> [France; January to June 2021] <sup>45clxv</sup> <u>Two Doses:</u> <b>96.2%</b> (95% Cl, <b>86.8-98.9</b> )[France;	<b>Beta</b> <b>67%</b> effective at preventing hospitalizations <u>Against death:</u> 96% effective at preventing death	No available data	No available data	No available data	No available data
-------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------

<sup>ctxi</sup> Study does not differentiate between BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2-S.

ckv Study does not differentiate between BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2-S.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>clxiii</sup> Study does not differentiate between BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2-S. <sup>clxiv</sup> Study does not differentiate between BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2-S.



	January to June 2021] <sup>45clxii</sup>		January to June 2021] <sup>45clxvi</sup>					
Gamma	No available data	No available data	No available data	<b>72.9%</b> (95% CI, 35.1-91.1) <u>Against ICU</u> <u>admission:</u> <b>92.5%</b> (95% CI, 54.9-99.6) <u>Against death:</u> <b>90.5%</b> (95% CI, 31.5-99.6)	No available data	<u>Against</u> <u>hospitalization:</u> <b>95%</b> (95% CI, 86.9-98.1) <u>Against death:</u> <b>94.9%</b> (95% CI, 76.4-98.9)	No available data	No available data
Delta	<u>Single dose:</u> 94% (95% Cl, 46- 99) 91% (95% Cl, 90- 93) 4% (95% Cl, -21 – 44; England) [Feb- Sep 2021] <u>Two doses:</u> 96% (95% Cl, 86- 99)	<u>Single dose:</u> 81% (95% CI, 81- 90.6) <u>Two doses:</u> 84% (95% CI, 80- 87) 95% (95% CI, 92- 97) [Jun-Aug 2021] 96.7% (95% CI, 93.9-98.2)	<u>Single dose:</u> 71% (95% Cl, 51- 83) 88% (95% Cl, 83- 91) 2% (95% Cl, -19 – 31; England) [Feb- Sep 2021] <u>Two doses:</u> 92% (95% Cl, 75- 97)	71% 85% (95% CI, 73- 91) 91% (95% CI, 88- 94) 93.5% (95% CI, 89.6-96.1; New York) [Aug 2021]	Single dose: Does not offer clinically meaningful protection against severe illness <sup>clxvii</sup> <u>Two doses:</u> 88% (95% CI, 55- 98) adjusted risk reduction in developing severe illness <sup>clxviii</sup>	Single dose: Does not offer clinically meaningful protection against severe illness <sup>clxix</sup> <u>Two doses:</u> 88% (95% CI, 55- 98) adjusted risk reduction in	No available data	No available data

<sup>clxii</sup> Study does not differentiate between BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2-S. <sup>clxvi</sup> Study does not differentiate between BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2-S. <sup>clxvii</sup> Study does not differentiate between the two inactivated vaccines, BBIBP-CorV and CoronaVac.

clxviii Study does not differentiate between the two inactivated vaccines, BBIBP-CorV and CoronaVac.

clxix Study does not differentiate between the two inactivated vaccines, BBIBP-CorV and CoronaVac.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 👘 🖓



1	<b>88%</b> (95% CI,	97.3% (95% CI,	<b>95.2%</b> (95% Cl,	85% effective at	developing severe	
	78.9-93.2)	95.9-98.4; New	94.6-95.6) [2-9	preventing severe	illness <sup>clxx</sup>	
	<b>75%</b> (95% CI, 24-	York) [Aug 2021]	weeks]	disease and		
	93.9)		<b>77.0%</b> (95% Cl,	hospitalization		
	<b>84%</b> (95% CI, 79-	Individuals $\geq$ 65:	70.3-82.3) [≥20	noophanzation		
	89)	<b>93.7%</b> (95% CI,	weeks]	Individuals $\geq$ 50:		
	<b>98.4%</b> (95% Cl,	92.9-94.4; New	<b>94%</b> (95% CI, 92-	<b>84% (</b> 95% CI, 81-		
	97.9-98.8) [2-9	York) [Aug 2021]	95)	85)		
	weeks]	101K) [Aug 2021]	14% (95% Cl, -5 –	00)		
	<b>92.7%</b> (95% CI,	Against ICU	46; England) [Feb-	Individuals $\geq$ 65:		
	90.3-94.6) [≥20	admission:	Sep 2021]	81.8% (95% CI,		
	weeks]	<b>86%</b> (95% CI, 79-	<b>63.1%</b> (95% Cl,	77.8-85.3; New		
	<b>96%</b> (95% Cl, 95-	•	51.5-72.1; India)	York) [Aug 2021]		
	96) 96)	90)	(Apr – May 2021)	TOIK) [Aug 2021]		
	80% (95% CI, 73-	96% against	(Api – Way 2021)	Against ICL		
	85) [June-August]	severe COVID-19	Against moderate	<u>Against ICU</u>		
	<b>93%</b> (95% CI, 84-	infection	<u>Against moderate</u>	admission:		
		Intection	to severe disease:	<b>94%</b> (95% Cl, 88-		
	96)	Estimated risk of	<b>81.5%</b> (95% Cl,	98)		
	<b>96.8%</b> (95% CI,	Estimated risk of	9.9-99.0; India)			
	93.9-98.3)[2 months after the	SARS-CoV-2	(Apr – May 2021)			
	second dose]	infection is <b>4.52</b>	Acceinat ICI I			
	-	events per 1000	<u>Against ICU</u>			
	<b>93%</b> (95% Cl, 84-	persons (95% CI,	admission:			
	96) <b>24 F</b> W (05% OL	4.17-4.84)	Single dose: <b>92%</b>			
	<b>91.5%</b> (95% Cl,		(95% CI, 84-96)			
	89.5-93.2)		Two doses: <b>96%</b>			
	<b>24%</b> (95% Cl, -2 –		(95% Cl, 94-98)			
	64; England) [Feb-					
	Sep 2021]		Against death:			
	<b>95.2%</b> (95% Cl,		<b>91%</b> (95% Cl, 86-			
	93.6-96.5; New		94) [≥2 weeks			
	York) [Aug 2021]		after second dose]			
	la dividua la NOT		All ages: <b>91%</b>			
	<u>Individuals ≥65:</u>		(95% CI, 86-94)			

<sup>clxx</sup> Study does not differentiate between the two inactivated vaccines, BBIBP-CorV and CoronaVac.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 74/197



<b>88.6%</b> (95% CI, 87.4-89.6; New York) [Aug 2021] <u>Against death:</u> <b>90%</b> (95% CI, 83-	<i>40-59</i> : <b>88%</b> (95% Cl, 76-93) <u>60+:</u> <b>90%</b> (95% Cl, 84-94)			
94) [≥2 weeks after second dose]				
<u>All ages</u> : <b>90%</b> (95% Cl, 83-94) <u>40-59</u> : <b>95%</b> (95% Cl, 79-99) <u>60+:</u> <b>87%</b> (95% Cl, 77-93)				
Estimated risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection is <b>5.75</b> events per 1000 persons (95% Cl, 5.39-6.23)				
VE against ED admission waned from <b>80%</b> (95% CI, 69.0-87.0) at <3 months to <b>63%</b> (95% CI, 57.0-				
69.0) at ≥6 months after two doses. [United States, 01 Dec 2021 to 11 Jan 2022]				
VE against hospital admission				



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 75/197



	waned from <b>88%</b> (95% CI, 71.0-95.0) at <3 months to <b>74%</b> (95% CI, 65.0-80.0) at ≥6 months after two doses.[United States, 01 Dec 2021 to 11 Jan 2022]					
Omicron	Estimated VE against hospitalization <b>4</b> <b>to 5-fold</b> <b>increased</b> compared to Delta <sup>46*</sup> <b>84.9%</b> (95% CI, 83.0-86.6) against Omicron variant for recently vaccinated Pfizer <sup>46</sup> *No differention between mRNA vaccines	Estimated VE against hospitalization 4 to 5-fold increased compared to Delta <sup>46*</sup> *No differention between mRNA vaccines Length hospital stay was significantly shorter than for Delta (confounding- adjusted difference -4.0	Length hospital stay was significantly shorter than for Delta (confounding- adjusted difference -4.0 days (95%CI -7.2 to -0.8).[Portugal, 01 December 2021 to 29 December 2021] <sup>49ctxxy</sup> Odds of death were <b>0.14</b> (95% CI, 0.0011-1.12), representing a reduction in the			

clxxv Study does not differentiate between ChAdOx1, BNT162b2, or mRNA-1273.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 76



VE against hospitalization was 70% (95% CI, 62.0-76.0; South Africa)[November 2021 to December 2021] <sup>47</sup> VE against ED admission waned from <b>60%</b> (95% CI, 43.0-72.0) at <3 months to <b>41%</b> (95% CI, 32.0-50.0) at ≥6 months after two doses.[United States, 01 Dec 2021 to 11 Jan 2022] <sup>48</sup> VE against hospital admission was <b>68%</b> (95% CI, 58.0-75.0) after two doses with no waning of effectiveness observed.[United States, 01 Dec 2021 to 11 Jan 2022] <sup>48</sup>	days (95%CI -7.2 to -0.8).[Portugal, 01 December 2021 to 29 December 2021] <sup>49clxxiii</sup> Odds of death were <b>0.14</b> (95% CI, 0.0011-1.12), representing a reduction in the risk of death of 86% when infected with Omicron (BA.1) compared with Delta (B.1.617.2). [Portugal, 01 December 2021 to 29 December 2021] <sup>49clxxiv</sup>	risk of death of 86% when infected with Omicron (BA.1) compared with Delta (B.1.617.2). [Portugal, 01 December 2021 to 29 December 2021] <sup>49clxxvi</sup>					
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

clxxiii Study does not differentiate between ChAdOx1, BNT162b2, or mRNA-1273.

<sup>clxxiv</sup> Study does not differentiate between ChAdOx1, BNT162b2, or mRNA-1273.

clxxvi Study does not differentiate between ChAdOx1, BNT162b2, or mRNA-1273.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 777



Length hospital stay was significantly shorter than for Delta (confounding- adjusted difference -4.0 days (95%CI -7.2 to -0.8).[Portugal, 01 December 2021 to 29 December 2021] <sup>49cbxi</sup>				
Odds of death were <b>0.14</b> (95% CI, 0.0011-1.12), representing a reduction in the risk of death of 86% when infected with Omicron (BA.1) compared with Delta (B.1.617.2). [Portugal, 01 December 2021 to 29 December 2021] <sup>49ctxxii</sup>				

<sup>clxxi</sup> Study does not differentiate between ChAdOx1, BNT162b2, or mRNA-1273.

<sup>clxxii</sup> Study does not differentiate between ChAdOx1, BNT162b2, or mRNA-1273.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 78/197



		E	DURATION OF PROT	ECTION & BREAKT		NS		
	BNT162b2/ COMIRNATY	Spikevax/ Moderna COVID- 19 Vaccine/ mRNA-1273	Vaxzevria/ ChAdOx1 nCoV- 19/ AZD1222/ Covishield	Janssen COVID- 19 vaccine/Johnson & Johnson	Covilo/ BBIBP- CorV	CoronaVac	COVAXIN / BBV152	Novavax/ NVX- CoV2373
Duration of protection (antibodies)	Median time between second dose and infection: <b>146 days (IQR, 121-167)</b> <u>Anti-SARS-CoV-2</u> <u>Antibodies:</u> 1 month after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose: <b>1762 KU/L</b> ( <b>IQR: 933-3761</b> ) 3 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose: <b>1086 KU/L</b> ( <b>IQR: 629-2155</b> ) 6 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose: <b>802 KU/L</b> ( <b>IQR, 447-1487</b> ) No health worker had antibodies BELOW method- dependent cut-off (0.8 KU/L)	<u>Preliminary phase</u> <u>I results:</u> Antibody activity remained high in all age groups at <b>day 209</b> (approximately 6 months) GMT were lower in ≥56 years old <u>Anti-S antibody</u> <u>titre</u> 1500.8 AU/mL after 8.4 months <sup>53</sup> <u>Neutralizing</u> <u>antibodies:</u> At peak immunity, NAb titre was <b>5,848</b> , after 8 months titre was <b>133</b>	Antibody <u>Response:</u> After single dose, antibody response declined within one year, but remained above baseline levels. Antibody levels after <b>day 180</b> : 0.54 GMR (CI, 0.47-0.61). Antibody levels after <b>day 320</b> : 0.30 GMR (CI, 0.24-0.39) <u>Cellular Immune</u> <u>Response:</u> Day 182 after first dose: median of 237 SFUx10 <sup>6</sup> PBMC (IQR, 109- 520)	Neutralizing antibodies: Remained largely stable for 8-9 monthsRemained stable for 8 months; At 4 weespoks after immunization NAb titre was 146, after 8 months titre was 629VLP neutralization titers were reduced 2.7-fold to Delta and reduced 15.4-fold to Omicron. 50 ctxxixPseudovirus neutralizing antibodies:	<u>Antibody</u> <u>Response:</u> <b>Unexposed</b> <b>subjects:</b> After 1 <sup>st</sup> dose: <b>43.6 IU/mL</b> (95% CI, 30.3-62.8) After 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose: <b>377.0 IU/mL</b> (95% CI: 324.3-438.3) 3 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose: <b>125.4 IU/mL</b> (95% CI: 88.2- 178.4) <b>Exposed</b> <b>subjects:</b> Before 1 <sup>st</sup> dose: <b>203.2 UI/mL</b> (95% CI: 42.9-962.4) After 1 <sup>st</sup> dose: <b>761.7 UI/mL</b> (95% CI: 381.1-1522)	A phase I/II clinical trial found that NAbs titres dropped below the seropositive cut- off of 8, 6 months after the administration of the first dose 80-90% of anti-S IgG and Nab titers against wild type waned 6 months after second vaccination <u>Anti-spike Protein</u> <u>RBD IgG</u> <u>Antibodies:</u> Younger age groups (<60): 1 month after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose: 97% seropositivity, 11.3 (IQR, 6.2-20.7)	Median anti-S IgG was <b>342.7 AU/mL</b> (IQ: 76.1-892.8) which was found to be significantly lower than the Covidshield- induced antibody concentration of <b>1,299.5 AU/mL</b> (IQ: 517.9- 5,019.07). [India; January to July 2021]	No available data

clxxix Study does not differentiate between BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, or Ad26.COV2.S



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 7//



Anti-S antibody	VLP neutralization	0	Remained stable	After 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose:	3 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup>	
<u>titre</u>	titers were	6 months after	for 8 months;	<b>719.9 UI/mL</b> (95%	dose: 76%	
694.6 AU/mL after	reduced 2.7-fold	second dose:	At 4 weeks after	CI: 264.6-1959)	seropositivity, <b>2.4</b>	
8.4 months	to Delta and	(median 1240,	immunization	3 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup>	(IQR, 1.0-5.0)	
NI / 11 1	reduced 15.4-fold	IQR 432-2002) in	pseudovirus NAb	dose: 484.4 IU/mL		
<u>Neutralizing</u>	to Omicron.50clxxviii	groups with 15-25	titre was 391, after	(95% CI: 147.3-	Older age groups	
antibodies:		week interval	8 months titre was	1593)	(≥60):	
At peak immunity,	<u>Pseudovirus</u>	between doses	185		1 month after 2 <sup>nd</sup>	
NAb titre was	<u>neutralizing</u>			<u>Anti-RBD lgG</u> :	dose: 88%	
1,789, after 8	antibodies:	<u>Anti-spike Protein</u>	<u>Binding</u>	Decreased up to	seropositivity, 6.4	
months titre was	At peak immunity,	<u>RBD lgG</u>	<u>antibodies:</u>	41.8% 2 months	(IQR, 2.5-13.6)	
53	pseudovirus NAb	Antibodies:	Remained stable	after second dose	3 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup>	
	titre was <b>1,569</b> ,	Younger age	6 months	and dropped to	dose: 60%	
<u>Pseudovirus</u>	after 8 months	groups (<60):	irrespective of age	42.9% decrease	seropositivity, 1.3	
<u>neutralizing</u>	titre was 273	1 month after 2 <sup>nd</sup>	group	after 7 months	(IQR, 0.5-3.3)	
<u>antibodies:</u>		dose: 100%				
At peak immunity,	Anti-spike Protein	seropositivity, 17.1	<u>Humoral &amp;</u>	<u>Binding</u>	<u>Neutralizing</u>	
pseudovirus NAb	<u>RBD IgG</u>	(IQR, 9.9-23.6)	<u>Cellular Immune</u>	<u>Antibodies:</u>	<u>Antibody:</u>	
titre was 700, after	<u>Antibodies:</u>	3 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup>	<u>Response:</u>	Decreased 82.1%	Decay from	
8 months titre was	At peak immunity,	dose: 97%	Antibody	7 months after	<b>95.08%</b> 42 days	
160	RBD titre was	seropositivity, 6.5	responses were	second dose	after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose to	
	25,677, after 8	(IQR, 3.5-9.3)	detected in all		<b>19.7%</b> 160 days	
<u>Anti-spike Protein</u>	months titre was		vaccine recipients		after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose	
<u>RBD lgG</u>	1,546	Older age groups	on <b>day 239</b>			
Antibodies:		<b>(≥60)</b> :	(stable response		<u>Anti-RBD</u>	
At peak immunity,		1 month after 2 <sup>nd</sup>	for at least 8		<u>Antibody:</u>	
RBD titre was	<u>Humoral &amp;</u>	dose: 96%	months)		Decay from 100%	
21,564, after 8	<u>Cellular Immune</u>	seropositivity, 13.3			42 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup>	
months titre was	<u>Response:</u>	(IQR, 6.9-27.7)	CD8+ T cell		dose to 54.10%	
755	CD8+ T cell	3 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup>	response was		160 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup>	
	response was	dose: 90%	0.12% 8 months		dose	
Younger age	0.017% 8 months	seropositivity, 3.9	after vaccination			
groups (<60):	after full	(IQR, 1.9-8.4)			<u>Anti-spike IgG:</u>	
	vaccination					

clxxviii Study does not differentiate between BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, or Ad26.COV2.S



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch |



1 month after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose: 100% seropositivity, <b>35.3</b>	Highest antibody response was 41-	Median anti-S IgG was 1,299.5 AU/mL (IQ:	<u>Anti-spike Protein</u> <u>RBD IgG</u> Antibodies:	Decay from 100.0% 42 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose to	
(IQR, 27.6-40.0) 3 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup>	45 days after first dose. Serum	<b>517.9-5,019.07)</b> which is	Remained stable for 8 months;	<b>50.82%</b> 160 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose	
dose: 100%	samples at 69-75	approximately 4-	At 4 weeks after		
seropositivity, <b>19.2</b> (IQR, 8.2-23.1)	days, 130-135 days, and 221-229	fold higher than the Covaxin-	immunization titre was <b>1,361</b> , after 8	<u>Anti-spike IgM:</u> Decay from	
Older age groups	days after vaccination	induced antibody concentration of	months titre was 843	<b>59.02%</b> 42 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose to	
(≥60):	showed positive,	342.7 AU/mL (IQ:	040	<b>3.28%</b> 160 days	
1 month after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose: 100%	but waning levels of anti-SARS-	76.1- 892.8). [India;		after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose	
seropositivity, <b>29.4</b> (IQR, 22.5-33.3)	CoV-2 Abs. [United States] <sup>54</sup>	January to July 2021]		<u>Anti-spike IgA:</u> Decay <b>31.15%</b> 42	
3 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup>	[Officed Otales]	2021]		days after 2 <sup>nd</sup>	
dose: 100% seropositivity, <b>14.8</b>				dose to <b>0.00%</b> 160 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup>	
(IQR, 7.4-18.7)				dose	
Sub-populations:				Of 329	
Older age (≥65): 38% to 42%				participants, 18.5% (61 of 329)	
decrease of humoral				results were positive with a	
antibodies				64.47 BAU/mL	
compared to 18- to 45-year-old				anti –RDB IgG median	
Older age (≥65)				quantitative titer (IQR 42.87-125.5)	
AND men: 37% to 46%				obtained. The negative group	
decrease				comprised of 80%	
compared to 18- to 45-year-old				of the group (268 of 329) with a 8.55	
women				anti – RDB IgG median	
				moulan	



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 81/197



Immunosuppress ion: 65% to 70% decrease compared to non- immunosuppresse d			quantitative titer (IQR 5.5-13.92) and the maximum titer was 29.92 BAU/mL (p <0.001).[Brazil]	
Obesity (BMI ≥30): 31% increase in neutralizing antibody compared with nonobese				
While the mean values of anti- RBD-IgG showed a marked decline at 6 months, high neutralizing bioactivity was maintained at least 6 months after vaccination in almost all study participants (N=57 HCWs)				
<u>Humoral &amp;</u> <u>Cellular Immune</u> <u>Response:</u> CD8+ T cell response was <b>0.016%</b> 8 months				



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 82/197



after full vaccination				
Decline in Serum				
Nucleocapsid and				
RBD Abs from				
632.5 U/mL (IQR:				
170-1848 U/mL) at				
5-weeks post				
vaccination to 133				
U/mL (IQR: 54-				
337 U/mL) at 6-				
months post				
vaccination.				
IgG levels steadily				
decreased over				
the 6-month				
period in the total				
tested population				
and in all age				
groups. An inverse				
relationship was				
found between				
IgG titer and				
subsequent PCR-				
positive infection.				
Persons				
vaccinated during the first 2 months				
of the campaign				
were more likely to				
become infected				
than those				
subsequently				
vaccinated.[Israel]				



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch |

83/197



VLP neutralization titers were <b>reduced 2.7-fold</b> to Delta and <b>reduced 15.4-fold</b> to Omicron. <sup>50ctxxvii</sup>				
Abs elevated at 3 weeks $(15,443.5 \pm 9,655.2 \text{ AU/mL in}$ Alinity RBD-IgG, 406.0 $\pm 242.7$ SU/mL in HISCL S-IgG, and 23.6 $\pm$				
14.1 U/mL in STACIA Neut-Ab), but waned after 6 months (1,576.8 ± 5080.2 AU/mL in Alinity RBD- IgG, 63.9 ± 195.9				
SU/mL in HISCL S-IgG, and 3.3 ± 4.9 U/mL in STACIA Neut- Ab)[Japan] <sup>51</sup>				
<u>Neutralizing</u> <u>activity of Anti-</u> <u>Spike IgG:</u> <b>78.37%</b> for vaccinated HCWs and <b>88.82%</b> for HCWs vaccinated				

clxxvii Study does not differentiate between BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, or Ad26.COV2.S



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch |



	after infection[Romania; January 2021 to August 2021] <sup>52</sup> <u>Against any</u> <u>SARS-CoV-2</u> <u>Infection:</u> After reaching peak VE (77.5%) 1 month after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose, VE dropped to <b>20%</b> in <b>months</b> <b>5-7</b> after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose	<b>36.4</b> (95% CI, 17.1-51.5) reduction of observed incidence rate (SARS-CoV-2 infection) if vaccinated from Dec 2020 – Apr 2021 than Jul	VE reduced by 7% (95% CI, -18 - 2) for every 30 days from the second dose for those aged 18 to 64 years. VE reduced from <b>58%</b> (95% CI, 51-	A study observed sustained and stable vaccine effectiveness starting 14 days post vaccination to a maximum of <b>152</b> days after vaccination.		<u>Against COVID-19</u> <u>infections:</u> VE waned from <b>74.4%</b> (95% CI 209 70.4, 77.8) to <b>30.0%</b> (95% CI 18.4, 39.9) [Malaysia]		
Duration of protection (vaccine effectiveness)	VE reduced from 87% (95% Cl, 85- 89) to 56% (95% Cl, 53-59) after 4 months VE reduced from 91% (95% Cl, 91- 92) in March to 50% (95% Cl, 47- 52) in August VE reduced from 89.0% (95% Cl, 84.6-92.1; United States) [May to August] to 62.7% (95% Cl, 62.4- 63.1; United	2021 – Dec 2020. <b>46.0</b> (95% Cl, - 52.4-83.2) reduction of observed incidence rate ( <b>severe</b> SARS- CoV-2 infection) if vaccinated from Dec 2020 – Apr 2021 than Jul 2021 – Dec 2020. VE against the Delta variant declined from <b>94.1%</b> (95% Cl, 90.5-96.3) 14-60 days after vaccination to	65) to <b>27%</b> (95% Cl, 17-37) after 4 months. VE reduced from <b>88%</b> (95% Cl, 87- 89) in March to <b>3%</b> (95% Cl, -7- 12) in August VE decreased by <b>18.5% points</b> (95% Cl 8.4-33.4) among all ages and <b>19.9% points</b> among older individuals (95% Cl; 9.2-36.7) [Overall average from Systematic	VE decreased from <b>89.4%</b> in May to <b>51.7%</b> in July VE decreased from <b>86.4%</b> (95% CI, 85.2-87.6) in March 2021 to <b>13.1%</b> (95% CI, 9.2-16.8) in September 2021 VE decreased by <b>18.5% points</b> (95% CI 8.4-33.4) among all ages and <b>19.9% points</b> among older individuals (95% CI; 9.2-36.7)	<u>Against</u> <u>Hospitalization:</u> 64% (95% Cl, 59.0-69.0) beyond the sixth month. [Morocco; February 2021 to October 2021] <sup>60</sup>	Against ICU admissions: VE declined from 56.1% (95% Cl 51.4, 60.2) to 29.9% (95% Cl 13.9, 43.0) [Malaysia] Against deaths: Did not wane after three to five months of full vaccination. [Malaysia]	No available data	No available data



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 85/197



	States) [May to	80.0% (95% CI,	Review and Meta-	[Overall average
	August] <sup>clxxx</sup>	70.2-86.6) 151-	Regression]ccxvi	from Systematic
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	180 days after		Review and Meta-
	VE decreased by	vaccination.	VE reduced from	Regression]ccxxiv
	18.5% points	0407 51	96.9% (range,	
	(95% CI 8.4-33.4)	91% [January-	93.7-98.0) for the	VE reduced from
	among all ages	March]	week of 1 May	<b>86.6%</b> (range,
	and 19.9% points	<b>71%</b> (95% Cl, 53-	2021 to <b>77.8%</b>	77.8-89.7) for the
	among older	83) [April-May]	(range, 70.1-86.8)	week of 1 May
	individuals (95% Cl; 9.2-36.7)	<b>63%</b> (95% CI, 44- 76)	by the week of August 28 2021	2021 to <b>69.4%</b> (range, 63.4-77.3)
	[Overall average	70)	Estimated results	by the week of
	from Systematic	VE reduced from	show that vaccine	August 28 2021.
	Review and Meta-	<b>90%</b> (95% CI, 88-	effectiveness	August 20 2021.
	Regression] <sup>clxxxi</sup>	91) to <b>71%</b> (95%	significantly	VE was 74.8%
	regressionj	Cl, 68-74) after 4	wanes from 60	(95% CI, 72.5-
	VE reduced from	months	days after the	76.9) at 1 months
	<b>91.3%</b> (range,		second dose	and decreased to
	84.1-97) for the	VE reduced from	[Japan; February	59.4% (95% CI,
	week of 1 May	91% (95% CI, 72-	2020 to December	57.2-61.5) at 5
	2021 to 72.3%	98) in January-	2021] <sup>ccxvii</sup>	months. [United
l	(range, 63.7-77.5)	March to 71%		States; December
l	by the week of	(95% CI, 53-83) in	VE of first dose	2020 to
l	August 28 2021.	April-May to 63%	68% (95% CI	September 2021]
		(95% CI, 44-76) in	67.0.% - 69.%;	
	VE decreased to	June-August	Canada) and 88%	Waning protection
	66.3% (95% CI,		(95% CI 87.0% -	against infections
l	<b>65.7-66.9)</b> by 20	VE reduced from	88.0%; Canada)	started in month 4
	weeks after the	<b>92%</b> (95% Cl, 92-	[December 2020	for Ad26.COV2.S
	second dose.	93) in March to	to October 2021]	(OR [95% CI] in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>clxxx</sup> Study does not differentiate between the two mRNA vaccines, Pfizer and Moderna.

ccxxiv Study does not differentiate between Pfizer/BioNTech-Comirnaty, Moderna-mRNA-1273, Janssen-Ad26.COV2.S and AstraZeneca-Vaxrevria.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 8/6

clxxxi Study does not differentiate between Pfizer/BioNTech-Comirnaty, Moderna-mRNA-1273, Janssen-Ad26.COV2.S and AstraZeneca-Vaxrevria.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>ccxvi</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer/BioNTech-Comirnaty, Moderna-mRNA-1273, Janssen-Ad26.COV2.S and AstraZeneca-Vaxrevria.

ccxvii Study does not differentiate between Pfizer Moderna, and AstraZeneca.



Protection against hospitalization decreased less with a VE of 91.7% (95% CI 90.2-93.0) and a VE against death of 91.9% (95% CI, 88.5-94.3) [England] VE was 94.5% (95% CI, 94.1 to 94.9) 2 months after the first dose and decreased to 66.6% (95% CI 65.2-67.8) at 7 months. [United States; December 2020 to September 2021]	64% (95% CI, 62- 66) in August VE against infection was 82% (95% CI, 79-85) 14-90 days after the second dose and appeared to wane over time and was 63% (95% CI, 55-68) 91-180 days after the second dose [27 Dec 2020 – 26 Oct 2021; Finland] <sup>cxcviii</sup> VE decreased from 89.2% (95% CI, 88.8-89.6) in March 2021 to	Risk of infection decreased 4-6 months after the second vaccine dose, but markedly increased after. <sup>ccxviii</sup> VE decreased to 44.3% (95% Cl, 43.2-45.4) by 20 weeks after the second dose. Protection against hospitalization decreased less with a VE of 80.0% (95% Cl 76.8-82.7) and a VE against death of 84.8% (95% Cl,	month 5+, 1.31 [1.18, 1.47]). No waning of protection was observed at any time for ICU admissions. [United States, January 2021 to September 2021] There was no evidence of waning protection against hospitalization for Ad26.COV2.S (OR [95% CI], 1.25 [0.86, 1.80] in month 5+) [United States, January 2021 to		
	(95% Cl, 55-68)	43.2-45.4) by 20			
			8.		
	Oct 2021;		hospitalization for		
	Finland] <sup>cxcviii</sup>				
-		80.0% (95% CI			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
• •		· · · ·			
Waning protection	<b>58.0%</b> (95% Cl,	76.2-90.3)	September 2021]		
against infections	56.9-59.1) in	[England]	Adjusted		
started in month 2 for BNT162b2 (OR	September 2021	<b>A i i</b>	Adjusted estimated VE of 1		
[95% CI] in month	VE reduced from	<u>Against</u>	dose remained		
6+, 2.93 [2.72,	89.0% (95% CI,	symptomatic	greater than <b>50%</b>		
3.15]). No waning	84.6-92.1; United	<u>COVID-19:</u> VE decreased by	after 2 weeks.		
of protection was	States) [May to	<b>25.4%</b> (95% CI,	[United States; 01		
observed at any	August] to <b>62.7%</b>	13.7-42.5) among	May 2021 to 07		
time for ICU	(95% Cl, 62.4-	all ages and	August 2021)		
admissions.	63.1; United	<b>32.0%</b> (95% CI,	.g,		
[United States,	,				

 $^{\mbox{\tiny cxcviii}}$  Study does not differentiate between COMIRNATY/BNT162b2 and SPIKEVAX/ mRNA-1273.

<sup>ccxviii</sup> Study does not differentiate between BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, and ChAdOX-S.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 🔬



January 2021 to	States) [May to	11.0-69.0) among	VE was lower	
September 2021]	August] <sup>cxcix</sup>	older individuals	compared with	
Estimated results	VE decreased by	[Overall average from Systematic	mRNA vaccines, with no trend	
show that vaccine	18.5% points	Review and Meta-	observed over	
effectiveness	(95% CI 8.4-33.4)	Regression] <sup>ccxix</sup>	time (95% CI,	
significantly wanes	among all ages	rtogrooorinj	80.0-	
from 60 days after	and 19.9% points	<b>50%</b> (95% CI, 16-	90.6%).[United	
the second dose	among older	69)14-73 days	States]	
[Japan; February	individuals (95%	after second dose.		
2020 to December	Cl; 9.2-36.7)	Effectiveness did	<u>Against</u>	
2021] <sup>clxxxii</sup>	[Overall average	not fall	<u>symptomatic</u>	
	from Systematic	significantly after	<u>COVID-19:</u>	
VE of first dose	Review and Meta-	longer intervals,	VE decreased by	
68% (95% Cl	Regression] <sup>cc</sup>	however this could	<b>25.4%</b> (95% CI,	
67.0.% - 69.%;		be influenced by	13.7-42.5) among	
Canada) and 88%	VE reduced from	the study's small	all ages and	
(95% CI 87.0% - 88.0%; Canada)	<b>96.9%</b> (range, 93.7-98.0) for the	number of	<b>32.0%</b> (95% Cl,	
[December 2020	week of 1 May	participants	11.0-69.0) among older individuals	
to October 2021]	2021 to <b>77.8%</b>	Against severe	Overall average	
Risk of infection	(range, 70.1-86.8)	COVID-19:	from Systematic	
decreased 4-6	by the week of	VE decreased by	Review and Meta-	
months after the	August 28 2021.	<b>8.0%</b> (95% CI,	Regression]ccxxv	
second vaccine	-	3.6-15.20) among	5 1	
dose, but	VE was 95.9%	all ages and 9.7%	<u>Against severe</u>	
markedly	(95% CI, 95.5-	(95% Cl; 5.9-14.7)	<u>COVID-19:</u>	
increased	96.2) 2 months	among older	VE decreased by	
after. <sup>clxxxiii</sup>	after the first dose	individuals	<b>8.0%</b> (95% CI,	
	decreased to	[Overall average	3.6-15.20) among	

clxxxii Study does not differentiate between Pfizer Moderna, and AstraZeneca.

ctxxxiii Study does not differentiate between BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, and ChAdOX-S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>ccxx</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer/BioNTech-Comirnaty, Moderna-mRNA-1273, Janssen-Ad26.COV2.S and AstraZeneca-Vaxrevria.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 88

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mbox{\tiny cxcix}}$  Study does not differentiate between the two mRNA vaccines, Pfizer and Moderna.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>cc</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer/BioNTech-Comirnaty, Moderna-mRNA-1273, Janssen-Ad26.COV2.S and AstraZeneca-Vaxrevria.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>ccxix</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer/BioNTech-Comirnaty, Moderna-mRNA-1273, Janssen-Ad26.COV2.S and AstraZeneca-Vaxrevria.



|--|--|

<sup>ccxx</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer/BioNTech-Comirnaty, Moderna-mRNA-1273, Janssen-Ad26.COV2.S and AstraZeneca-Vaxrevria.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 89/197



|--|

clxxxiv Study does not differentiate between BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>ccxxi</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, or AstraZeneca.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 🗤

clxxxv Study does not differential between mRNA-based vaccines.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>cci</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer Moderna, and AstraZeneca.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>ccii</sup> Study does not differentiate between BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, and ChAdOX-S.



Against	peaked after 2	January		
symptomatic	weeks at <b>96.3%</b>	2022] <sup>56ccxxii</sup>		
<u>COVID-19:</u>	(95% CI, 95.6%-			
VE decreased by	96.9%) then	Against Infection		
<b>25.4%</b> (95% Cl,	gradually fell to	with Variants:		
13.7-42.5) among	<b>86.8%</b> (95% CI,	67% during the		
all ages and	86.2%-87.4%) at 2	Delta period, and		
<b>32.0%</b> (95% CI,	to 3 months and	showed a		
11.0-69.0) among	<b>74.2%</b> (95% CI,	declining trend. By		
older individuals	71.6%-76.6%) <b>6</b>	end of follow up		
Overall average	months after the	when Omicron		
from Systematic	second dose.	dominated, <b>no</b>		
Review and Meta-	[United States; 01	vaccine		
Regression <sup>clxxxvi</sup>	May 2021 to 07	protection		
5	August 2021)	against infection		
VE reduced by	J ,	remained.		
22% (95% CI, 6-	Among patients	[Sweden;		
41) for every 30	aged 16 to 64, VE	December 2020 to		
days from the	within one to three	January		
second dose for	months after full	2022] <sup>56ccxxiii</sup>		
those aged 18 to	vaccination was			
64 years.	<b>91.8%</b> (95% Cl,			
	80.3 to 96.6), and			
VE against	was <b>86.4%</b> (95%			
infection was 82%	Cl, 56.9 to 95.7)			
(95% Cl, 79-85)	within four to six			
14-90 days after	months[Japan, 01			
the second dose	July to 30			
and appeared to	September			
wane over time	2021] <sup>cciii</sup>			
and was 63%	VE declined from			
(95% Cl, 55-68)	82% (95% CI,			

clxxxvi Study does not differentiate between Pfizer/BioNTech-Comirnaty, Moderna-mRNA-1273, Janssen-Ad26.COV2.S and AstraZeneca-Vaxrevria.

<sup>cciii</sup> Study does not differentiate between BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273.

ccxxii Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, and AstraZeneca

ccxxiii Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, and AstraZeneca



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 91/197



91-180 days after the second dose [27 Dec 2020 – 26 Oct 2021; Finland] <sup>clxxxvii</sup> VE decreased from <b>86.9%</b> (95% Cl, 86.5-87.3) in March 2021 to <b>43.3%</b> (95% Cl, 41.9-44.6) in September 2021 VE declined from <b>81%</b> (95% Cl, 68- 89) 14-73 days after second dose. 4-6 months after second dose, VE remained at <b>70%</b> (95% Cl, 62-76) and declined to <b>46%</b> (95% Cl, 22- 63) after six months. [second dose was administered ≥6 weeks after first dose]. VE declined from <b>86%</b> (95% Cl, 73-	<b>79.0-85.0)</b> 14 to 90 days after vaccination to <b>53% (95% CI,</b> <b>43.0-62.0)</b> after 6 months.[Finland; December 2020 to October 2021] <sup>cciv</sup> VE against infection peaked at 90% months after the second dose and was <b>less than 50%</b> by the seventh month after the second dose.[Qatar; 01 January 2021 to 05 December 2021] <u>Against symptomatic COVID-19:</u> VE decreased by <b>25.4%</b> (95% CI, 13.7-42.5) among all ages and <b>32.0%</b> (95% CI, 11.0-69.0) among older individuals [Overall average from Systematic
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

<sup>clxxxvii</sup> Study does not differentiate between COMIRNATY/BNT162b2 and SPIKEVAX/ mRNA-1273.

<sup>cciv</sup> Study does not differential between mRNA-based vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 02



after second dose.Regression) <sup>tcv</sup> 6 months afterAgainst severebecond dose, VEAgainst severeCOVID-19disease:(95% Cl, 45-73).disease:[second dose wasVE decreased byadministered ≤68.0% (95% Cl,weeks after first3.6-15.20) amongdose]all ages and 9.7%(95% Cl, 5.9-14.7)among older(05% Cl; 5.9-14.7)individualsVE decreased by[Overall average8.0% (95% Cl,from Systematic3.6-15.20) amongReview and Meta-all ages and 9.7%regression]covi(95% Cl; 5.9-14.7)among olderanong olderfrom Systematic(95% Cl; 5.9-14.7)Againstamong olderAgainstindividualshospitalization[Overall averageYe among 18-64remainedapproximatelygreater than 86%with no obviousiman deta:remainedRegression]imanedand Death:regardless ofAfter reachingvaccine anddese, VE did notAugust among	93) 14-73 days	Review and Meta-
6 months after second dose, VE declined to 61%Against severe COVID-19 disease:(95% CI, 45-73).disease: VE decreased by administered ≤68.0% (95% CI, sof-15.20) among all ages and 9.7% (95% CI; 5.9-14.7)Against severe cOVID-19: (95% CI; 5.9-14.7)among older (95% CI; 5.9-14.7)Against severe cOVID-19: individualsindividualsVE decreased by 8.0% (95% CI; 5.9-14.7)Regression] <sup>1004</sup> Against severe cOVID-19: individualsReview and Meta- Regression] <sup>1004</sup> 11 ages and 9.7% (95% CI; 5.9-14.7)Regression] <sup>1004</sup> VE decreased by (95% CI; 5.9-14.7)Regression] <sup>1004</sup> VE decreased by (95% CI; 5.9-14.7)Regression] <sup>1004</sup> (95% CI; 5.9-14.7) among older (95% CI; 5.9-14.7)Against peak remained approximately greater than 86%Against hospitalization and Death: Hospitalization beak vE (96.8%)with no obvious the remained peak vE did not August amongAgainst Hospitalization oese, VE did not August amongway throughAugust amongdeclined from August among		
second dose, VE declined to 61%Against severe COVID-19(95% CI, 45-73).disease: disease: Second dose was administered ≤68.0% (95% CI, 3.6-15.20) among all ages and 9.7% (95% CI; 5.9-14.7)Against severe COVID-19: (95% CI, 5.9-14.7)among older individualsAgainst severe COVID-19: (95% CI, 5.9-14.7)among older individualsB.0% (95% CI, SCI, 5.9-14.7)from Systematic Regression]covi8.0% (95% CI, SCI, 5.9-14.7)Review and Meta- Regression]coviamong older individualsAgainst from Systematic Regression]coviB.0% (95% CI, 5.9-14.7) among older individualsAgainst from Systematic Regression]coviB.0% (95% CI, 5.9-14.7) among older individualsAgainst from Systematic proximately greater than 86% with no obvious time trend approximately greater than 86% with no obvious time trend approximately greater than 86% with no obvious time trend time tre		Regression
declined to 61% (95% CI, 45-73).COVID-19 disease: (95% CI, 45-73).[second dose was administered ≤6 weeks after first dose]8.0% (95% CI, all ages and 9.7% (95% CI; 5.9-14.7)Against severe COVID-19: (95% CI; 5.9-14.7)among older (95% CI, (95% CI, 5.9-14.7)Against severe COVID-19: (95% CI, 5.9-14.7)individualsNow (95% CI, S.0-16, 20) among all ages and 9.7% (95% CI, 5.9-14.7)[Overall average Regression] <sup>covil</sup> 8.0% (95% CI, S.0-15, 20) among all ages and 9.7% (95% CI, 5.9-14.7)Review and Meta- Regression] <sup>covil</sup> among older individualsAgainst hospitalization approximately greater than 86%Against Hospitalization and Death: After reaching peak VE (96.8%)with no obvious declined from vaccine and declined from August amongAgainst Mospitalization After reaching 2 months after 2 <sup>rd</sup> May through dose, VE did not August amongdeclined from August among		Againstaguara
(95% Cl, 45-73).disease:[second dose wasVE decreased byadministered ≤68.0% (95% Cl,weeks after first36-15.20) amongdose]all ages and 9.7% (95% Cl, 5.9-14.7)Aqainst severe COVID-19:individualsVE decreased by 8.0% (95% Cl, 5.9-14.7)all ages and 9.7% (95% Cl, 5.9-14.7)among older all ages and 9.7% (95% Cl, 5.9-14.7)among older all ages and 9.7% (95% Cl, 5.9-14.7)among older Mospitalization from Systematic[Overall average from Systematic approximately greater than 86% with no obvious thospitalization ime trend and Death: regardless of After reaching y ears of ageAgainst hospitalization and Death: and Death:Yest of age peak VE (96.8%)Ageinest the reaching a mongAgainst hospitalization time trend and Death:Yest of age peak VE (96.8%)Yest of age age not state 2 <sup>md</sup> dose, VE did notYest of age age not state 2 <sup>md</sup> May through dose, VE did notYest of age ages of the reaching ages of the		
Isecond dose was administered ≤ 6VE decreased by 8.0% (95% CI, 95% CI, 5.9.14.7)weeks after first dose]all ages and 9.7% (95% CI, 5.9-14.7)Against severe COVID-19:among older individualsVE decreased by 8.0% (95% CI, 5.9-14.7)geression]°C°I8.0% (95% CI, 5.9-14.7)from Systematic3.6-15.20) among all ages and 9.7% (95% CI; 5.9-14.7)Review and Meta- Regression]°C°I8.0% (95% CI, 5.9-14.7)from Systematic3.6-15.20) among all ages and 9.7% (95% CI; 5.9-14.7)Review and Meta- Regression]°C°IReview and Meta- remainedhospitalization approximately greater than 86% with no obviousAgainst Hospitalization and Death:with no obvious time trend and Death:After reaching peak VE (96.8%)declined from August amongAfter reaching oose, VE did notAugust among		
administered ≤6 weeks after first dose]8.0% (95% CI, 3.6-15.20) among all ages and 9.7% (95% CI; 5.9-14.7)Against severe COVID-19: individualsamong older individualsVE decreased by 8.0% (95% CI, 5.9-14.7)[Overall average Regression] <sup>ocvi</sup> 3.6-15.20) among all ages and 9.7% (95% CI; 5.9-14.7)Review and Meta- Regression] <sup>ocvi</sup> among older individualsAgainst hospitalization approximately greater than 86%Qagainst Hospitalization and Death; regardless of Against hospitalization approximately greater than 86% declined from Accine and by through dose, VE did not August among		
weeks after first dose]3.6-15.20) among all ages and 9.7% (95% Cl; 5.9-14.7)Against severe (OVID-19:among older individualsVE decreased by 8.0% (95% Cl, 5.9-14.7)[Overall average Regression]ccvi8.0% (95% Cl, 95% Cl; 5.9-14.7)from Systematic Regression]ccviamong older individualsAgainst hespitalization greater than 86%Qoverall average (Pospitalization)VE among 18-64 years of age greater than 86%Against Hospitalization and Death:regardless of time trend time trendAfter reaching peak VE (96.8%)vacine and declined from May throughAmong older and Death:declined from August amongAfter reaching peak VE did notMay throughAnong older anong bit and bit and and Death:declined from August amongAfter reaching peak VE did notMay throughAbser VE did not anongMay through		
dose]all ages and 9.7% (95% CI; 5.9-14.7)Against severe COVID-19:among older individualsVE decreased by 8.0% (95% CI, 3.6-15.20) among all ages and 9.7% (95% CI; 5.9-14.7)Form Systematic Regression] <sup>scvi</sup> among older (95% CI; 5.9-14.7)Regression] <sup>scvi</sup> among older (95% CI; 5.9-14.7)Against hospitalization remained[Overall average from SystematicNospitalization remained[Overall average from SystematicVE among 18-64 years of age remainedRegression] <sup>stvovviii</sup> greater than 86% Against hospitalization regardless of vaccine and peak VE (96.8%)With no obvious time trend regardless of vaccine and dose, VE did not August among		
Against severe COVID-19:(95% Cl; 5.9-14.7)Against severe COVID-19:individualsVE decreased by[Overall average from Systematic3.6-15.20) among all ages and 9.7%Regression] <sup>covi</sup> (95% Cl; 5.9-14.7) among older individualsRegression] <sup>covi</sup> (95% Cl; 5.9-14.7) among older from SystematicAgainst hospitalization years of age remained approximately greater than 86% with no obvious time trend and Death:Against Hospitalization yeak VE (96.8%)with no obvious time trend regardless of Vaccine and Ayu through dose, VE did notAgainst August amongMay through August among		
Against severe COVID-19:among older individualsVE decreased by 8.0% (95% CI, s.6-15.20) among all ages and 9.7%[Overall average from Systematic3.6-15.20) among all ages and 9.7%Regression] <sup>ocvi</sup> among older individualsAgainst hospitalization(95% CI; 5.9-14.7)Against hospitalizationamong older from SystematicAgainst years of age remained approximately greater than 86%Against Hospitalizationwith no obviousHospitalization greater than 86%with no obviousHospitalization greater than 86% Againstwith no obviousHospitalization and Death: peak VE (96.8%)declined from May through dose, VE did notAugust amongAugust among	dosej	
COVID-19:individualsVE decreased by[Overall average8.0% (95% Cl,from Systematic3.6-15.20) amongReview and Meta-all ages and 9.7%Regression] <sup>covi</sup> (95% Cl; 5.9-14.7)among olderindividualshospitalization[Overall averageVE among 18-64from Systematicyears of ageremainedremainedapproximatelygreater than 86%greater than 86%with no obviousHospitalizationtime trendand Death:regardless ofAfter reachingvaccine andpeak VE (96.8%)declined from2 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup> May throughdose, VE did notAugust among		
VE decreased by 8.0% (95% CI, 3.6-15.20) among all ages and 9.7% (95% C; 5.9-14.7) among older individuals[Overall average from Systematic Regression] <sup>ccvi</sup> Against hospitalization [Overall average of may systematicAgainst hospitalization years of age remained approximately greater than 86% with no obvious time trend and Death: regardless of After reaching peak VE (96.8%)With no devious declined from years of and Death: regardless of Adgust among		
8.0% (95% Cl,       from Systematic         3.6-15.20) among       Review and Meta-         all ages and 9.7%       Regression] <sup>ccvi</sup> (95% Cl; 5.9-14.7)       Against         among older       Against         individuals       hospitalization         [Overall average       VE among 18-64         remained       remained         Regression] <sup>ctxvvviii</sup> approximately         greater than 86%       greater than 86%         Against       with no obvious         Hospitalization       time trend         and Death:       regardless of         After reaching       vaccine and         peak VE (96.8%)       declined from         2 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup> May through         dose, VE did not       August among		
3.6-15.20) among all ages and 9.7% (95% Cl; 5.9-14.7)Review and Meta- Regression] <sup>covi</sup> among older individualsAgainst hospitalization VE among 18-64 years of age remained approximately greater than 86% With no obvious time trend and Death:YE among 18-64 years of age remained approximately greater than 86% With no obvious time trend and Death:Against After reaching peak VE (96.8%)Geclined from August among2 months after 2nd dose, VE did notMay through August among		
all ages and 9.7%Regression]°CVI(95% Cl; 5.9-14.7)Againstamong olderAgainstindividualshospitalization[Overall averageVE among 18-64from Systematicyears of ageReview and Meta-remainedRegression]°CXXXVIIapproximatelygreater than 86%with no obviousHospitalizationtime trendand Death:regardless ofAfter reachingvaccine andpeak VE (96.8%)declined from2 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup> May throughdose, VE did notAugust among		
(95% CI; 5.9-14.7)Against hospitalizationindividualshospitalization[Overall averageVE among 18-64from Systematicyears of ageReview and Meta- Regression] <sup>ctxxxviii</sup> remainedapproximately greater than 86%greater than 86%Against Hospitalizationwith no obvioustime trendtime trendand Death: Peak VE (96.8%)regardless of2 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose, VE did notAugust among		
among older individualsAgainst hospitalization[Overall average from SystematicVE among 18-64 years of ageReview and Meta- Regression]ctxxxviiiremained approximately greater than 86%Against Hospitalization and Death:with no obvious time trend and Death:After reaching peak VE (96.8%)declined from May through dose, VE did notAugust amongAugust among		Regression]ccvi
individualshospitalization[Overall averageVE among 18-64from Systematicyears of ageReview and Meta- Regression]remainedgreater than 86%greater than 86%Againstwith no obviousHospitalization and Death:regardless ofAfter reachingvaccine andpeak VE (96.8%)declined from2 months after 2ndMay throughdose, VE did notAugust among		
[Overall average from Systematic Review and Meta- Regression]cbxxviiiVE among 18-64 years of age remained approximately greater than 86%Against Hospitalization and Death: After reaching peak VE (96.8%)With no obvious time trend regardless of declined from May throughAgainst fter 2nd dose, VE did notVE among 18-64 years of age mong 18-64Meta- years of age remained approximately greater than 86%		<u>Against</u>
from Systematic Review and Meta- Regression]years of age remained approximately greater than 86%Against Hospitalization and Death: After reaching peak VE (96.8%)with no obvious time trend declined from May through dose, VE did notAgainst August amongMay through August among	individuals	
Review and Meta- Regression]remained approximately greater than 86%Against Hospitalization and Death:with no obvious time trend regardless ofAfter reaching peak VE (96.8%)vaccine and declined from May through dose, VE did notAugust amongAugust among	[Overall average	VE among <b>18-64</b>
Regression]approximately greater than 86%Against Hospitalization and Death:with no obviousHospitalization and Death:regardless ofAfter reaching peak VE (96.8%)vaccine and declined from May throughAmounths after 2nd dose, VE did notMay throughAugust amongAugust among	from Systematic	years of age
greater than 86%Againstwith no obviousHospitalizationtime trendand Death:regardless ofAfter reachingvaccine andpeak VE (96.8%)declined from2 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup> May throughdose, VE did notAugust among	Review and Meta-	remained
Againstwith no obviousAgainstwith no obviousHospitalizationtime trendand Death:regardless ofAfter reachingvaccine andpeak VE (96.8%)declined from2 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup> May throughdose, VE did notAugust among	Regression]clxxxviii	approximately
Hospitalization and Death:time trend regardless of vaccine and peak VE (96.8%)time trend regardless of declined from May through dose, VE did notAugust among		greater than 86%
and Death:regardless ofAfter reachingvaccine andpeak VE (96.8%)declined from2 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup> May throughdose, VE did notAugust among	Against	with no obvious
After reaching       vaccine and         peak VE (96.8%)       declined from         2 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup> May through         dose, VE did not       August among		time trend
After reaching       vaccine and         peak VE (96.8%)       declined from         2 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup> May through         dose, VE did not       August among		regardless of
peak VE (96.8%)       declined from         2 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup> May through         dose, VE did not       August among		
2 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup> May through dose, VE did not August among	5	declined from
dose, VE did not August among		
	decline over	persons 65 years

chooviii Study does not differentiate between Pfizer/BioNTech-Comirnaty, Moderna-mRNA-1273, Janssen-Ad26.COV2.S and AstraZeneca-Vaxrevria.

<sup>ccv</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer/BioNTech-Comirnaty, Moderna-mRNA-1273, Janssen-Ad26.COV2.S and AstraZeneca-Vaxrevria.

<sup>ccvi</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer/BioNTech-Comirnaty, Moderna-mRNA-1273, Janssen-Ad26.COV2.S and AstraZeneca-Vaxrevria.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 🛛 🖓



time, except for	of age or older
7 <sup>th</sup> months (VE	who were
55.6%) with very	vaccinated with
few cases	mRNA-1273, from
Evidence of	97.1 to
waning protection	93.7%.[United
against	States]
hospitalization started in month 2 for BNT162b2 (OR [95% CI], 3.97 [3.26, 4.83] in month 6+) [United States, January 2021 to	Against variants: Among individuals who received 2 doses of vaccines (with at least 1mRNA vaccine) VE against Delta
September 2021]	declined steadily
<u>Against variants:</u>	over time from
Among individuals	84% (95%Cl, 81-
who received 2	86%) 7-59 days
doses of vaccines	after the second
(with at least	dose to 71%
1mRNA vaccine)	(95%Cl, 66-75%)
VE against Delta	≥240 days after
declined steadily	the second dose,
over time from	but recovered to
84% (95%CI, 81-	93% (95%Cl, 92-
86%) 7-59 days	94%) ≥7 days
after the second	after receiving an
dose to 71%	mRNA vaccine for
(95%CI, 66-75%)	the third
≥240 days after	dose.[Canada;
the second dose,	November 2021 to
but recovered to	December 2021]
93% (95%CI, 92-	ccvii

<sup>ccvii</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, or AstraZeneca.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 0/1

94/197



94%) ≥7 days				
after receiving an	VE after 8.4			
mRNA vaccine for	months was			
the third	estimated at 89%			
dose.[Canada;	(95% CI, 67-96)			
November 2021 to	(			
December	Against Severe			
2021]clxxxix	Disease:			
	Stable around			
VE against	90% across the			
hospitalization	entire follow up			
among those 18-	period			
64 years of age	irrespectively of			
remained	which VOC that			
approximately	dominated.			
greater than 86%	[Sweden;			
with no obvious	December 2020 to			
time trend	January			
regardless of	2022] <sup>56ccviii</sup>			
vaccine and	High at >60%			
declined from May	after the second			
through August	dose [Qatar; 23			
among persons 65	December 2021 to			
years of age or	02 February			
older who were	2022]57			
vaccinated with				
BNT162b2, from	Against Infection			
94.8 to	with Variants:			
88.6%.[United	67% during the			
States]	Delta period, and			
	showed a			
VE after 8.4	declining trend. By			
months was	end of follow up			
	when Omicron			

<sup>ctxxxix</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, or AstraZeneca. <sup>ccviii</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, and AstraZeneca



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch



estimated at 87%	dominated, <b>no</b>	
(95% CI, 60-96)	vaccine	
	protection	
Against Any	against infection	
SARS-CoV-2	remained.	
Infection:	[Sweden:	
Declined to 45%	December 2020 to	
(aHR 0.55, 95%	January 2022]56ccix	
CI 0.49-0.61) 26	]	
weeks after	<u>Against</u>	
second dose.	Symptomatic	
[Wales; 07	Infection (DELTA):	
December 2020 to	Declined to 80%	
20 September	(95% CI, 74.0-	
2021] <sup>55</sup>	<b>84.0)</b> after ≥240	
2021]	days.[Canada; 06	
Against Severe	December 2021 to	
Disease:	26 December	
Stable around	2021] <sup>58ccx</sup>	
90% across the	2021]	
entire follow up	Against	
period	<u>Symptomatic</u>	
irrespectively of	Infection	
which VOC that	(OMICRON):	
dominated.	Declined to 1%	
[Sweden;	(95% CI, -8.0-	
December 2020 to	<b>10.0)</b> 180-239	
January 2022] <sup>56cxc</sup>	days after second	
Maintained at	dose.[Canada; 06	
>70% after	December 2021 to	
second dose with	26 December	
no evidence for	20 December 2021] <sup>58ccxi</sup>	
	2021]0000	

 $^{\mbox{\tiny cxc}}$  Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, and AstraZeneca

ccix Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, and AstraZeneca

<sup>ccxi</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 🛛 📀

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>ccx</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.



declining effectiveness over	Peaked at 44.8% (95% CI, 16.0-	
time.[Qatar; 23	<b>63.8)</b> in the first	
December 2021 to	three months after	
02 February	the second dose	
2022]57	and declined to	
	negligible	
	levels.[Qatar; 23	
	December 2021 to	
Against Infection	02 February	
with Variants:	2022] <sup>57</sup>	
67% during the		
Delta period, and	Against	
showed a	Emergency Department or	
declining trend. By end of follow up	Department or	
when Omicron	<u>Urgent Care</u> (DELTA):	
dominated, <b>no</b>	From 86% (95%	
vaccine	<b>Cl, 85.0-87.0)</b> at	
protection	14-179 days to	
against infection	76% (95% CI,	
remained.	<b>75.0-77.0)</b> ≥180	
[Sweden;	days after 2nd	
December 2020 to	dose[USA; August	
January 2022]56cxci	2021 to January	
	2022] <sup>59ccxii</sup>	
<u>Against</u>		
<u>Symptomatic</u>	Against	
Infection (DELTA):	Emergency	
Declined to 80%	Department or	
(95% CI, 74.0-	Urgent Care	
<b>84.0)</b> after ≥240 days.[Canada; 06	<u>(OMICRON):</u> From <b>52% (95%</b>	
December 2021 to	<b>Cl, 46.0-58.0)</b> at	
	01, 40.0-00.0 at	

<sup>cxci</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, and AstraZeneca.

<sup>ccxii</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 07



26 December	14-179 days to
2021] <sup>58cxcii</sup>	38% (95% CI,
	<b>32.0-43.0)</b> ≥180
<u>Against</u>	days after 2nd
Symptomatic	dose[USA; August
Infection	2021 to January
(OMICRON):	2022] <sup>59ccxiii</sup>
Declined to 1%	-
(95% CI, -8.0-	Against
10.0) 180-239	Hospitalization
days after second	(DELTA):
dose.[Canada; 06	From 90% (95%
December 2021 to	CI, 89-90) at 14-
26 December	179 days to 81%
2021] <sup>58cxciii</sup>	(95% CI, 80-82)
61.9% (95% CI:	≥180 days after
49.9-71.1%) in the	2nd dose[USA;
first month after	August 2021 to
the second dose	January
and <b>declined</b> to	2022] <sup>59ccxiv</sup>
10% (95% CI; -	
2.3-21.9) or less	<u>Against</u>
starting from the	Hospitalization
5th month after	(OMICRON):
the second	From 81% (95%
dose.[Qatar; 23	Cl, 65-90) at 14-
December 2021 to	179 days after to
02 February	57% (95% CI, 39-
2022] <sup>57</sup>	<b>70)</b> ≥180 days
	after 2nd
<u>Against</u>	dose[USA; August
<u>Emergency</u>	

 $^{\mbox{\tiny cxcii}}$  Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.

<sup>cxciii</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.

ccxiii Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.

<sup>ccxiv</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 98/197



Doportmont or	2021 to January			
Department or Urgent Care	2021 to January 2022] <sup>59ccxv</sup>			
<u>(DELTA):</u>	2022]			
From 86% (95%				
Cl, 85.0-87.0) at				
14-179 days to				
76% (95% Cl,				
<b>75.0-77.0)</b> ≥180				
days after 2nd				
dose[USA; August				
2021 to January				
2022] <sup>59cxciv</sup>				
<u>Against</u>				
Emergency				
Department or				
Urgent Care				
(OMICRON):				
From <b>52% (95%</b>				
CI, 46.0-58.0) at				
14-179 days to				
38% (95% Cl,				
<b>32.0-43.0)</b> ≥180				
days after 2nd dose[USA; August				
2021 to January				
2022] <sup>59cxcv</sup>				
_0]				
<u>Against</u>				
Hospitalization				
(DELTA):				
From 90% (95%				
CI, 89-90) at 14-				

<sup>cxciv</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.

<sup>cxcv</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.

<sup>ccxv</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch |



	179 days to <b>81%</b> ( <b>95% CI, 80-82</b> ) ≥180 days after 2nd dose[USA; August 2021 to January 2022] <sup>59cxcvi</sup> <u>Against</u> <u>Hospitalization</u> ( <u>OMICRON):</u> From <b>81% (95%</b> <b>CI, 65-90)</b> at 14- 179 days after to <b>57% (95% CI, 39-</b> <b>70)</b> ≥180 days after 2nd dose[USA; August 2021 to January 2022] <sup>59cxcvii</sup>							
Transmission prevention	Prior Delta Variant: Vaccine effectiveness against infectiousness given infections <b>41.3%</b> VE against transmission <b>88.5%</b>	VE against onwards transmission: <b>52%</b> (95% CI, 33-69) VE against transmission from vaccinated index case to unvaccinated contact is <b>63%</b> (95% CI, 46-75)	48% (limited data) May not be able to block the transmission of the alpha variant as efficiently as the wild type. VE against transmission from vaccinated index	VE against transmissibility was <b>31%</b> (95% CI, 26-36) when the secondary case was not vaccinated and <b>10%</b> (95% CI, 0- 18) when secondary case was fully vaccinated	Unknown	Unknown	No available data	No available data

<sup>cxcvi</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.

cxcvii Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch



|--|

<sup>ccxxviii</sup> Study does not differentiate between Comirnaty/BNT162b2, Spikevax/ mRNA-1273, and Vaxzevria/ ChAdOz1 nCOV-19. <sup>ccxxix</sup> Study does not differentiate between Comirnaty/BNT162b2, Spikevax/ mRNA-1273, and Vaxzevria/ ChAdOz1 nCOV-19.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 101/197



in both vaccinated	vaccinations on		
and unvaccinated	transmission of		
groups	the Alpha variant		
9.0000	was <b>16%</b> (95% CI,		
VE against	1-80)		
onwards	,		
transmission	VE against		
(VET) of Delta two	onwards		
weeks after full	transmission		
vaccination was	(VET) of Alpha		
50% (95% CI, 35-	two weeks after		
61); at 12 weeks	full vaccination		
VET was <b>24%</b>	was <b>24%</b> (95% CI,		
(95% CI, 20-28)	18-30); at 12		
(	weeks VET was		
Proportion of the	<b>2%</b> (95% CI, -2-6)		
total effect			
(mediated by Ct	VE against		
values) of two	onwards		
vaccinations on	transmission		
transmission of	(VET) of Delta two		
the Delta variant	weeks after full		
was <b>23%</b> (95% CI,	vaccination was		
17-33)	<b>52%</b> (95% CI, 22-		
	70); at 12 weeks		
Studies from	VET was <b>38%</b>		
Scotland and	(95% Cl, -1-62)		
England			
demonstrated	Proportion of the		
reductions in	total effect		
secondary	(mediated by Ct		
infections among	values) of two		
families of	vaccinations on		
vaccinated	transmission of		
individuals	the Delta variant		
compared to	was <b>7%</b> (95% Cl,		
families of	5-10)		



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch



unvaccinated				
individuals.	VE against			
	onwards			
VE against	transmission of			
onwards	Delta <b>42% (95%</b>			
transmission: 62%	<b>CI, 14-69</b> )			
(95% CI, 57-67)	)/E enginet			
VE against	VE against transmissibility			
transmission from	was <b>31%</b> (95% CI,			
vaccinated index	26-36) when the			
case to	secondary case			
unvaccinated	was not			
contact is 63%	vaccinated and			
(95% CI, 46-75)	<b>10%</b> (95% CI, 0-			
and <b>40%</b> (95% CI,	18) when			
20-54) to a vaccinated	secondary case			
contact. <sup>ccxxvii</sup>	was fully			
contact.	vaccinated			
VE against				
onwards				
transmission of				
Delta 31% (95%				
Cl, -3 – 61)				
VE against				
infection [within a				
ten-day window] when having a				
confirmed				
household				
exposure <b>80.4%</b>				

ccxxvii Study does not differentiate between Comirnaty/BNT162b2, Spikevax/ mRNA-1273, and Vaxzevria/ ChAdOz1 nCOV-19.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch



(95% CI, 73.6- 85.5)				
Additional infections occurred in 49.8% (95% CI, 48-51.6) of homogenously unvaccinated household members and 12.5% (95% CI, 9.1-17) of homogenously vaccinated household members [within a ten-day window]				
VE against transmissibility was <b>31%</b> (95% CI, 26-36) when the secondary case was not vaccinated and <b>10%</b> (95% CI, 0- 18) when secondary case was fully vaccinated				
Estimated SAR from fully vaccinated index case was <b>8.3%</b> (95% CI, 5.6-12.1)				



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 104/197



and <b>35.9%</b> (95% CI, 34.1-37.6) for unvaccinated index cases				
Estimated SAR to fully vaccinated household contact was <b>15.8%</b> (95% CI, 15.0-16.7)				
VE against susceptibility to infection <b>80.5%</b> (95% CI, 78.9- 82.1) VE against infectiousness given infection <b>41.3%</b> (95% CI, 9.5-73.0) VE against transmission <b>88.5%</b> (95% CI, 82.3-94.8)				
Delta infection: SAR in fully vaccinated household members was <b>12.5%</b> , while the SAR in unvaccinated and partially vaccinated individuals was				



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

105/197



1	07.00/							
	27.8% and 25.0%, respectively							
	reepeetitely							
	Secondary attack ra 21% in households	te was <b>31%</b> in house with the Delta VOC.	holds infected with th	e Omicron VOC and				
	with the Omicron VC	ndary cases demonstr DC ( <b>29%</b> ) and the Del condary attack rate of fected households.	ta VOC (28%). Fully	vaccinated				
Transmission prevention: Omicron		who had received a th on and <b>11%</b> for Delta.	ird (booster) shot, se	condary attack rate				
		for Omicron infection <b>.54</b> (95% CI, 0.4-0.71						
	households had an infected households	ariants, unvaccinated estimated OR of <b>1.17</b> For vaccinated and 2.34-2.90) and <b>3.66</b> (9	(95% CI, 0.99-1.38) boosted individuals, t	compared to Delta the estimated OR				
Breakthrough infections	From 6,161 patients with a positive nasopharyngeal SARS-CoV-2 PCR, 1,120 (18%) were breakthrough infections – 97% of these occurred after 2 May (emergence of Delta variant). Of	From 6,161 patients with a positive nasopharyngeal SARS-CoV-2 PCR, 1,120 (18%)	As of 10 June, 1.5 million individuals have been fully vaccinated with Covishield in Odisha Province, India. Between 1 March to 10 June, 239 breakthrough infections (SARS- CoV-2 positive after having	From 6,161 patients with a positive nasopharyngeal SARS-CoV-2 PCR, 1,120 (18%) were breakthrough infections – 97% of these occurred after 2 May (emergence of	Of 22 individuals fully vaccinated, 20 were infected. Of 26 individuals who received a single dose, 23 were infected.[Bahrain] Of 1033 participants, 16 (1.55%)	Omicron (B.1.1.529) was neutralized less effectively by serum from breakthrough infection patients, with a 6.3-fold reduction compared to delta variants. <sup>65ccxlv</sup>	As of 10 June, 380,000 individuals have been fully vaccinated with Covaxin in Odisha Province, India. Between 1 March to 10 June, 35 breakthrough infections (SARS- CoV-2 positive	No available data

<sup>ccxlv</sup> Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccinates, CoronaVac or AZD1222.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



the 1 100 second	Dalta variant) Of	received two	Dalta variant) Of	developed DCD		aftar having	
the 1,120 cases,	Delta variant). Of	received two	Delta variant). Of	developed PCR	Of 1401 study	after having	
126 (12%) were	the 1,120 cases,	doses of	the 1,120 cases,	positive COVID-19	participants,	received two	
hospitalized. Of	126 (12%) were	Covishield) were	126 (12%) were	infection two	32.9% (461 of	doses of	
the 126	hospitalized. Of	identified. Of	hospitalized. Of	weeks after the	1401) were	Covishield) were	
breakthrough	the 126	these, 199	the 126	second dose while	hospitalized after	identified. Of	
admissions, 59	breakthrough	(83.3%) were	breakthrough	3 (0.29%) had re-	receiving 2 doses	these, 29 (82.9%)	
were vaccinated	admissions, 36	symptomatic, 24	admissions, 10	infection.	of Sinovac	were symptomatic,	
with BNT162b2	were vaccinated	(10.0%) were	were vaccinated	[Pakistan]	compared with	3 (8.6%) were	
	with mRNA-1273.	hospitalized - 59	with		47.8% (669 of	hospitalized. 5	
Individuals		individuals had	Ad26.COV2.S		1401) of	individuals had	
vaccinated in	Breakthrough	comorbidities			unvaccinated	comorbidities	
January and	infections		4.2% of fully		hospitalized		
February had a	remained under	Median antibody	vaccinated HCWs		individuals.	Median antibody	
<b>51%</b> (95% Cl, 40-	1% for fully	titer: 647.5 AU/ ml	developed		[Turkey]	titer: 213.5 AU/ ml	
68) increased risk	vaccinated		breakthrough				
for breakthrough	individuals (no	Vietnamese study:	infections – all			4.2% of fully	
infections	difference	High viral loads	cases were			vaccinated HCWs	
compared to	between Pfizer or	were observed 2-3	symptomatic but			developed	
individuals	Moderna	days before	mild, only one			breakthrough	
vaccinated in	recipients	symptom onset	case required			infections – all	
March and April	between May and	among 49	hospitalizationccxlii			cases were	
	August 2021	symptomatic				symptomatic but	
Breakthrough		breakthrough	Rate of			mild, only one	
infections	In a study of	cases (out of 62).	breakthrough			case required	
remained under	10,412	Their peak viral	infections was			hospitalization ccxlvi	
1% for fully	participants, of	loads measured at	comparable to				
vaccinated	which 8,554 were	any point in time	Pfizer and			In a study of 614	
individuals (no	vaccinated,	were higher than	Moderna			of HCW, 13% (81	
difference	breakthrough	that of	recipients during			of 614) had	
between Pfizer or	infections were	asymptomatic	the initial stages of			breakthrough	
Moderna	reported by 74	cases (IQR: 16.5	the study, but			infections – within	
recipients between	(1.0%) among	log10/mL vs 30.8	increased to			breakthrough	
	fully vaccinated	log10/mL,	1.96% (2 times			infections, 63%	

ccxlii Study does not differentiate between Covishield (n=62.4%) and Covaxin (n=37%).

ccxIvi Study does not differentiate between Covishield (*n*=62.4%) and Covaxin (*n*=37%).



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch



May and August	individuals and	respectively).	the breakthrough	(51 of 81 <b>)</b> were
2021	198 (2.3%) among	NAbs were	rate of mRNA	Covaxin
	partially	measured for 10	vaccines)	recipients. [India;
In a study of	vaccinated.	breakthrough		January to July
10,412	[United States;	cases, all 10	In a study of	2021]
participants, of	December 2020 to	cases had lower	10,412	
which 8,554 were	September	NAbs at day 14	participants, of	
vaccinated,	2021] <sup>ccxxxv</sup>	and 90 post	which 8,554 were	Out of 355 fully
breakthrough		second	vaccinated,	vaccinated HCWs,
infections were	From 126,586	vaccination	breakthrough	16 had
reported by 74	vaccine recipients,	compared to	infections were	symptomatic
(1.0%) among fully	492 (0.39%) were	controls	reported by 74	breakthrough
vaccinated	found to have		(1.0%) among	infections >14
individuals and	breakthrough	From 126,586	fully vaccinated	days after the
198 (2.3%) among	infections during	vaccine recipients,	individuals and	second dose. No
partially	the 10-month	492 (0.39%) were	198 (2.3%) among	significant
vaccinated.	observational	found to have	partially	difference was
[United States;	period. 97.2% of	breakthrough	vaccinated.	observed between
December 2020 to	the identified	infections during	[United States;	Covishield and
September	breakthrough	the 10-month	December 2020 to	Covaxin. [India; 16
2021] <sup>ccxxx</sup>	cases (478 of 492)	observational	September	January 2021 to
	were	period. 97.2% of	2021] <sup>ccxliii</sup>	31 July 2021]
In a case series of	asymptomatic or	the identified		
20 HCWs, 90%	mild and 2.8% (14	breakthrough	From 126,586	
(18 of 20) had	of 492) required	cases (478 of 492)	vaccine recipients,	
confirmed	hospitalization.	were	492 (0.39%) were	
infection after the	[Switzerland;	asymptomatic or	found to have	
first dose (47.1%	December 2021 to	mild and 2.8% (14	breakthrough	
within the first	October	of 492) required	infections during	
week, 41.2%	2021] <sup>ccxxxvi</sup>	hospitalization.	the 10-month	

<sup>ccxxx</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, or Johnson & Johnson. <sup>ccxxxv</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, or Johnson & Johnson. <sup>ccxxxvi</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, AstraZeneca, or Janssen. <sup>ccxliii</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, or Johnson & Johnson.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch / 1/2



|--|--|

ccxxxi Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, AstraZeneca, or Janssen.

<sup>ccxl</sup> \*\*\*Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, AstraZeneca, or Janssen.

ccxliv Study does not differentiate between Pfizer, Moderna, AstraZeneca, or Janssen.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 100



	these, 293 (32%)	January 2021 to	(77 in the Beta,	
Of 23,697	received the	31 July 2021]	1,429 in the Delta,	
vaccinated HCPs,	Moderna vaccine.		and 408 in the	
0.58% tested	Characteristics of	Omicron	Omicron periods).	
positive for COVID	breakthrough	(B.1.1.529) was	During Omicron,	
(138 of 23,697	infection cases	neutralized less	91% hospitalized	
cases that	were similar	effectively by	HCWs required	
received at least	across Pfizer,	serum from	general ward care,	
one dose of an	Moderna, and	breakthrough	6% high care, and	
mRNA vaccine) –	Johnson &	infection patients,	3% intensive care	
105 of which only	Johnson vaccines.	with a 6.3-fold	which were	
received one dose		reduction	significantly	
and 33 (0.15% 33	Cumulative	compared to delta	different from the	
of 22,458 cases	incidence of	variants. 65 ccxli	Delta (89%	
who received both	breakthrough		general, 4% high,	
vaccine doses)	infection was	BTI with Delta:	7% intensive care)	
were among those	<b>0.59%</b> (95% Cl,	Of 164 fully	and Beta (78%	
who completed	0.55-0.64) 6	vaccinated	general, 7% high,	
vaccination.	months after the	people, 162 (99%)	16% intensive	
Among the 138	second	were infected.	care) periods.	
postvacciantion	dose.[Qatar]	Case-fatality	[South Africa;	
cases, 74 were	dobo.[Quitar]	ratio was 1.2%	March 2021 to	
vaccinated with	$D_{a}$ $(D_{a} (C_{a} C_{a} T_{a}))$	(2/162; lower	December 2021]	
Pfizer.	<u>Delta (B.1.617.2):</u>	compared to		
	Estimated lower	outbreak prior to	Among 1,128	
Among 1,128	VE against Delta	vaccination at	cluster-associated	
cluster-associated	infection since	6.9%) with	cases of COVID,	
cases of COVID,	higher odds of	prolonged	918 (81%) were	
918 (81%) were	breakthrough	hospitalization	identified as	
dentified as	infection were	also less prevalent	breakthrough	
breakthrough	found when	at 8.5%	infections. Of	
infections. Of	comparing Delta	(compared to	these, 121 (13%)	
these, 504 (55%)	and Alpha-	25.0% of	received the	
received the Pfizer	infected patients - odds ratio: 1.96	20.070 01	Johnson &	
555				

<sup>ccxli</sup> Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccinates, CoronaVac or AZD1222.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch



vaccine. Characteristics of breakthrough infection cases were similar across Pfizer, Moderna, and Johnson & Johnson vaccines. Overall test positivity rate was 6.4% during the period of Delta dominance and 24.4% during a proxy Omicron period.[South Africa] Of 365 cases with covid in a long- term care facility, the mean attack rate was <b>18.0%</b> (95% CI 12.8- 23.2) among those fully vaccinated compared with 27.5% (95% CI, 16.3-38.7) among	(95%Cl. 1.22- 3.14][Portugal, 17 May 2021 to 04 July 2021] ccxxvii <u>Omicron</u> ( <u>B.1.1529):</u> Of 111 participants, 59% (66 of 111) had confirmed infection while 14% (15 of 111) were probable cases, the total attack rate for Omicron was 74% (81/110).[Norway; November 2021 to December 2021] <sup>62ccxxxvii</sup> Over a period of 8.4 months, 13 out of 387 (3.4%) of vaccinated followed up individuals developed a breakthrough infection <sup>53</sup>	unvaccinated). [Korea] <sup>66</sup>	Johnson vaccine. Characteristics of breakthrough infection cases were similar across Pfizer, Moderna, and Johnson & Johnson vaccines.				
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--	--	--

ccxxxvii Study does not differentiate between mRNA vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 414



|--|

ccxxxii Study does not differentiate between mRNA vaccines.

<sup>ccxxxix</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 112/197



Breakthrough cases described symptoms as mild or moderate, had viral loads ranging from 15,011.2 to over 40,000 AU.mL <sup>61</sup>				
Of 111 participants, 59% (66 of 111) had confirmed infection while 14% (15 of 111) were probable cases, the total attack rate for Omicron was 74% (81/110).[Norway; November 2021 to December 2021] <sup>62</sup> ccxxxiii				
Over a period of 8.4 months, <b>8 out</b> of <b>212</b> (3.8%) of vaccinated followed up individuals developed a breakthrough infection <sup>53</sup>				

ccxxxiii Study does not differentiate between mRNA vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch



0.011 to 0.0001 (per 100 individuals) incidence of BTIs among HCWs(systematic review) <sup>63ccxxxiv</sup> <u>BTI with Delta:</u> Incidence rate was 2.8 cases per 1000 person- days (P<0.001) 60-day hospitalization risk was 13.3% (2489/18737)[Unit ed States] <sup>64</sup>							
		SAFE	TY AND ADVERSE E	EVENTS			
BNT162b2/ COMIRNATY	Spikevax/ Moderna COVID- 19 Vaccine/ mRNA-1273	Vaxzevria/ ChAdOx1 nCoV- 19/ AZD1222/ Covishield	Janssen COVID- 19 vaccine/Johnson & Johnson	Covilo/ /BBIBP- CorV	CoronaVac	COVAXIN / BBV152	Nuvaxovid/ NVX- CoV2373/ Covovax

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>ccxxxiv</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch



Common side effects	Pain at the injection site, fatigue, headache, myalgia, chills and fever, arthralgia Optimal safety for asthma patients. More adverse events reported after the first than the second dose for recipients who had prior COVID- 19 infections <u>Acute adverse</u> <u>events (AAE)</u> <b>17.8</b> cases of dizziness, <b>9.7</b> of headache, <b>7.1</b> of nausea and <b>3.2</b> of syncope per 10,000 doses administered were observed in Saudi Arabia One in ten AAEs were considered serious, but only 0.1 per 10,000 doses required hospitalization for non-anaphylaxis reasons	Pain at injection site, headache, fatigue, myalgia, arthralgia, Covid arm (cutaneous hypersensitivity). The vaccine is considered safe for cancer patients undergoing treatments. Anaphylaxis rates associated with vaccine is comparable to those of other vaccines and is ranked fifth in reported anaphylaxis rates, behind rabies, tick-borne encephalitis, measles-mumps- rubella-varicella, and human pappilomavirus vaccines	Fatigue, myalgia, arthralgia, headache, lethargy, fever, & nausea, urticaria Anaphylaxis rates associated with vaccine is comparable to those of other vaccines and is ranked fifth in reported anaphylaxis rates, behind rabies, tick-borne encephalitis, measles-mumps- rubella-varicella, and human papilomavirus vaccines	Headache, fever, chills, fatigue, myalgia, and nausea. Anaphylaxis rates associated with vaccine is comparable to those of other vaccines and is ranked fifth in reported anaphylaxis rates, behind rabies, tick-borne encephalitis, measles-mumps- rubella-varicella, and human pappilomavirus vaccines	Pain at the injection site, dizziness, fever, headache, fatigue, nausea, vomiting, & allergic dermatitis.	Pain at injection site, headache, fatigue, tremors, & flushing, inflammatory reaction, urticaria, myalgia	Pain at injection site, headache, pyrexia, fatigue, myalgia	Pain at injection- site, headache, muscle pain, fatigue
------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 115/197



	The vaccine is considered safe for cancer patients undergoing treatments. Anaphylaxis rates associated with vaccine is comparable to those of other vaccines and is ranked fifth in reported anaphylaxis rates, behind rabies, tick-borne encephalitis, measles-mumps- rubella-varicella, and human					
	and human pappilomavirus vaccines					
Risk of developing adverse event <sup>ccxlvii</sup>	Cerebral venous sinus thrombosis OR 4.40* (95% CI, 3.56-5.44) Absolute risk 0.6 (95% CI, 0.5-0.7) per million doses	Cerebral venous sinus thrombosis OR 2.67* (95% CI, 1.77-4.03) Absolute risk 0.6 (95% CI, 0.3-1.1) per million doses	Cerebral venous sinus thrombosis OR 15.43* (95% Cl, 13.73-17.34) Absolute risk 7.5 (95% Cl, 6.9-8.3) per million doses	Cerebral venous sinus thrombosis Absolute risk 0.7 (95% CI, 0.2-2.4) per million doses Cerebral venous sinus thrombosis with thrombocytopenia		

ccxlvii Values with a \* were deemed significant in the report



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 116



<u>Cerebral venous</u> <u>sinus thrombosis</u> <u>with</u> <u>thrombocytopenia</u> <b>Absolute risk 0.0</b> (95% Cl, 0.0-0.1) per million doses	<u>Cerebral venous</u> <u>sinus thrombosis</u> <u>with</u> <u>thrombocytopenia</u> <b>Absolute risk 0.0</b> (95% CI, 0.0-0.2) per million doses	<u>Cerebral venous</u> <u>sinus thrombosis</u> <u>with</u> <u>thrombocytopenia</u> <b>Absolute risk 4.4</b> (95% CI, 3.9-4.9) per million doses	Absolute risk 0.7 (95% Cl, 0.2-2.4) per million doses <u>Acute pericarditis</u> <b>OR 3.33</b> * (95% Cl, 1.29-10.14) <sup>cclii</sup>
<u>Guillain-Barre</u> <u>syndrome</u> <b>OR 1.53</b> * (95% CI, 1.34-1.75)	<u>Guillain-Barre</u> <u>syndrome</u> <b>OR 1.74</b> * (95% Cl, 1.43-2.12)	<u>Guillain-Barre</u> <u>syndrome</u> <b>OR 2.74</b> * (95% Cl, 2.49-3.02)	<u>Thrombosis with</u> <u>thrombocytopenia</u> <u>syndrome</u> Reporting rate of
Haemorrhagic stroke OR 0.82 (95% CI, 0.66-1.02)	Haemorrhagic stroke OR 0.72 (95% CI, 0.50-1.04)	<u>Haemorrhagic</u> stroke OR 0.53 (95% CI, 0.41-0.69)	<b>3.83</b> per million vaccine doses
<u>Ischemic stroke</u> <b>OR 2.73</b> * (95% CI, 2.48-3.01)	<u>Ischemic stroke</u> OR 1.56* (95% CI, 1.28-1.90)	Ischemic stroke OR 2.13* (95% CI, 1.92-2.37)	
<u>Transient ischemic</u> <u>attack</u> <b>OR 1.24</b> * (95% Cl, 1.13-1.36)	<u>Transient</u> <u>ischemic attack</u> <b>OR 0.99</b> (95% CI, 0.84-1.16)	<u>Transient</u> <u>ischemic attack</u> <b>OR 1.38</b> * (95% Cl, 1.27-1.50)	
<u>Acute pericarditis</u> <b>OR 3.33</b> * (95% CI, 1.29-10.14) <sup>ccxlviii</sup>	Acute pericarditis OR 3.33* (95% CI, 1.29-10.14) <sup>ccl</sup>		

ccxlviii Study does not differentiate between vaccines.

<sup>ccl</sup> Study does not differentiate between vaccines.

<sup>cclii</sup> Study does not differentiate between vaccines



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



	Thrombosis with thrombocytopenia syndrome Reporting rate of <b>0.0085</b> per million vaccine doses <sup>ccxlix</sup>	Thrombosis with thrombocytopenia syndrome Reporting rate of <b>0.0085</b> per million vaccine doses <sup>ccli</sup>						
Rare adverse events	Myocarditis & myopericarditis, pericarditis, thrombosis , anaphylaxis and swelling of the lips, face, and tongue related to anaphylaxis (11 anaphylaxis cases per million doses administered), paroxysmal ventricular arrhythmia, leg paresthesia, pityriasis rosea (lesions improved completely after ~8 weeks), lymphocytic vasculitis, varicella-zoster reactivation,	Myocarditis & myopericarditis, pericarditis, orofacial swelling & anaphylaxis. Potential risk factor for Bell's palsy (most improve upon follow-up), herpes zoster reactivation, varicella zoster reactivation, herpes zoster ophtalmicus, eczema & urticaria, transverse myelitis, Guillain- Barré syndrome, acute generalized exanthematous pustulosis,	Transverse myelitis, high fever, cutaneous hypersensitivity, vasculitis, thromboembolism, vaccine induced immune thrombotic thrombocytopenia, intracerebral haemorrhage, small vessel vasculitis, psoriasis, rosacea, raynaud's phenomenon, Ischaemic stroke, anaphylaxis, recurrent herpes zoster, generalized bullous fixed drug	Thrombosis, thrombocytopenia, increased risk of developing Guillain-Barré syndrome post vaccination, herpes zoster ophtalmicus, pseudothrombocyt openia, vaccine induced thrombocytopic thrombosis, cutaneous reactions, optic neuritis, subacute thyroiditis, CNS demyelination, bullous local reaction, acute vertigo <sup>74</sup> adver	Cutaneous reactions, herpes zoster, CNS demyelination, eosinophilic panniculitis <sup>80</sup> Rare adverse events were similar among the vaccine groups and control group within 7 days. Pityriasis rosea, uveitis	Myalgia, fever, pityriasis rosea (lesions improved completely after ~8 weeks), reactivation of herpes zoster and herpes simplex. Most reactions improved without treatment within a few weeks, Guillain-Barré syndrome, subacute thyroiditis, erythema multiforme, uveitis, vaccine induced thrombotic thrombocytopenia, serum sickness- like reaction,	Subacute thyroiditis, herpes zoster	Cutaneous reactions Myocarditis was reported in one vaccine recipient, occurring 3 days after second dose

ccxlix Does not differentiate between BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273.

<sup>ccli</sup> Does not differentiate between BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



Kikuchi-Fujimoto disease, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura, IgA nephropathy flare- up, Guillain-Barré syndrome, psoriasis, immunoglobulin A vasculitis, immune complex vasculitis, Rhabdomyolysis, subacute thyroiditis, Bell's Palsy, erythema multiforme, vaccine induced interstitial lung disease, macular neuroretinopathy, brachial neuritis, thyroid eye disease, exacerbation of subclinical hyperthyroidism, rhabdomyolysis, internal jugular vein thrombosis, herpes simplex, herpes zoster, virus keratitis, cervical lymphadenopathy, glomerulonephritis , Ramsay-Hunt	rhabdomyolysis, cervical lymphadenopathy, glomerulonephritis , Behçet's disease, neurological autoimmune disease, axillary adenopathy, multiple sclerosis, cutaneous reactions, Löfgren's syndrome, erythema multiforme, pemphigus vulgaris, graft rejection (corneal), thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura, reactivation of BCG scars, urticarial vasculitis, CNS demyelination, thrombocytopenia, thyrotoxicosis, polymyalgia rheumatic, acute vertigo <sup>74</sup>	eruption, Guillain- Barré syndrome, pityriasis rosea. Vaccination in individuals with adrenal insufficiency can lead to adrenal crises, Dariers disease, vaccine induced acute localized exanthematous pustulosis, Henoch-Schönlein Purpura, rhabdomyolysis, Grave's disease, acute demyelinating polyradiculoneuro pathy, erythema nodosum, polyarthralgia, recurrence of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma, neurological autoimmune disease, multiple sclerosis, sudden sensorineural hearing loss, acute-onset polyradiculoneuro pathy, cutaneous reactions,	97% of reported reactions after vaccine administration were non-serious.		cutaneous reactions, neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders (transverse myelitis or optic neuritis), bullous pemphigoid, CNS demyelination, deafness, glomerulonephritis		
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 119/197



IEUKOCVIOCIASUC				
leukocytoclastic				
,				
vasculitis,				
subacute				
thyroiditis,				
vaccine-induced				
pneumonitis,				
myositis,				
glomerulopathy,				
nephrotic				
syndrome,				
macular				
neuroretinopathy <sup>76</sup>				
, takotsubo				
cardiomyopathy <sup>77</sup> ,				
Kawasaki <sup>78</sup> , acute				
vertigo <sup>74</sup> , chilblain-				
like lesions <sup>79</sup>				
	thyroiditis, vaccine-induced pneumonitis, myositis, glomerulopathy, nephrotic syndrome, macular neuroretinopathy <sup>76</sup> , takotsubo cardiomyopathy <sup>77</sup> , Kawasaki <sup>78</sup> , acute vertigo <sup>74</sup> , chilblain-	Löfgren's syndrome, acute eosinophilic pneumonia, bullous sweet syndrome, neuralgic amyotrophy of the lumbosacral plexus, sudden sensorineural hearing loss, graft rejection (corneal), erythema annulare centrfugum, graft rejection (stromal), leukocytoclastic vasculitis, subacute thyroiditis, vaccine-induced pneumonitis, myositis, glomerulopathy, nephrotic syndrome, macular neuroretinopathy <sup>76</sup> , takotsubo cardiomyopathy <sup>77</sup> , Kawasaki <sup>78</sup> , acute vertigo <sup>74</sup> , chilblain-	Löfgren's syndrome, acute eosinophilic pneumonia, bullous sweet syndrome, neuralgic amyotrophy of the lumbosacral plexus, sudden sensorineural hearing loss, graft rejection (corneal), erythema annulare centrfugum, graft rejection (stromal), leukocytoclastic vasculitis, subacute thyroiditis, vaccine-induced pneumonitis, myositis, glomerulopathy, nephrotic syndrome, macular neuroretinopathy <sup>76</sup> , takotsubo cardiomyopathy <sup>77</sup> , Kawasaki <sup>78</sup> , acute vertigo <sup>74</sup> , chilblain-	Löfgren's syndrome, acute eosinophilic pneumonia, bullous sweet syndrome, neuralgic amyotrophy of the lumbosacral plexus, sudden sensorineural hearing loss, graft rejection (corneal), erythema annulare centrfugum, graft rejection (stromal), leukocytoclastic vasculitis, subacute thyroiditis, vaccine-induced pneumonitis, myositis, glomerulopathy, nephrotic syndrome, macular neuroretinopathy <sup>76</sup> , takotsubo cardiomyopathy <sup>77</sup> , Kawasaki <sup>78</sup> , acute verigo <sup>74</sup> , chilblain-



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 10/



urticarial				
reactions,				
transverse				
myelitis,				
thyrotoxicosis,				
acquired				
haemophilia A				
(AHA) <sup>67,68</sup> ,				
transient				
lymphedema <sup>69</sup> ,				
anti-LGI1				
encephalitis <sup>70</sup> ,				
eosinophilic				
granulomatosis <sup>71</sup> ,				
rarepyoderma				
gangrenosum <sup>72</sup> ,				
transverse				
myelitis <sup>73</sup> , acute				
vertigo <sup>74</sup> ,				
leukocytoclastic				
vasculitis <sup>75</sup>				
Systemic allergic				
symptoms were				
more common in				
BNT162b2 than				
mRNA-1273,				
however,				
anaphylaxis rates				
were similar for				
both mRNA				
vaccines, could				
potentially worsen				
migraines in				
people who				
already suffer from				
migraines				
migrames				



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 121/197



	Having adverse reactions is associated with enhanced SARS- CoV-2 IgG antibody response							
Potential associated adverse events (causal links not yet proven)	Cerebral venous sinus thrombosis and intracranial haemorrhage, aseptic meningitis, autoimmune hepatitis, multiple sclerosis relapse, myeloperoxidase anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-positive optic perineuritis, central retinal vein occlusion, paracentral acute middle maculopathy & acute macular neurotinopathy, Stevens-Johnson syndrome/ toxic epidermal necrolysis, lichenoid cutaneous skin eruption, acute	Cerebral venous sinus, Autoimmune hepatitis, myocardial infarction, autoimmune haemolytic anaemia, hypophysitis & panhypopituitaris m, erythema nodosum, pulmonary embolism, minimal change disease, encephalomyelitis, lupus nephritis, retinal vein occlusion, takotsubo syndrome, encephalitis, status epilepticus , pleuropericardial diffusion	Autoimmune hepatitis, Acute hyperglycaemic crisis, Facial nerve palsy, cervical myelitis, alopecia areata, takotsubo (stress) cardiomyopathy, acute disseminated encephalomyelitis, cerebral venous sinus thrombosis (higher risk for women), ophthalmic vein thrombosis, retinal vein occlusion, Still's disease, autoimmune encephalitis, acute abducens palsy, lichenoid eruption, multisystem inflammatory syndrome, parosmia,	Facial Diplegia, acute macular neurotinopathy, cerebral venous sinus thrombosis, oral lichen planus	Cerebral venous sinus thrombosis , Longitudinally extensive transverse myelitis	Cerebral venous sinus thrombosis , Likely vaccine associated disease enhancement (VADE), autoimmune hepatitis	No available data	No available data



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch |



|--|



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch |

123/197



	cranial nerve palsy, inflammatory bowl disease, pancreatitis, lupus nephritis <sup>81</sup>							
Myocarditis data	Mainly reported in young adults and adolescents <u>First dose (1-28</u> <u>days post</u> <u>vaccination):</u> Incidence rate ratio of <b>1.37</b> (95% CI, 1.12-1.67) <u>Second dose:</u> Incidence rate ratio of <b>1.60</b> (95% CI, 1.31-1.97) <u>Third dose:</u> Incidence rate ratio of <b>2.02</b> (95% CI, 1.40-2.91) <u>Males &lt;40 years:</u> First dose [1-28 days post vaccination]: Incidence rate ratio of <b>1.66</b> (95% CI, 1.14-2.41)	Mainly reported in young adults and adolescents <u>First dose (1-28</u> <u>days post</u> <u>vaccination):</u> No association <u>Second dose:</u> Incidence rate ratio of <b>13.71</b> (95% Cl, 8.46- 22.20) <u>Third dose:</u> No association (small sample size) <u>Males &lt;40 years:</u> First dose [1-28 days post vaccination]: Incidence rate ratio of <b>2.34</b> (95% Cl, 1.03-5.34)	<i>First dose (1-28</i> <i>days post</i> <i>vaccination):</i> Incidence rate ratio of <b>1.27</b> (95% CI, 1.05-1.55) <i>Second dose:</i> No association <i>Third dose:</i> No association (small sample size) <i>Males &lt;40 years:</i> <i>Second dose [1- 28 days post</i> <i>vaccination]:</i> Incidence rate ratio of <b>2.57</b> (95% CI, 1.52-4.35)	No available data	No available data	No available data	No available data	Myocarditis was reported as viral myocarditis. Participant fully recovered after 2 days of hospitalisation. No episode of anaphylaxis or vaccine- associated enhanced COVID- 19 was reported



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 124/197



Second dose [1- 28 days post	Second dose [1- 28 days post			
vaccination]:	vaccination]:			
Incidence rate	Incidence rate			
ratio of <b>3.41</b> (95%	ratio of <b>16.52</b>			
Cl, 2.44-4.78)	(95% CI, 9.10-			
01, 2.44-4.70)	30.0)			
Third dose [1-28	50.0)			
days post				
vaccination]:	Females <40			
Incidence rate				
	<u>years</u> Second dose [1-			
ratio of <b>7.60</b> (95%				
CI, 2.44-4.78)	28 days post			
lava al'ati ishiri	vaccination]:			
Israeli study:	Incidence rate			
Estimated	ratio of <b>7.55</b> (95%			
incidence within	CI, 1.67-34.12)			
42 days after				
receipt of first	5.8 cases per 1			
dose per 100,000	million second			
vaccinated	dose			
persons was 2.13	administrations			
cases (95% Cl,				
1.56-2.7)	<b>95.4</b> (95% CI,			
	52.1-160.0) <b>cases</b>			
Male patients	per 1 million			
Incidence of 4.12	second dose			
(95% CI, 2.99-	administrations in			
5.26) per 100,000	patients aged 12-			
vaccinated	39			
<b>3.19</b> cases (95%	(0.00			
Cl, 2.37-4.02) per	<u>12–39-year-olds</u>			
100,000	<u>(within 28 days of</u>			
vaccinated	vaccination:			
	<b>–</b> 1 <i>– 1</i> – 1			
Female patients	Female patients			



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 10/2

125/197



Incidence of <b>0.23</b> (95% CI, 0-0.49) per 100,000 vaccinated	<b>2.0</b> (95% CI, 0.7- 4.8) per 100,000 vaccinated			
<b>0.39</b> cases (95% CI, 0.10-0.68) per 100,000 vaccinated	<u>Male patients</u> <b>6.3</b> (95% CI, 3.6- 10.2) per 100,000 vaccinated			
<ul> <li>≥30 years</li> <li>Incidence of 1.13</li> <li>(95% CI, 0.66-</li> <li>1.60) per 100,00</li> <li>vaccinated</li> </ul>				
<b>5.8 cases</b> per 1 million second dose administrations				
<b>95.4</b> (95% CI, 52.1-160.0) <b>cases</b> per 1 million second dose administrations in patients aged 12- 39				
<b>5.07</b> cases per 100,000				
Disease severity Mild: <b>1.62</b> (95% CI, 1.12-2.11)				



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 100

126/197



Intermediate: 0.47				
(95% CI, 0.21-				
0.74)				
Fulminant: 0.04				
(95% CI, 0-0.12)				
<u>Risk per 100,000</u>				
persons				
1 <sup>st</sup> dose (male):				
0.64				
2 <sup>nd</sup> dose (male);				
<b>3.83</b>				
1 <sup>st</sup> dose (female): <b>0.07</b>				
2 <sup>nd</sup> dose (female):				
<b>0.46</b>				
1 <sup>st</sup> dose (male 16-				
19): <b>1.34</b>				
2 <sup>nd</sup> dose (male 16-				
19): <b>15.07</b>				
<u>12–39-year-olds</u>				
(within 28 days of				
vaccination:				
Formala nationta				
Female patients 1.3 (95% CI, 0.8-				
1.9) per 100,000				
vaccinated				
Male patients				
1.5 (95% CI, 1.0-				
2.2) per 100,000				
vaccinated				

CHILDREN VACCINATION



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 127



	BNT162b2/ COMIRNATY	Spikevax/ Moderna COVID- 19 Vaccine/ mRNA-1273	Vaxzevria/ ChAdOx1 nCoV- 19/ AZD1222/ Covishield	Janssen COVID- 19 vaccine/Johnson & Johnson	Covilo/ /BBIBP- CorV	CoronaVac	COVAXIN / BBV152	Nuvaxovid/ NVX- CoV2373/ Covovax
Efficacy	<u>Adolescents (12- 15):</u> After one dose had efficacy of <b>75% (Cl, 7.6-95.5)</b> After second dose efficacy of <b>100%</b> <b>(Cl, 78.1-100)</b> <u>Children (5-11):</u> After second dose efficacy of <b>90.7%</b> <b>(Cl, 67.7-98.3)</b> <u>Children (Under 5</u> <u>years):</u> Ongoing trials	Adolescents (12- <u>17)</u> : 14 days after one dose had efficacy of 92.7% (Cl, <b>67.8-99.2</b> ) After second dose efficacy of 93.3% (Cl, 47.9-99.9) Against SARS- CoV-2 Infection: 14 days after first dose efficacy of <b>68.9%</b> (95% Cl, <b>49.9-82.1</b> ) 14 days after second dose efficacy of 55.7% (95% Cl, <b>16.8,82.1</b> ) Against asymptomatic: 14 days after first dose efficacy of <b>59.5%</b> (95% Cl, <b>28.4-77.3</b> )	No available data Paused ongoing trials in children aged 6-17 due to concerns over rare blood clots reported in adult population	No available data Announced at beginning of April ongoing study in adolescents but paused to investigate blood clots in adult population	Children (3-17): Unknown. Ongoing clinical trial only looked at safety, tolerability, and immunogenicity <sup>ccliii</sup> * * The study design administered <b>three</b> <b>doses</b> of 2 µg, 4 µg, or 8 µg of vaccine	<u>Children (3-17):</u> Unknown. Clinical trial only looked at safety, tolerability and immunogenicity	No available data	Adolescents (16- 17): PREVENT-19 clinical trial <sup>ccliv</sup> expanded to assess efficacy, safety, and immunogenicity in 12–17-year-old adolescents

<sup>cciiii</sup> Safety and immunogenicity of an inactivated COVID-19 vaccine, BBIBP-CorV, in people younger than 18 years: a randomised, double-blind, controlled, phase 1/2 trial. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases.* <u>https://www.thelancet.com/journals/laninf/article/PIIS1473-3099(21)00462-X/fulltext</u>

<sup>ccliv</sup> A Study to Evaluate the Efficacy, Immune Response, and Safety of a COVID-19 Vaccine in Adults ≥18 Years With a Pediatric Expansion in Adolescents (12 to <18 Years) at Risk for SARS-CoV-2. *ClinicalTrials.gov*. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT04611802. <u>https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04611802?term=Novavax&cond=Covid19&draw=2</u>



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 🛛 402



		14 days after second dose efficacy of <b>39.2</b> ( <b>95% Cl, -24.7-</b> <b>69.7</b> ) <u><i>Children (6month-</i> <u>11):</u> Ongoing trials</u>						
Effectiveness	Adolescents Against SARS- CoV-2 infection: 91.5% (95% Cl, 88.2-93.9) 91% (95% Cl, 88- 93) 92% (95% Cl, 88- 93) 92% (95% Cl, 79%–97%)" from July-Dec 2021 Adolescents Against hospitalisation: 81% (95% Cl, -55- 98) 93% (95% Cl, 83- 97) 94% (95% Cl, 91 to 97) Adolescents against ICU care: 98% (95% Cl, 93 to 99) <sup>82</sup>	No available data	No available data	No available data	No available data	No available data	No available data	No available data



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 129/197



	Waning VE in Adolescents 12- 16: VE against breakthrough infection reduced to <b>75% (95% CI:</b> <b>71%, 79%)</b> after 90-149 days after second dose and <b>58% (95% CI:</b> <b>52%, 64%)</b> 150- 180 days after second dose VE against symptomatic infection was 78% ( <b>95% CI: 73%,</b> <b>82%)</b> after 90-140 days and <b>65%</b> ( <b>95% CI: 58%,</b> <b>71%)</b> after 150- 180 days <sup>83</sup> effectiveness of 2 doses against MIS-C was <b>91%</b> ( <b>95% CI, 78%–</b> <b>97%)</b> <sup>84</sup>							
Immunogenicity	<u>Adolescents (12- 15) serum-</u> <u>neutralizing titer:</u> 1 month after 2nd dose had <b>1283.0</b>	Adolescents (12- <u>17):</u> Neutralizing antibody titer after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose was <b>1401.7 GMN</b> <sub>50</sub>	No available data	No available data	<u>Children (3-17):</u> Neutralizing antibodies after 28 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose ranged from <b>105.3-180.2 GMT</b>	<u>Children (3-17):</u> Neutralizing antibody response after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose (100%)	Ongoing clinical trial <sup>88</sup> Neutralizing antibodies after 56 days after 2nd	Ongoing clinical trial <sup>89</sup>



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 130/197



GMN <sub>50</sub> (CI, 1095.5-1402.5)	(CI, 1276.3- 1539.4)		in 3-5 years cohort, <b>84.1-168.6</b>	with GMT ranging from <b>45.9-212.6</b>	dose was <b>358.6</b> GMT (95% Cl,
,	Serological		<b>GMT</b> in 6-12 years		287.2-447.8) in 2-
Adolescents/youn	response was		cohort, and <b>88.0-</b>	clinical trial	6 years group,
g adult (16-25)	98.8% (CI, 97.0-		155.7 GMT in 13-	pending	366.9 (95% CI,
serum-neutralizing	99.7)		17 years cohort		297.0-453.3) in 6-
titer:	,				12 years group,
1 month after 2nd	Children (6-11):		Neutralizing		and <b>317.4 (95%</b>
dose had <b>705.1</b>	Seroreponse of		antibodies after 28		<b>CI, 224.4-449.2)</b> in
GMN <sub>50</sub> (CI, 621.4-	99.3%		days after 3 <sup>rd</sup> dose		12-18 years group
800.2)	Children (6month-		ranged from		12 To youro group
00012)	11):		143.5-224.5 GMT		
<u>Children (5-11):</u>	Ongoing trials <sup>86</sup>		in 3-5 years		
1 month after 2 <sup>nd</sup>			cohort, <b>127-184.8</b>		
dose had <b>1,197.6</b>			GMT in 6-12 years		
GMT (95% CI,	Adolescents (12-		cohort, and <b>150.7</b> -		
1106.1-1296.6)	17) Against		<b>199 GMT</b> in 13-17		
SARS-CoV-2-	Omicron:		years cohort		
neutralizing	11.8-fold		years conon		
antibody	reduction in GMT		GMC of anti-RBD		
antibouy	compared to wild-		antibody in		
Children (Under	•		adolescent cohort		
<u>5):</u>	type Children (6012)		aged 12-17 was		
<u>57.</u> Ongoing trials <sup>85</sup>			102.9 BAU/mL		
Ongoing mais.	<u>Against Omicron:</u> 22.1 fold				
Adalaaanta (11			(95%CI; 91.0-		
Adolescents (11-	reduction in GMT		116.4) after 4 weeks since 2nd		
<u>16) Against</u>	compared to wild-				
Omicron:	type <sup>87</sup>		dose		
3-4-fold					
reduction in					
neutralization					
detectable titers in					
only 3 of 15					
adolescents					
GMT for WA1					
were <b>329</b> (range					
94-1096). For					



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 131/197



	Omicron, was <b>39</b> (range 25-64) <u>AGAINST</u> <u>OMICRON:</u> <b>38.2%</b> of BNT162b2 vaccine recipients showed serum neutralization titer at or above detection threshold GMT: <b>7.2 (95% CI, 6-8.6)</b>							
Safety and Adverse events	Rare possibility of developing multisystem inflammatory syndrome <u>Adolescents (12- 15):</u> Local and systemic events were generally mild to moderate Severe injection- site pain (1.5%) Fever (20%) High Fever (0.1%) Adverse events (6%)	Rare possibility of developing multisystem inflammatory syndrome <u>Adolescents (12- 17):</u> Solicited local reactions after 2nd dose (93.4%) Most common solicited adverse reactions were Injection-site pain (92.7%) Headache (70.2%) Fatigue (67.8%)	No available data	Rare possibility of developing multisystem inflammatory syndrome	Children (3-17): Most common adverse reaction was pain at injection site in 3– 5-year group (4%), 6-12-year group (1.2%), and 13-17-year group (7.9%) Most common systemic reactions in all three age cohorts were mild to moderate fever and cough	<u>Children (3-17):</u> Adverse reactions in 12–17 year group (35%), 3-5 year group (26%), and 6-11 year group (18%) Reported at least one adverse event (27%) Most reported events were mild and moderate and only (<1%) grade 3 events Injection-site pain (13%) Fever (25%)	Ongoing clinical trial <sup>88</sup> Most common local reaction of mild injection site pain in no more than <b>35%</b> of all age groups Most frequent solicited systemic adverse event was mild-to-moderate fever- <b>5%</b> of 12-18 group, <b>10%</b> of 6- 12 group, and <b>13%</b> of 2-6 group	Ongoing clinical trial <sup>89</sup>



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 🗸 🤉





A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 133/197



Among 8,113,058				
doses administered to				
4,079,234 12–17-				
year-old children,				
9 developed				
multisystem				
inflammatory				
syndrome in				
France. Reporting rate was 1.1 (95%				
Cl, 0.5-2.1) per				
million doses				
administered.				
0				
Out of 4,249 VAERS reports of				
adverse events,				
4,149 <b>(97.6%)</b>				
were nonserious				
events.				
Adverse events				
<u>Cases:</u>				
15-year old boy				
developed				
nephrotic				
syndrome				
Myocarditis:				
Incidence of 0.57				
(95% CI, 0.36-				
<b>0.90)</b> per 100,000				
doses. Adjusted OR of <b>3.57 (95%</b>				
Cl, 1.93-6.6)				



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 134/197



	compared to unvaccinated. <u>CASES PER</u> <u>MILLION DOSES</u> <u>Myocarditis, Males</u> <u>12-15:</u> 70.7 (95% CI, 61.68-81.11) <u>Myocarditis, Males</u> <u>16-17 :</u> 105.9 (95% CI, 91.65-122.27) <u>Myocarditis, Males</u> 18-24 : 52.43 (95% CI, 45.56-60.33)							
Myocarditis Data	Few reported cases of acute myocarditis and pericarditis in 16- 25 year olds (mainly in males) From large VAERS cohort, 11 verified reports of myocarditis <b>4.3 cases per</b> <b>100,000</b> (95% C.I. 2.6–6.7) 18 year	Few reported cases of acute myocarditis and pericarditis (mainly in males) <u>16-17 year old</u> <u>boys in US</u> : <u>Second dose:</u> 31.2 cases per million doses administered	No available data					



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 125



olds after second dose				
<u>Male patients 12-</u> <u>17 years</u> <b>97 cases per</b> <b>million</b> (1 in 10,000 males)				
<u>Female patients</u> <u>12-17 years</u> <b>16 cases per</b> <b>million</b> (1 in 63,000 females)				
<u>16-29 years</u> Incidence of <b>5.49</b> (95% CI, 3.59- 7.39) per 100,00 vaccinated				
<u>Male patients (16-29 years)</u> Incidence of <b>10.69</b> (95% CI, 6.93- 14.46) per 100,000 vaccinated				
Incidence of <b>13.6</b> cases (95% CI, 9.30-19.20) per 100,000 vaccinated				
<u>12-15 year old</u> <u>boys in US</u> :				



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 126



<i>First dose</i> : 4.8 cases per million doses administered <i>Second dose</i> : 42.6 cases per million doses administered				
<u>12-15 year old</u> <u>girls in US</u> : First dose: 0.5 cases per million doses administered Second dose: 4.3 cases per million doses administered				
<u>16-17 year old</u> <u>boys in US</u> : First dose: 5.2 cases per million doses administered Second dose: 71.5 cases per million doses administered				
<u>16-17 year old</u> <u>girls in US</u> : First dose: 0.0 cases per million doses administered				



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

137/197



	Second dose: 8.1 cases per million doses administered		HETE	ROLOGOUS VACCIN	IATION			
Vaccine Schedule	BNT162b2/ChAd Ox1 Administration of ChAdOx1 as second/booster dose	ChAdOx1/mRNA- 1273 Administration of mRNA-1273 as second/booster dose	<b>ChAdOx1/BNT16</b> <b>2b2</b> Administration of BNT162b2 as second/booster dose	Not Applicable (one dose schedule) For more information refer to booster section	BBIBP/BNT162b2	CoronaVac/ChAd Ox1 Press releases have confirmed that Thailand will use the AstraZeneca vaccine as the second dose for individuals whose first dose was Sinovac <sup>cclv</sup> CoronaVac/Conv idecia	<b>ChAdOx1/BBV15</b> <b>2</b> Administration of Covaxin as second/booster dose	Ongoing trial <sup>90</sup> (Com-Cov2) <sup>cclvi</sup>
Immunogenicity	<u>GMCs of SARS-</u> <u>CoV-2 anti-spike</u> <u>IgG at 28 days</u> <u>post booster:</u> Heterologous (7133 ELU/mL, Cl 6415-7932) vs.	<u>*Spike-specific</u> <u>IgG antibodies:</u> Heterologous (3602 BAU/mL) vs. Homologous (4189 BAU/mL)	<u>RBD antibody</u> <u>titres:</u> Heterologous (7756.68 BAU/mL, Cl 7371.53-8161.96) Vs.	Not Applicable (one dose schedule) For more information refer to booster section	Unknown (on- going clinical trial) <sup>49</sup>	CoronaVac/ChAd Ox1 : <u>Anti-S Antibodies:</u> Heterologous (797 U/mL; 95% Cl, 598.7-1062) VS.	<u>RBD antibody</u> <u>titres:</u> Heterologous (1866 GMT; 95% CI, 1003-3472) Vs.	No available data Ongoing trial <sup>90</sup>

cclv Malaysia to stop using Sinovac vaccine after supply ends - minister. *Reuters* [press release]. https://www.reuters.com/world/asia-pacific/malaysia-stop-using-sinovac-vaccine-after-supply-ends-minister-2021-07-15/

<sup>cclvi</sup> Comparing COVID-19 Vaccine Schedule Combinations. University of Oxford. <u>https://comcovstudy.org.uk/about-com-cov2</u>



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 1/28



Homologous		Homologous		Homologous	Homologous
(14080 ELU/mL,	*Neutralizing	(99.84 BAU/mL,		CoronaVac (94.4	Covishield (2260
CI 12491-15871)	antibodies:	CI 76.93-129.59)		U/mL; 95% CI :	GMT; 95% CI,
	Heterologous	at day 14		76.1-122.1)	1881-2716)
SFC frequency	(100%) vs.			VS.	VS.
(T0cell ELISpot):	Homologous	<u>IgG antibody</u>		Homolougous	Homologous
Heterologous (99	(100%)	titres:		ChAdOx1 (818	Covaxin (710
SFC/10 <sup>6</sup> PBMCs)	(100 /0)	Heterologous		U/mL; 95% CI:	GMT, 95% CI,
	Hotorologous				
VS.	Heterologous	(3684 BAU/mL)		662.5-1010)	461-1092)
Homologous (80	<u>mRNA:</u>	VS.		· · · · ·	
SFC/10 <sup>6</sup> PBMCs)	84.7%	Homologous		CoronaVac/Conv	<u>N-protein IgG:</u>
	effectiveness	(101.2 BAU/mL)		idecia	Heterologous
<u>Heterologous</u>	(95% CI, 83.1-	at day 14		<u>Neutralizing</u>	(1145 GMT; 95%
<u>mRNA:</u>	86.1)			<u>antibodies :</u>	CI, 520.7-2520)
84.7%		Neutralizing		Heterologous	VS.
effectiveness		antibodies:		54.4 GMT (95%	Homologous
(95% CI, 83.1-	*Results based on	Heterologous		CI, 37.9-78)	Covishield (353.
86.1)	immunosuppressed	(100%) at day 14		VS.	GMT; 95% CI,
00.1)	population	VS.		Homologous	219.9-568.9)
		Homologous		CoronaVac	VS.
		5			
		<b>(30%)</b> at day 14		<b>12.8 GMT</b> (95%	Homologous
				Cl, 9.3-17.5)	Covaxin (742.4
		Heterologous			GMT; 95% CI,
		(median 99%)			485.8-1134)
		VS.			
		Homologous			<u>Neutralizing</u>
		(BNT162b2/BNT1			antibody titres :
		62b2)			Heterologous
		(median 62%)			(171.4 GMT; 959
					CI, 121.3-242.3)
					VS.
					Homologous
					Covishield (111
					GMT; 95% CI,
					98.59-124.9)
					VS.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 139/197



							Homologous Covaxin (86 GMT; 95% Cl, 138.2- 252.0)	
Immunogenicity against variants	No available data	No available data	<u>Neutralizing</u> <u>Antibodies for</u> <u>Alpha, Beta,</u> <u>Gamma, and</u> <u>Delta:</u> Heterologous <b>2.3-fold to 3.6-</b> <b>fold</b> higher neutralizing antibodies than homologous <u>Omicron</u> ( <u>B.1.1.529):</u> <b>13/20</b> <b>seropositive</b> against Omicron <sup>91</sup>	No available data	No available data	No available data	Neutralizing antibody titres B.1. 539.4: GMT (95% CI, 263.9-1103) Neutralizing antibody titres Alpha: 396.1 GMT (95% CI, 199.1-788) Neutralizing antibody titres Beta: 151 GMT (95% CI, 80.21-284.3) Neutralizing antibody titres Delta: 241.2 GMT (95% CI, 74.99-775.9)	No available data
Reactogenicity	Observed increase in systemic reactogenicity after boost in heterologous	*Adverse events in heterologous and homologous vaccination groups were very similar	<u>Adverse events in</u> <u>heterologous:</u> Headache <b>(44%)</b> , Myalgia <b>(43%)</b> , Malaise <b>(42%)</b> , Fever <b>(2%)</b> ,	Not Applicable (one dose schedule)	Unknown (on- going clinical trial) <sup>92</sup>	CoronaVac/ChAd Ox1: Unknown CoronaVac/Conv idecia:	Most common local adverse events: Pain at injection site (11.1%)	No available data Ongoing trial <sup>90</sup>



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch



	schedules in comparison with homologous schedules <u>Adverse events in</u> <u>heterologous:</u> Adverse events (90) Grade 1 (54.4%) Grade 2 (37.8%) Grade 2 (37.8%) Grade 3 (7.8%) Grade 3 (7.8%) Grade 4 (0%) Arthralgia, Migraine, Back Pain <u>Adverse events in</u> <u>homologous:</u> Adverse events (81) Grade 1 (59.3%) Grade 2 (39.5%) Grade 3 (1.2%) Grade 4 (0%)	*Majority of adverse events self-reported were Pain at injection site, Swelling at injection site, Fever, Headaches, Fatigue, Chills, GI effects, Myalgia, Arthralgia *Results based on immunosuppressed population	Injection site pain (88%), Induration (35%), Erythema (31%) <u>Severity of</u> <u>adverse events in</u> <u>heterologous:</u> Mild (68%), Moderate (30%), Severe (2%)	For more information refer to booster section		Convidecia recipients reported more adverse reactions and reported higher occurrence of solicited injection- site pain)	<u>Most common</u> <u>systemic adverse</u> <u>events:</u> Pyrexia (27.77%, 11.1%) after 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose Malaise (33.3%, 5.5%) after 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose	
				BOOSTER DOSES				
Vaccine Schedule	BNT162b2/BNT16 2b2	mRNA- 1273/mRNA-1273	ChAdOx1/ChAdO X1	Ad26.CoV.2.S/ Ad26.CoV.2.S	Covilo/ Covilo	CoronaVac/Coro naVac	Covaxin/Covaxin	NVX-CoV2373/ NVX-CoV2373
Approved Administration	<u>Israel:</u> 12-year-old and over can received homologous booster shot 5	Phase II booster trial of three booster doses are ongoing <sup>93</sup>	Preliminary results on tolerability and immunogenicity of third dose of ChAdOx1	Johnson & Johnson has said it will submit all of their new data to the FDA for	UAE: Offering booster doses of Pfizer and Sinopharm to people who	Turkey and the United Arab Emirates began	India has started administering homologous booster doses	Ongoing phase II trials <sup>95</sup>



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch



months after full	Moderna sought	vaccines showed	potential	received full	homologous	Results below are
jab <sup>cclvii</sup>	FDA approval of its COVID-19	strong boost to the immune	consideration for adding a booster	Sinopharm jab ≥6 months ago	booster shots	based on ongoing phase II trial
United States:	vaccine boostercclix	response94	dose and		Indonesia and	
Starting			consideration to		Thailand are	
September, adults	United States:		authorize two-		considering giving	
who received	Starting		dose regimen <sup>ccix</sup>		homologous	
mRNA vaccine 8	September, adults				booster shot to	
months ago are	who received				HCW <sup>cclxi</sup>	
eligible for booster	mRNA vaccine 8					
_	months ago are					
Europe:	eligible for booster					
Starting in fall,						
most European						
countries are						
planning on rolling						
out booster shots						
to						
immunocompromi sed and elder						
populations with						
some countries						
administering to						
overall						
population <sup>cclviii</sup>						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>cclx</sup> Two dose version of Johnson & Johnson shot 94% effective against Covid-19, study finds. CNN. <a href="https://edition.cnn.com/2021/09/21/health/johnson-vaccine-two-doses-booster/index.html">https://edition.cnn.com/2021/09/21/health/johnson-vaccine-two-doses-booster/index.html</a>
<sup>cclx</sup> Indonesia and Thailand consider booster shots amid doubts over Sinovac vaccine. *Reuters* [press release]. <a href="https://www.reuters.com/world/china/indonesia-thailand-consider-booster-shots-amid-doubts-over-sinovac-vaccine-2021-07-08/">https://www.reuters.com/world/china/indonesia-thailand-consider-booster-shots-amid-doubts-over-sinovac-vaccine-2021-07-08/</a>



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

cclvii Israel offers COVID-19 booster to all vaccinated people. *Reuters* [press release]. <u>https://www.reuters.com/world/middle-east/israel-offers-covid-19-booster-shots-all-vaccinated-people-2021-08-29/</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>cclviii</sup> A country-by-country guide to coronavirus vaccine booster plans. POLITICO [press reléase]. <u>https://www.politico.eu/article/vaccine-booster-coronavirus-covid-19-europe-delta-varian-who/</u>
<sup>cclix</sup> Moderna seeks U.S. authorization for COVID-19 vaccine booster. *Reuters* [press release]. <u>https://www.reuters.com/business/healthcare-pharmaceuticals/moderna-submits-initial-data-covid-19-europe-delta-varian-who/
<u>vaccine-booster-us-fda-2021-09-01/</u></u>



Time-to-booster dose	<ul> <li>6 months to 8 months after initial two-dose regimen</li> <li>Israel offers up to 5 months after initial two-dose regimen</li> <li>UK has shortened time interval up to 3 months after initial two-dose regimen due to new Omicron variant<sup>cclxii</sup></li> </ul>	6 months to 8 months after initial two-dose regimen	<b>6-9 months</b> after initial two-dose regimen	<b>2 months</b> after one dose regimen <sup>96</sup>	<b>6 months</b> after initial two-dose regimen	6 months to 12 months After primary vaccination 8 months after the primary vaccination to healthy adults ≥60 years	<b>6 months</b> after initial two-dose regimen	<b>6 months</b> after initial two-dose regimen ( <b>189</b> <b>days</b> ) <sup>95</sup>
Efficacy	<u>Symptomatic</u> <u>COVID-19:</u> <b>95.6%</b> during Delta prevalent period <b>95.3% (</b> 95% CI, 89.5-98.3) <b>96.5%</b> (95% CI, 89.3-99.3) in <u>16-</u> <u>55 year old</u> <b>93.1%</b> (95% CI, 78.4-98.6) in <u>≥55</u> <u>year old</u>	No available data	No available data	Against Moderate to Severe/critical Infection: 75.2% (95% CI, 54.6-87.3) Against Asymptomatic Infections: 75.6% (95% CI, 55.5-99.9) Against Severe/Critical Infection:	No available data	No available data	Ongoing clinical trials <sup>xxxvii</sup>	No available data

<sup>cclxii</sup> UK's minimum gap for Covid-19 booster jabs to be halved to three months. *The Guardian* [press release]. Accessed on 12 December 2021. <u>https://www.theguardian.com/world/2021/nov/29/covid-booster-jabs-to-be-offered-to-all-uk-adults-after-three-month-gap</u>



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch



				100% (95% Cl, 32.6-100)				
Effectiveness	Effectiveness against testing positive:12% (95% CI, 8-17) in first 7 days after booster58% (95% CI, 56-61) 14 days after 	Effectiveness against infection: <b>94%</b> (95% Cl, 91- 95) <b>91%</b> (95% Cl, 90- 92) <b>87%</b> (95% Cl, 83- 91) Effectiveness against hospitalization: <b>86%</b> (95% Cl, 82- 89)	No available data	No available data	No available data	Effectiveness against symptomatic infection: <b>78.8%</b> (95% CI, 76.8-80.6) Effectiveness against hospitalization: <b>86.3%</b> Effectiveness against ICU admission: <b>92.2%</b> Effectiveness against COVID-19 related death: <b>86.7%</b>	No available data	No available data



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 144/197



	symptomatic COVID-19 <b>94.0%</b> (93.4-94.6) against symptomatic COVID-19 compared with unvaccinated <u>Effectiveness</u> <u>against</u> <u>hospitalization:</u> <b>87%</b> 0-6 days after receiving booster dose <b>92% to 97%</b> <b>Iower</b> than those who received 2 doses <b>88%</b> (95% CI, 86- 90)				
Effectiveness against Variants	<u>Delta (B.1.617.2):</u> <u>Against</u> <u>Symptomatic</u> <u>Infection:</u> 77% (95% CI, 75.0-79.0) against infection [USA; 01- 31 December 2921] 92.3% (95% CI, 91-93) compared to unvaccinated [USA; December	Delta (B.1.617.2): <u>Against</u> <u>Symptomatic</u> <u>Infection:</u> 95.2% (93.4%-96.4%) 92.3% (95% Cl, 91-93) compared to unvaccinated [USA; December 2021-January 2022]	Omicron (B.1.1.529):           63% (95% Cl, 31- 81) against hospitalization 0- 13 days post booster           84% (95% Cl, 67- 92) against hospitalization 14- 27 days post booster		



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



2021-January 2022] 83% (95% Cl, 81- 84) compared to 2 doses [USA; December 2021- January 2022] <u>Against Emergency</u> <u>Department and</u> <u>Urgent Care:</u> 94% (95% Cl, 93- 94) [USA; August 2021-January 2022] <sup>cclxiii</sup> <u>Against</u> <u>Hospitalization:</u> 94% (95% Cl, 93- 95) [USA; August	83% (95% Cl, 81- 84) compared to 2 doses [USA; December 2021- January 2022] Aqainst Emergency Department and Urgent Care: 94% (95% Cl, 93- 94) [USA; August 2021-January 2022] <sup>cclxvii</sup> Aqainst Hospitalization: 94% (95% Cl, 93- 95) [USA; August 2021-January 2022] <sup>cclxviii</sup>	<b>85%</b> (95% CI, 54- 95) against hospitalization 1-2 months post booster <sup>98</sup>		
<u>Omicron</u> (B.1.1.529):	(B1.1.529): <u>Against</u> Symptomatic			
<u>Against</u> <u>Symptomatic</u> <u>Infection:</u>	<u>Infection:</u> 62.5% (95% CI 56.2-67.9%) <sup>43</sup>			

<sup>cctxiii</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines. <sup>cctxiv</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines. <sup>cctxvii</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines. <sup>cctxviii</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 1/1/

146/197



<b>75.5%</b> (95% CI, 56.1-86.3) <sup>38</sup> <b>54.6%</b> (95% CI, 30.4-70.4) in ≥60- year-old <sup>40</sup> <b>62%</b> (95% CI, 59.0-65.0) against infection [USA; 01- 31 December 2021] <sup>42</sup> <b>65%</b> ( <b>95% CI, 62-</b> <b>68)</b> compared to unvaccinated [USA; December 2021-January 2022] <sup>97</sup> <b>65%</b> ( <b>95% CI, 63-</b> <b>68)</b> compared to 2 doses [USA; December 2021- January 2022] <sup>97</sup> <u>Against</u> <u>Emergency</u> <u>Department and</u> <u>Urgent Care:</u> <b>82%</b> ( <b>95% CI, 79-</b> <b>84</b> ) [USA; August 2022] <sup>59cclxv</sup>	65% (95% CI, 62- 68) compared to unvaccinated [USA; December 2021-January 2022] <sup>97</sup> 65% (95% CI, 63- 68) compared to 2 doses [USA; December 2021- January 2022] <sup>97</sup> Against Emergency Department and Urgent Care: 82% (95% CI, 79- 84) [USA; August 2021-January 2022] <sup>59cclxix</sup> Against Hospitalization: 90% (95% CI, 80- 94) [USA; August 2021-January 2022] <sup>59cclxx</sup>
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

<sup>cclxv</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines. <sup>cclxix</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines. <sup>cclxx</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.

<sup>cclxxi</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



	<u>Against</u> <u>Hospitalization:</u> <b>91%</b> (95 Cl, 85.0- 94.0) against hospitalization [USA; 01-31 December] <sup>42</sup> <b>90% (95% Cl, 80-</b> <b>94)</b> [USA; August 2021-January 2022] <sup>59cclxvi</sup> <u>Against Death:</u> <b>96%</b> (95% Cl, 91.0-98.0) against death [USA; 01-31 December] <sup>42</sup>							
Immunogenicity	<u>Neutralizing titers:</u> Elicits > <b>5-8 more</b> for wild type after 6 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose <b>6.1-fold increase</b> (95% CI, 5.5-6.8) following booster compared to 2- initial doses <b>97.6%</b> (mean 95.9%) inhibition one month after booster	Booster doses (mRNA1273 or mRNA1273.351) increased neutralizing antibody titers against wild-type	Antibody Levels: Higher levels after third dose (tIgG EU <b>3746</b> ; IQR: 2047-6420) Anti-RBD IgG: <b>246.4 GMT (95%</b> <b>CI, 92.11-259.47)</b> Spike Cellular Immune Response: Increased from <b>200 SFUx10</b> <sup>6</sup>	5X10 <sup>10</sup> vp booster dose elicited <b>9-</b> <b>fold</b> increase at day 7 compared to first dose after 29 days in 18-55- year-olds 1.25X10 <sup>10</sup> vp booster dose elicited <b>6-7.7-fold</b> increase at day 28 compared to first dose after 29 days	Neutralizing Antibodies: 263.9 GMT (95% Cl, 223.7- 311.3) <sup>cclxxii</sup> Specific Antibodies: 99.66% participants had detectable antibodies 28 days after the booster	<u>Neutralizing</u> <u>Antibodies:</u> 263.9 GMT (95% Cl, 223.7- 311.3) <sup>cclxxiii</sup> <u>Seropositivity:</u> Adults (≥18): 98% (95% Cl, 90.76-99.96) in participants who received their 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose 14 days apart and 3 <sup>rd</sup> dose	<u>Neutralizing</u> <u>Antibodies</u> ( <u>PRNT<sub>50</sub>):</u> <b>30-fold increase</b> with <b>746 GMT</b> (95% CI, 515- 1081) 4 weeks after booster <u>S-protein IgG:</u> Increase of IgG to <b>11,119 GMT</b> (95% CI, 8,689-14,229) 4 weeks after booster dose	<u>Anti-spike IgG:</u> Increase of <b>4.6</b> - <b>fold</b> compared to peak response after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose ( <b>Day 217 GMEU =</b> <b>200408</b> ; 95% CI: 159796-251342) <u>Wild-type</u> <u>Neutralizing</u> <u>Response:</u> Increase of <b>4.3</b> - <b>fold</b> compared to peak response

<sup>cclxvi</sup> Study does not differentiate between mRNA-based vaccines.

<sup>cclxxii</sup> Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines.

cclxxiii Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



<b>18104 GMT</b> (95% Cl, 13911-23560) <b>1704 GMT</b> <b>891.4 GMT</b>	38 Se 39 P	BMC (IQR, 127- 89) after the econd dose to 99 SFUx10 <sup>6</sup> BMC (IQR, 314- 62) after the third	in 18-55 and ≥65- year-old <u>S-binding</u> <u>Antibodies:</u> Higher levels in	<u>IqG</u> <u>Seroconversion:</u> 175/176 vaccinees were seropositive for IgG 14 days after	2 months afterwards <b>100%</b> (95% CI, 93.51-100.00) in participants who received their 2 <sup>nd</sup>	<u>Anti-RBD &amp; Anti-</u> <u>nucleocapsid IgG:</u> <b>Increase</b> in IgG antibodies 4 weeks after	after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose (IC50 = 6231; 95% CI: 4738- 8195) Serum IgG:
<u>IqG Antibodies:</u> <b>1.7-fold increase</b> (95% CI, 1.6-1.9) following booster compared to 2- initial doses <u>Anti-S Spike IgG:</u> <b>22185 U/mL (95%)</b> <b>CI, 21406-22990)</b> 14 days after		ne	booster group (beta coefficient: 0.64 [98.3% Cl< 0.41-0.81]) 97% response <u>Neutralizing</u> <u>Antibodies:</u> Increase observed after booster 98% response	receiving third dose Mean IgG value increased <b>8.00-</b> <b>fold</b> compared to before third vaccination <b>6.1-fold increase</b> 28 days after	dose 14 days apart and 3 <sup>rd</sup> dose 8 months afterwards <b>100%</b> (95% CI, 92.60-100.00) in participants who received their 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose 28 days apart and 3 <sup>rd</sup> dose 2 months	booster dose	4.7-fold increase from 43,905 EU following primary vaccination to 204,367 EU following booster <u>Older Participants</u> (60-84): 5.4-fold increase in antibody
booster <u>≥ 60 years:</u>			<u>Interferon-y/ T</u> <u>Cells Levels:</u> Increase in T cell	booster dose compared to 28 days after second dose	afterwards <b>100%</b> (95% CI, 92.60-100.00) in participants who		response 5.1-fold increase in serum IgG
<u>Neutralizing</u> <u>antibody:</u> <b>9.34 times higher</b> than second dose			recall 72.7% response	<u>Anti-RBD IgG:</u> Increased by <b>8.14-</b> <b>fold</b> higher than before third vaccine	received their 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose 28 days apart and 3 <sup>rd</sup> dose 8 months afterwards		<u>Younger</u> <u>Participants (18-59):</u> <b>3.7-fold</b> increase in antibody response
IgG Antibodies in 97% seroconversion with increase in IgG antibody titers 33-fold increase in IgG after				<u>Memory B cells:</u> Third dose increased the percentage of RBD-specific memory B cells	Older adults (≥60): 96% (95% Cl, 81.65-99.91) <u>Neutralizing</u> Antibodies:		<b>4.1-fold increase</b> in serum IgG
booster dose				(0.96%)	<b>60%</b> higher NAbs activity against wild-type		



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



			compared to 2-	
			doses	
			00303	
			Adults (≥18):	
			74.2 GMT (95%	
			CI, 59.0-93.3) in	
			participants 14d-	
			2m 28 days after	
			booster	
			175.1 GMT (95%	
			CI, 138.221.0) in	
			participants 14d-	
			8m 28 days after	
			booster	
			51.9 GMT (95%	
			CI, 41.3-65.3) in	
			participants 28d-	
			2m 28 days after	
			booster	
			215.7 GMT (95%	
			Cl, 162.6-286.2) in	
			participants 28d-	
			8m 28 days after	
			booster	
			Older Adults	
			(≥60):	
			178.9 GMT (95%	
			Cl, 125.2-255.6) in	
			participants 28d-	
			8m 28 days after	
			booster	
			Anti ClarC and	
			<u>Anti-S IgG and</u>	
			NAbs:	
			20-fold increase 4	
			weeks post	



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



	Beta (B.1.351):	Droliminon / rooulto			<u>Alpha (B.1.1.7):</u>	booster vaccination NAbs were maintained <b>60</b> to <b>180 days</b> post booster Alpha (B.1.1.7):	<u>Alpha (B.1.1.7):</u>	
Immunogenicity against variants	Elicits <b>15-21</b> more neutralizing titers for Beta variant after 6 months after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose <u>Neutralizing</u> <u>Antibodies</u> ( <u>FRNT50):</u> <b>651 GMT</b> <b>152.2 GMT</b> <b>Delta (B.1.671.2):</b> <b>&gt;5-fold</b> increase in neutralizing titers against Delta compared to dose 2 titers in 18–55- year-olds <b>&gt;11-fold</b> increase in neutralizing titers against Delta compared to dose	Preliminary results of booster doses of mRNA-1273 vaccine show robust antibody response against Delta variant Beta (B.1.351): 6.7-fold increase in neutralization against Beta compared to 2- initial doses Omicron (B.1.1.529): Neutralizing Antibodies: 38-fold increase in neutralization compared to 2 doses <sup>cclxxy103</sup>	Third dose provided higher antibody titters against Alpha, Beta, and Delta variants	No available data	Neutralizing Antibodies: 319.1 GMT (95% Cl, 274.1-371.5) 10.8x higher than 2 doses <sup>cclxxvi</sup> Beta (B.1.351): Neutralizing Antibodies: 194.9 GMT (95% Cl, 160.9-236.1) 17.9x higher than 2 doses <sup>cclxxvii</sup> 71.6% plasma inhibitions against Beta variant 215.7 pVNT neutralizing antibodies against Beta variant 14 days after booster <sup>105</sup>	NeutralizingAntibodies:319.1 GMT (95%CI, 274.1-371.5)10.8x higher than2 doses2 dosesBeta (B.1.351):NeutralizingAntibodies:194.9 GMT (95%CI, 160.9-236.1)17.9x higher than2 doses2 dosesantibodieson neutralizingantibodiescompared to wildtypeGamma (P.1):3.1-fold decreasein neutralizingantibodies	161-fold increase         with 338 GMT         (95% Cl, 188-607)         4 weeks after         booster dose         Beta (B.1.351):         265-fold increase         with 147.3 GMT         (95% Cl, 75-289)         4 weeks after         booster dose         Delta (B.1.671.2):         32.6-fold         increase with         252 GMT (95% Cl, 133-482) 4 weeks         after booster dose         Delta Plus:         174-fold increase         with 174 GMT         (95% Cl, 64-474)	High levels of functional antibodies against Alpha (B.1.17), Beta (B.1.351), and Delta (B.1.671.2) Alpha (B.1.17): 21.9-fold increase in anti-S IgG compared to 2-initial doses Beta (B.1.351): 40.6-fold increase in serum IgG <sup>107</sup> 24.5-fold increase in anti-S IgG compared to 2-initial doses Delta (B.1.671.2):

<sup>ccboxv</sup> Study does not differentiate between Pfizer and Moderna <sup>ccboxvi</sup> Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines. <sup>ccboxvi</sup> Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines. <sup>ccboxx</sup> Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



2 titers in 65–85- year-olds	<b>12-fold increase</b> in neutralization		<u>Lambda:</u> 89.0% plasma	compared to wild type	4 weeks after booster dose	Increase of <b>6.6-</b> fold in antibody
,	titer (GMT)		inhibitions against	.71 -		response
Neutralizing	against Omicron		Lambda variant	Delta (B.1.671.2):		compared to Delta
Antibodies	compared to 2-			Neutralizing		response
(FRNT50):	initial doses <sup>104</sup>		Delta (B.1.671.2):	Antibodies:		observed with
881 GMT			Neutralizing	202.1 GMT (95%		primary
430.5 GMT			Antibodies:	CI, 171.3-238.4)		vaccination
			202.1 GMT (95%	7.7x higher than		
			CI, 171.3-238.4)	2 doses <sup>cclxxxi</sup>		24.4-fold
Omicron			7.7x higher than	2.3-fold decrease		increase in anti-S
(B.1.1.529):			2 doses <sup>cclxxviii</sup>	in neutralizing		IgG compared to
			83.4%% plasma	antibodies		2-initial doses
Neutralizing			inhibitions against	compared to wild		
Antibodies			Delta variant	type		Omicron
(FRNT50):			250.8 pVNT	2.5-fold higher		(B.1.1.529):
37.0-fold			neutralizing	neutralizing		20.1-fold
decrease in			antibodies against	potency than 2-		increase in anti-S
neutralization			Delta 14 days	dose vaccination		IgG compared to
compared to Delta			after booster			2-initial doses <sup>107</sup>
after 0.5 months						
after booster			<u>Omicron</u>			
24.5-fold			<u>(B.1.1.529):</u>			
decrease in			4-fold increase in			
neutralization			neutralization titer			
compared to Delta			against Omicron			
after 3 months			compared to 2-			
after booster			dose			
17-fold increase			vaccination <sup>104</sup>			
in neutralization			11-fold decrease			
titer compared to			in neutralization			
2-initial doses99			titer 14 days after			
41-fold increase			booster dose			
(95% CI, 30-56) in						

<sup>ccboxviii</sup> Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines. <sup>ccboxvi</sup> Study does not differentiate between inactivated vaccines.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 🧃

152/197



neutralizing antibodies		compared to wild type <sup>104</sup>		
compared to 2-		type		
initial dose in		3.3-fold increase		
younger		in neutralizing		
participants <sup>100</sup>		activity 28 days		
43-fold increase		after booster		
(95% CI, 32-58) in		compared to 2-		
neutralizing		initial doses		
antibodies		against		
compared to 2-		Omicron <sup>106</sup>		
initial doses in		48.73 pVNT		
middle-aged <sup>100</sup>		neutralizing		
27-fold increase		antibodies against		
(95% CI, 20-36) in		Omicron 14 days		
neutralizing		after booster <sup>105</sup>		
antibodies				
compared to 2-				
initial doses in				
older				
participants <sup>100</sup>				
200 GMT				
9.9-fold decrease				
compared to				
Delta <sup>101</sup>				
107.6 GMT <sup>102</sup>				
38-fold increase				
in neutralization				
compared to 2				
doses <sup>cclxxiv103</sup>				

ccloxiv Study does not differentiate between Pfizer and Moderna



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch 🛛 152

153/197



Reactogenicity	Preliminary results show consistent tolerability 25% reported at least one adverse event <u>Common solicited</u> <u>AE:</u> Injection site pain, injection site pain, injection site redness, injection site swelling, fatigure, muscle pain, fever <u>≥Grade 3 AE:</u> 6.6% reported grade 3 or higher reactogenicity with 0.7% being local reactions and 5.9% systemic events	Similar safety and tolerability compared to second dose <u>Common solicited</u> <u>local adverse</u> <u>events:</u> Injection-site pain (68.4% for mRNA-1273.351, 90% for mRNA- 1273) fatigue (36.8% for mRNA-1273.351, 70% for mRNA- 1273) headache (36.8% for mRNA1273.351, 55.0% for mRNA- 1273) myalgia (31.6% for mRNA- 1273) myalgia (21.1% for mRNA-1273, 50.0% for mRNA- 1273,	Lower reactogenicity after third dose compared to first dose	No available data	Ongoing trial	The third shot is considered to be safe <u>Common side</u> <u>effects:</u> Pain at the injection site. <u>Adverse events:</u> Unrelated to the vaccination	Most reported adverse events were mild and resolved within 24 hours Solicited Adverse Events: 8 solicited adverse events were reported 5.4% care of pain, 2.1% itching 1% redness	Booster dose was well tolerated Local and systemic reactogenicity increased between Dose 1, Dose 2, and Dose 3 90% of symptoms were rated as mild or moderate
Protection against COVID-19	<u>Confirmed</u> <u>Infection:</u> <u>Adults (≥18):</u> 93% relative reduction in	No available information	No available information	No available information	No available information	No available information	Ongoing clinical trials <sup>xxxvii</sup>	No available information



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



symptomatic infection (hazard ratio: 0.07; 95% CI, 0.02-0.20) <sup>108</sup> <b>92% relative</b> <b>reduction</b> in asymptomatic infection (hazard ratio: 0.08; 95% CI, 0.01-0.48) <sup>108</sup>				
Youngest age group (16-29): 17.2 (95% Cl, 15.4-19.2) lower rate in booster group				
30-39 age group: 9.0 (95% Cl, 8.4- 9.7) lower rate in booster group				
40-49 age group: 9.7 (95% Cl, 9.2- 10.3) lower rate in booster group				
50-59 age group: 12.2 (95% Cl, 11.4-13.0) lower rate in booster group				
<u>Oldest age group</u> (≥60):				



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



12.3 (95% Cl, 10.4-12.3) lower rate in booster group 12.3 (95% Cl, 11.8-12.8) lower rate in booster group				
Severe Illness:				
40-59 age group: 21.7 (95% Cl, 10.6-44.2) lower rate in booster group				
<u>Older population</u> (≥60): 19.5 (95% Cl, 12.9-29.5) lower rate in booster				
group 17.9 (95% Cl, 15.1-21.2) lower rate in booster group				
<u>Mortality:</u>				
<ul> <li>≥60 years old:</li> <li>14.7 (95% CI,</li> <li>10.0-21.4) lower</li> <li>rate in booster</li> <li>group</li> </ul>				



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



	≥50 years old: Adjusted hazard ratio for death due to COVID-19 in booster compared to non-booster was 0.10 (95% Cl, 0.07 to 0.14) or 90% lower mortality rate							
Duration of Protection	Half-life: 44 days (steeper than 2 doses [54 days]) ≥60 years old: 3 months after booster dose, neutralizing antibody levels remained adequate although significant decrease is reported (25,429 AU/mL to 8306 AU/mL) <u>Viral Load:</u> 52% decrease in Ct-reduction post the booster shot over time (decline in reducing viral loads over time)	No available data						



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



4th Dose	<u>Confirmed</u> <u>Infections:</u> <b>2.0 lower rate</b> (95% Cl, 2.0-2.1) than 3 doses <sup>109</sup> <u>Severe Illness:</u> <b>4.3 lower rate</b> than 3 doses <sup>109</sup>	No new data	No new data	No new data				
Other	Detailed report from Pfizer regarding booster doses can be found here: https://www.fda.go v/media/152161/d ownload 14-20 days after booster, marginal effectiveness increases to <b>70-</b> <b>84%</b> <u>Incidence Rate:</u> <u>Infection in individuals &lt;60:</u> 0.22 (95% CI, 0.22-0.23) incidence rate in booster compared to non-booster					For more detailed information regarding immunogenicity of third dose refer to study <sup>cclxxxii</sup>		

cclxxxii A third dose of inactivated vaccine augments the potency, breadth, and duration of anamnestic responses against SARS-CoV-2. *medRxiv.* <u>https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.09.02.21261735v1</u>



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



	Infection in individuals ≥60:0.16 (95% CI, 0.15-0.17)incidence rate in booster compared to non-boosterSevere illness in individuals <60:0.33 (95% CI, 0.21-0.52)incidence rate in booster compared to non-boosterSevere illness in individuals <60:0.31 (95% CI, 0.21-0.52)incidence rate in booster compared to non-boosterSevere illness in individuals ≥60:0.12 (95% CI, 0.12 (95% CI, 0.10-0.14) incidence rate in							
	to non-booster							
			HETER	OLOGOUS BOOSTE	R DOSES			
Vessing	<u>Heterologous 1:</u> mRNA1273/BNT1 62b2	<u>Heterologous 1:</u> BNT162b2/mRNA 1273	<u>Heterologous 1:</u> BNT162b2/ChAd	<u>Heterologous 1:</u> BNT162b2/Ad26. CoV.2.S	<u>Heterologous 1:</u> SinoPharm/BNT1 62b2	<u>Heterologous 1:</u> CoronaVac/ChAd Ox1		<u>Heterologous 1:</u> BNT162b2/NVX- CoV2373
Vaccine Schedule	<u>Heterologous 2:</u> Ad26.CoV.2.S/BN T162b2	<u>Heterologous 2:</u> Ad26.CoV.2.S/m RNA1273	Ox1* *Received ChAdOx1 as booster dose	<u>Heterologous 2:</u> mRNA1273/Ad26. CoV.2.S	<u>Heterologous 2:</u> ChAdOx1/SinoPh arm*	<u>Heterologous 2 :</u> CoronaVac/BNT1 62b2	No available data	<u>Heterologous 2:</u> ChAdOx1/NVX- CoV2373
	Heterologous 3:	Heterologous 3:		<u>Heterologous 3:</u>		<u>Heterologous 3 :</u>		



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



	ChAdOx1/BNT16 2b2 *Received BNT162b2 as booster dose	ChAdOx1/mRNA 1273 *Received mRNA1273 as booster dose		ChAdOx1/Ad26.C oV.2.S. *Received Ad26.CoV.2 as booster dose	*Received SinoPharm as booster dose	CoronaVac/Sino Pharm <u>Heterologous 4:</u> CoronaVac/mRN A1273 *Received CoronaVac as initial regimen		*Received NVX- CoV2373 as booster dose
Time-to-booster dose	At least <b>3 months</b> after receiving two dose regimen	At least <b>3 months</b> after receiving two dose regimen	<b>6 months</b> after initial two-dose regimen	<b>4 months</b> after initial two-dose BNT162b2 regimen At least <b>3 months</b> after receiving two dose regimen	<b>6 months</b> after initial two-dose regimen	Heterologous 1: 21 to 26 days after full jab of CoronaVac Heterologous 2: 6 months after primary vaccination of CoronaVac Heterologous 3: 6 months after primary vaccination of CoronaVac Heterologous 4: 6 months after primary vaccination of CoronaVac	No available data	<b>6 months</b> after initial two-dose regimen
Effectiveness	Heterologous 1: Incidence of Infection:	Heterologous 1: Incidence of Infection:	No available data	Heterologous 1: Incidence of Infection:	No available data	Heterologous 1: Against Symptomatic Infection:	No available data	No available data



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



<ul> <li>15% higher than mRNA1273 homologous booster (Adjusted rate ratio: 1.15 [95% CI, 0.87- 1.52])*</li> <li>*Results not statically significant</li> <li><u>Against Infection:</u> 94% (95% CI, 91- 96) effectiveness against infection</li> <li><u>Heterologous 2:</u></li> <li><u>Incidence of</u> <u>Infection:</u> 42% lower than Ad26.COV2.S homologous booster (Adjusted rate ratio: 0.58 [95% CI, 0.43- 0.78])</li> <li>*Results not statically significant</li> <li><u>Effectiveness in</u> ≥50: 87.4% (95% CI, 84.9-89.4) against</li> </ul>	14% lower than BNT162b2 homologous booster (Adjusted rate ratio: 0.86 [95% CI, 0.63- 1.17])* *Results not statically significant <u>Against Infection:</u> 92% (95% CI, 88- 95) <u>Heterologous 2:</u> <u>Incidence of</u> <u>Infection:</u> 55% lower than Ad26.COV2.S homologous booster (Adjusted rate ratio: 0.45 [95% CI, 0.35- 0.57]) *Results not statically significant <u>Heterologous 3:</u> 91% (95% CI, 63- 98) effectiveness against infection	146% higher than BNT162b2 homologous booster (Adjusted rate ratio: 2.46 [95% CI, 1.07- 5.66])* *Results not statically significant Heterologous 2: Incidence of Infection: 22% lower than mRNA1273 homologous booster (Adjusted rate ratio: 0.78 [95% CI, 0.32- 1.90])* *Results not statically significant

her than 2 us vdjusted 2.46 I.07-

> <u>Against</u> <u>Hospitalization:</u> 97.7%

## <u>Against ICU</u> <u>Admission</u> 98.9%

93.2% (95% CI,

infections 86% (95% CI,

**74.0-93.0)** [Thailand; July-October 2021]

92.9-93.6) against symptomatic

<u>Against Death:</u> 98.1%

## <u>Heterologous 2:</u> <u>Against</u>

<u>Symptomatic</u> <u>Infection:</u> **96.5%** (95% CI, 96.2-96.7) **98% (95% CI, 87.0-100.0)** [Thailand; July-October 2021]

<u>Against</u> <u>Hospitalization:</u> **96.1%** 



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



	symptomatic COVID-19 <b>93.1%</b> (95% CI, 91.7-94.3) against symptomatic COVID-19 compared to unvaccinated Heterologous 3: 82% (95% CI, 68- 90) effectiveness against infection					<u>Against ICU</u> <u>Admission:</u> 96.2% <u>Against Death:</u> 96.8%		
Effectiveness against Variants	No available data	No available data	<u>Omicron</u> (B.1.1.529): <u>Heterologous 1:</u> <b>71.4%</b> (95% CI, 41.8-86.0) against symptomatic infection <sup>38</sup>	No available data	No available data	No available data	No available data	No available data
Immunogenicity	Heterologous 1: Binding Antibody Responses: 2-fold or greater rise in bAb noted in 98-100% of BNT162b2 recipients Neutralizing Antibody Responses:	Heterologous 1: Binding Antibody Responses: 2-fold or greater rise in bAb noted in 96-100% of mRNA1273 recipients Neutralizing Antibody Responses:	<u>Heterologous 1:</u> <u>Anti-spike IgG:</u> In individuals <70: <b>12440 ELU/mL</b> (95% CI, 10420- 14852) In individuals ≥70: <b>14961 ELU/mL</b> (95% CI, 12065- 18551) <u>Cellular</u> <u>Response :</u>	Heterologous 1: 14.8 to 32.4-fold increase in neutralization titers against wild- type virus <u>Binding Antibody</u> <u>Responses (bAb):</u> 2-fold or greater rise in bAb noted in 98-100% of	<u>Heterologous 2:</u> <u>Anti-RBD IqG:</u> 128.1 GMT (95% Cl, 93.5-175.4) 14 days after booster	Heterologous 1: Heterologous vaccination had a 9-fold greater GMT (7,947 U/mL) than fully patients fully vaccinated with AZD1222 and the highest antibody response, IgA, and neutralizing	No available data	<u>Heterologous 1:</u> <u>Anti-spike IgG:</u> In individuals <70: <b>14961 ELU/mL</b> (95% CI, 12065- 18551) In individuals ≥70: <b>9130 EUL/mL</b> (95% CI, 6783- 12289) <u>Cellular</u> <u>Response:</u>



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



341.3-677.9	676.1-901.8 IU50/mL 15 days	In individuals <70 :		antibodies than	In individuals <70:
IU50/mL 15 days after booster with	after booster with	<b>105</b> (95% CI, 67- 164)	recipients	other groups	<b>69</b> (95% CI, 45- 156)
BNT162b2	mRNA1273	In individuals ≥70:	Neutralizing	Neutralizing	In individuals ≥70:
		84 (95% CI, 45-	Antibody	Antibody	45 (95% CI, 22-
Participants who		156)	Responses:	Responses:	92)
received mRNA-	Participants who		31.2-382.2	12.4-fold increase	
based booster	received mRNA-		IU50/mL 15 days	in neutralizing	Heterologous 2:
vaccination had	based booster		after booster with	response	<u>Anti-spike IgG:</u>
four-fold increase	vaccination had		Ad26.COV2.S.		In individuals <70:
compared to	four-fold increase			Anti-RBD	8389 ELU/mL
Ad26.COV2.S.	compared to		<u>Anti-spike IgG:</u>	Antibody:	(95% CI, 6599-
11-1-1-1-1-1-0-	Ad26.COV2.S.		In individuals >70:	<b>9865 U/mL</b> 14-	10665)
Heterologous 2:	Anti-spike IgG:		17312 ELU/mL	days after booster 7947 BAU/mL	In individuals ≥70:
<u>S-binding</u> Antibodies:	In individuals <70:		(95% CI, 13678- 21911)	(95% Cl,	5822 ELU/mL (95% CI, 4495-
Higher levels	44547 ELU/mL		In individuals ≥70:	7277,8679) 14-	7541)
after booster	(95% CI, 38424-		16855 ELU/mL	days after booster	1041)
(beta coefficient:	51645)		(95% CI, 13360-	leading to <b>9-fold</b>	Cellular
<b>0.73,</b> [98.3% Cl,	In individuals ≥70:		21264)	greater than	Response:
0.57-0.90])	25118 ELU/mL		,	individuals fully	In individuals <70:
	(95% CI, 17698-		<u>Cellular</u>	vaccinated with	137 (95% CI, 88-
<u>Neutralizing</u>	35650)		<u>Response:</u>	ChAdOx1	213)
<u>Antibodies:</u>			In individuals <70:		In individuals ≥70:
Higher levels in	<u>Cellular</u>		114 (95% CI, 55-	<u>Anti-RBD IgG:</u>	<b>55</b> (95% Cl, 35-
booster compared	<u>Response :</u>		236)	1492 BAU/mL	89)
to 2 doses	In individulas <70 :		In individuals ≥70:	(95% CI, 1367-	
100% response	143 (95% CI, 82-		<b>109</b> (95% Cl, 64-	1629) 14-days after booster	
T-Cell/ Interferon-	<b>250)</b> In individuals ≥70:		187)	1358 BAU/mL 14-	
<u>Y:</u>	88 (95% Cl, 46-		Heterologous 3 :	days after booster	
Higher levels in	168)		Anti-spike IgG:	1358.0 GMT (95%	
booster compared	,		In individuals <70:	CI, 1141.8-	
to 2 doses	Heterologous 2:		5582 ELU/mL	<b>1615.1)</b> 14 days	
91.5% response	<u>S-binding</u>		(95% CI, 4415-	after booster	
	Antibodies:		7057)		
Heterologous 3:			In individuals ≥70:	<u>Anti-S1-IgA:</u>	



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



<u>Neutralizing</u>	Higher levels	5464 ELU/mL	5.25 OD/CO (IQR,	
<u>Antibodies</u>	after booster	(95% CI, 4266-	3.94-9.00) 14-	
<u>(FRNT50):</u>	(beta coefficient:	6998)	days after booster	
1543 GMT	0.94, [98.3% CI,			
	0.85-1.12])	Cellular	T Cell (IFN-y	
Anti-RBD lgG:	-,	Response:	CD4+/IFN-y CD4+	
2363 GMT (95%	Neutralizing	In individuals <70:	and CD8+):	
CI, 2005.6-2786.1)	Antibodies:	141 (95% CI, 100-	86%/93%	
14 days after	Higher levels in	200)	seropositivity 28	
booster	booster compared	In individuals ≥70:	days after booster	
	to 2 doses	82 (95% CI, 54-	·	
Anti-S Spike IgG:	100% response	124)		
19203 U/mL (95%	·		Heterologous 2:	
CI, 18094-20377)	T-Cell/ Interferon-		Median values of	
14 days after	<u>v:</u>		IgG-S titers were	
booster	Higher levels in		higher in group	
In individuals <70:	booster compared		that received	
22479 ELU/mL	to 2 doses		BNT162b2 as	
(95% CI, 18276-	91.7% response		booster than	
27648)			CoronaVac	
Individuals ≥70:			BNT162b2	
19091 EUL/mL	Heterologous 3:		boosted IgG-S	
(95% CI, 15554-	Anti-spike IgG:		median titers by	
23432)	In individuals <70:		factor of 46.6 but	
2364 BAU/mL 14-	35522 ELU/mL		IgG-N titers	
days after booster	(95% CI, 29205-		decreased by	
	43204)		factor of 6.5	
<u>Cellular</u>	In individuals ≥70:			
<u>Response:</u>	27702 ELU/mL		<u>Neutralizing</u>	
In individuals <70 :	(95% CI, 21337-		<u>Antibody</u>	
119 (95% CI, 83-	35966)		<u>Responses:</u>	
169) sport forming			11.2-fold	
cells per 10 <sup>6</sup>	<u>Cellular</u>		increase in	
peripheral blood	<u>Response:</u>		neutralizing	
mononuclear cells	In individuals <70:		response	
In individuals ≥70:	228 (95% CI, 177-			
	294)		<u>Anti-spike RBD:</u>	
	-			



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



200) sp cells pe periphe	% CI, 64- ort forming r 10 <sup>6</sup> ral blood iclear cells In individuals ≥70: 101 (95% CI, 54- 187)		Single booster dose of BNT162b2 induced higher anti-spike RBD IgG antibody levels, compared to single booster dose of CoronaVac <b>20,787 U/mL</b> 14 days after booster <b>5152 BAU/mL</b> 14 days after booster <b>5152.2 GMT (95%</b> <b>CI, 4491.7-</b> <b>5909.8)</b> 14 days after booster <b>T</b> <u>Cell (IFN-y</u> <u>CD4+/IFN-y CD4+</u> <u>and CD8+):</u> <b>96%/100%</b> <b>seropositivity</b> (95% CI, 190-402) 28 days after booster	
			Heterologous 3: <u>Anti-spike RBD:</u> <b>1073 U/mL</b> 14 days after booster <b>154 BAU/mL</b> 14 days after booster	



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



						<b>154.1 GMT (95%) Cl, 92.11-259.47)</b> 14 days after booster		
						<u>T Cell (IFN-y</u> <u>CD4+/IFN-y CD4+</u> <u>and CD8+):</u> <b>43%/47%</b> seropositivity		
						<u>Heterologous 4:</u> <u>Total RBD lg:</u> 33519 U/mL 28 days after booster		
						<u>IgG:</u> 9.3-fold increase in median IgG titer compared to 2- initial doses (250 to 2313 BAU/mL)		
						<u>Seropositivity:</u> Increase from <b>96.4% to 100%</b> after booster dose		
						<u>T Cell (IFN-y</u> <u>CD4+/IFN-y CD4+</u> <u>and CD8+):</u> <b>90%/93%</b> seropositivity		
Immunogenicity against variants	<u>Binding Antibody</u> <u>Responses:</u> Baseline bAb levels for <b>Delta</b>	<u>Binding Antibody</u> <u>Responses:</u> Baseline bAb levels for <b>Delta</b>	<u>AZD1222/</u> <u>BNT162b2</u> Demonstrated <b>80%</b> response	Heterologous 1: 10.9 to 21.2-fold increase in pseudo virus	No available data	Heterologous 1: <u>Neutralizing</u> antibodies:	No available data	<u>Heterologous 1:</u> <u>Pseudotype</u> <u>neutralizing</u> <u>antibody NT<sub>50</sub></u> :



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



were 34-45%	were 34-45%	rate against	neutralization	B.1.351 > wild	165 GMT (95% CI,
lower compared	lower compared	Omicron serum	assay (one	type > B.1.1.7 >	131-209) against
to Wa-1 strain	to Wa-1 strain	sample & <b>14.7</b> -	volunteer did not	B.1.617.2	Delta
		fold decrease in	have any against	Individuals	
Following boost,		GMT	B.1.351)	boosted had	Heterologous 2:
bAB levels for	Following boost,			higher neutralizing	<u>Pseudotype</u>
Delta were 15-	bAB levels for	AZD1222/ mRNA-	Binding Antibody	antibodies	neutralizing
36% lower	Delta were 15-	<u>1273</u>	<u>Responses:</u>	compared to two	antibody NT <sub>50</sub> :
compared to Wa-1	36% lower	Demonstrated	Baseline bAb	doses of either	124 GMT (95% CI,
strain	compared to Wa-1	82% response	levels for Delta	vaccine	99-156) against
	strain	rate against	were 34-45%	(p<0.0001) <sup>110</sup>	Delta
Heterologous 1:		Omicron serum	lower compared		
Neutralizing Ab:		sample & <b>17.5</b> -	to Wa-1 strain	271 PRNT <sub>50</sub> 14	
22.7-fold	Neutralizing	fold decrease in		days after booster	
decrease in	Antibody	GMT		against <b>Delta</b>	
neutralization after	Responses:		Following boost,	variant <sup>111</sup>	
0.5 months after	Delta and Beta	Pseudovirus	bAB levels for		
booster compared	variants were only	neutralizing	Delta were 15-	250 GMT (95%	
to <b>Delta</b>	available in those	antibody NT <sub>50:</sub>	36% lower	CI, 169-368) 28	
	boosted with	260 GMT (95%	compared to Wa-1	days after booster	
Heterologous 3:	mRNA-1273	CI, 217-313)	strain	4.0-fold decrease	
Pseudotype virus		against <b>Delta</b>		against Omicron	
neutralizing	Heterologous 1:	<b>U</b>	Pseudotype virus	compared to	
antibody NT <sub>50</sub> :	Pseudotype virus		neutralizing	Delta <sup>112</sup>	
651 GMT against	neutralizing		antibody NT <sub>50</sub> :		
Beta variant	antibody NT <sub>50:</sub>		418 GMT (95%		
	508.7 GMT (95%		CI, 330-530)	Heterologous 2:	
315 GMT (95% CI,	CI, 408.6-633.4)		against Delta	6.3-fold increase	
1314–1998)	against <b>Delta</b>			in neutralization	
against <b>Delta</b>			41-fold increase	titers against	
470 PRNT <sub>50</sub> 14	Heterologous 3:		against Omicron	Delta 28 days	
days after booster	Pseudotype virus		compared to 2-	after booster dose	
against <b>Delta</b>	<u>neutralizing</u>		initial doses	compared to 2-	
variant	antibody NT <sub>50</sub> :			initial doses	
881 GMT against	559.7 GMT (95%		Heterologous 3:		
Delta variant	CI, 441.3-709.9)		Pseudotype virus	6.3-fold decrease	
	against <b>Delta</b>		<u>antibody NT<sub>50</sub>:</u>	in neutralization	



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



200 GMT 9.9-fold decrease against Omicron variant compared to Delta <sup>101</sup> 521 PRNT <sub>50</sub> 14 days after booster against Omicron variant		125 GMT (95% Cl, 99-159) against Delta	titers against Omicron 28 days after booster dose compared to wild type 411 PRNT <sub>50</sub> 14 days after booster against Delta variant 543 PRNT <sub>50</sub> 14 days after booster against Omicron variant <sup>111</sup> 277 GMT (95% Cl, 190-402) 28 days after booster 4.6-fold decrease against Omicron compared to Delta <sup>112</sup> <u>Heterologous 3:</u> <u>Neutralizing Antibodies:</u> 61.3 PRNT <sub>50</sub> 14 days after booster against Delta variant	
			variant 24.6 GMT (95% Cl, 18.1-33.5) 28 days after booster 2.8-fold decrease against Omicron	



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



						compared to Delta <sup>112</sup> <u>Heterologous 4:</u> <u>NAbs titers:</u> 512 GMT (95% Cl, 359-732) 28 days after booster 4.2-fold decrease against Omicron compared to Delta <sup>112</sup>		
Reactogenicity	Adverse Events: 72-92% participants reported local pain or tenderness Malaise, myalgias, and headaches were commonly reported 14.4% of the participants reported unsolicited adverse events	Adverse Events: <b>75-86%</b> participants reported local pain or tenderness Malaise, myalgias, and headaches were commonly reported <b>15.6%</b> of participants reported unsolicited adverse events	No available data	Adverse Events: 71-84% participants reported local pain or tenderness Malaise, myalgias, and headaches were commonly reported 12% of participants reported unsolicited adverse events	No available data	Similar results to homologous booster administration Reactogenicity of mRNA1273 booster was acceptable and better tolerated with increasing age and shorter time since booster dose	No available data	No available data



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



Duration of Protection	<u>Half-life:</u> <b>40 days</b> (steeper than 2 doses [80 days])							
Other						Ongoing clinical trial examining immunogenicity and safety of third dose vaccination with ChAdOx1 or BNT162b2 vaccine among adults who received full jab of CoronaVac <sup>cclxxxiii</sup>		
				IMMUNOGENICITY	,			
Immunogenicity	Single Dose (≥4         weeks):         79.4% IgG         seropositivity         (95% CI, 75.7-         83.1) <sup>113</sup> Second dose (≥4         weeks):         96.5% IgG         seropositivity         (95% CI, 94.9-         98.1) to 92% IgG	<u>14 days after</u> <u>second dose:</u> 18-55 years: PRNT <sub>80</sub> GMT <b>654.3 (95% CI,</b> <b>460.1-930.5</b> ). 56-70 years: PRNT <sub>80</sub> GMT <b>878</b> (95% CI, 516- 1494).	28 days after second dose median antibody titres: 18–55 years: 20,713 AU/mL [IQR 13,898 - 33,550] 56–69 years: 16,170 AU/mL	<u>IqG Antibodies:</u> 1299.5 AU/mL highest median <u>29 days after</u> <u>vaccination:</u> 18-55 years: GMC 586 (95% CI, 445-771); GMT 224 (95% CI, 168-298).	$\frac{14 \text{ days after}}{\text{second dose:}}$ $18-55 \text{ years: GMT}$ $211.2 (95% Cl, 158.9-280.6).$ $≥ 60 \text{ years: GMT}$ $131.5 (95% Cl, 108.2-159.7).$ $5.6-fold \text{ decrease}$ in seropositivity rate at 6-months post- 2 doses <sup>cclxxxiv</sup>	Single dose (≥4 weeks): 37.7±57.08 IU/ml (min: 0, max: 317.25); 57.02% of participants did not develop sufficient antibody titres (<25.6 IU ml) 28.1% IgG seropositivity	IgG Antibodies: 342.7 AU/mL highest median Single dose (≥4 weeks: 43.8% seropositive for anti-spike antibody > 15 AU/mL GMT 16.8 (95% CI, 15.80-17.88)	

cclxxxiii Third Dose Vaccination with AstraZeneca or Pfizer COVID-19 Vaccine Among Adults Received Sinovac COVID-19 Vaccine. *ClinicalTrials.gov.* https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT05049226

cclxxxiv Study does not distinguish between Covishield and Covaxin



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



seropositivity	≥71 years:	[IQR 10,233 -	≥65 years: GMC		(95% CI, 25.0-	for SARS-CoV-2	
onwards	PRNT <sub>80</sub> GMT <b>317</b>	40,353].	312 (95% CI, 246-	Anti-RBD-IgG:	31.2)	spike antibody titre	
7-14 days after	(95% CI, 181-	≥70 years: <b>17,561</b>	396); GMT 212 (95% CI, 163-	42 days post 1 <sup>st</sup> : <b>376.5 (95% CI,</b>	Two doses (2		
second dose:	557).	AU/mL [IQR	(95% CI, 163- 266).	290.9-526.4);	<u>1 wo doses (2</u> weeks):	Two doses (≥4	
<u>second dose.</u>	8 months after	9,705 - 37,796].	200).	p<0,001) BAU/ml	<u>164.4 BAU/ mL</u>	weeks):	
18-55 years:	second dose:	o,::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	57 days after	6 mo post 1 <sup>st</sup> :		80.0%	
GMT ranged from	Anti-S antibody	IgG and IgA:	vaccination:	608.7 <sup>'</sup> (95% CI,	<u>Two doses (≥4</u>	seropositive for	
1.7 to 4.6 times	titre median	lgG: <b>259.5 (95%</b>	18-55 years: <b>754</b>	574.6-647.1)	<u>weeks)</u> :	anti-spike antibody	
the GMT of the	1539.5 AU/ mL	CI 181.3 – 337.9)	(95% CI, 592-	BAU/ml	194.61±174.88	> 15 AU/mL	
convalescent	(IQR: 876.7-	lgA: <b>0.7 (95% Cl</b>	961); GMT 288		IU/ml (min: 0,		
serum.	2626.7)	0.6 – 1.4)	(95% CI, 221-		<b>max: 677.82);</b> 11.48% of	GMT <b>48.3 (95%</b>	
65-85 years:	lgG and lgA:		376).		participants did	CI, 47.46-48.92) for SARS-CoV-2	
GMT ranged from	lgG: 618.6(95% CI		8 months after		not develop	spike antibody titre	
1.1 to 2.2 times	492.4 – 672.9)		second dose:		sufficient antibody		
the GMT of the	lgA: <b>3.9 (95% Cl</b>		Anti-S antibody		titres (<25.6 IU		
convalescent	0.9 – 6.0)		titre median 451.6		ml)		
serum.			AU/ mL (IQR:				
O magnifica aftar			103.0-2396.7				
<u>8 months after</u> second dose:					94.8 BAU/ mL		
Anti-S antibody					77.4% lgG		
titre median <b>751.2</b>					seropositivity		
AU/ mL (IQR:					(95% CI, 75.5-		
422.0-1381.5)					79.3)		
Anti-RBD-IgG:					<u>Two doses (8-12</u>		
3-weeks post series: <b>15,443.5</b> ±					<u>weeks):</u> 34.7 BAU/ mL		
9,655.2 AU/mL					34.7 DAU/ IIIL		
6 mo post series:					median antibody		
1,576.8 ± 5080.2					titer :63.58 U/ml		
AU/mL							
					<u>anti-S IgG:</u>		
 <u>IgG and IgA :</u>							



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



	lgG: 679.0 (95% Cl,626.1 – 733.7) IgA: 5.3 (95% Cl 3.9 – 7.1)			after 1 dose: 723.4 AU/ml (IQR, 109.6–1873) after 2 doses: 1208 AU/ml (IQR, 706.1–2236) (p < 0.001) 6 mo after 2 doses: 470.1 AU/ml (IQR, 191.3–1140)		
Immunogenicity against Delta variant	7.77-fold reduction in neutralization titres for Delta (B.1.617.1) when compared with wild-type 11.30-fold reduction in neutralization titres for Delta (B.1.617.2) when compared with wild-type 157 PRNT <sub>50</sub> neutralization				Against Delta: GMT of <b>480</b> <sup>114</sup> <b>5.6-fold</b> decrease in seropositivity rate at 6-months post- 2 doses <sup>115</sup>	
	against Delta (B.1.617.1) 355 PRNT <sub>50</sub> neutralization					



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



	against <b>Delta</b> (B.1.617.2)							
Immunogenicity against the Mu variant	6.8-fold decrease in neutralizing titres when compared to convalescent sera	Neutralizing titre similar to that of BNT162b2 sera	Neutralizing titre similar to that of BNT162b2 sera	No available data	No available data	No available data	No available data	No available data
Immunogenicity against Omicron variant (not specific to vaccines)	Fully vaccinated <b>17-fold</b> decrease in neutralization against Omicron when compared to wild type <sup>116</sup> <u>Boosted (3-dose</u> <u>schedule)</u> <b>7-fold</b> decrease in neutralization against Omicron when compared to wild type <sup>116</sup>							
Immunogenicity against Omicron variant	29.8-fold decrease in mean neutralizing titres compared to wild- type, 10.3-fold decrease compared to Beta, 25.1-fold decrease compared to Delta <sup>117</sup>	<ul> <li>20-fold decrease in neutralization 6 months after vaccination compared to Delta<sup>117</sup></li> <li>1/10 seropositive against Omicron<sup>91</sup></li> <li>Plasma specimens one</li> </ul>	Mean neutralizing titres drop to below the detectable threshold in all but one participant <sup>117</sup> <b>0/20 seropositive</b> against Omicron <sup>91</sup> The mean Omicron titre	Vaccine lacked detectable neutralizing activity against Omicron. <sup>103</sup> Demonstrated <b>9%</b> response rate against Omicron serum sample <sup>120</sup>	<u>Hybrid immunity:</u> GMT: <b>52 (95% CI,</b> <b>36-75) (p =</b> <b>0.0011)</b> <sup>126</sup>	Not a single serum sample demonstrated neutralizing antibodies against the Omicron VOC among 25 blood samples <sup>127</sup>	Only 5/20 live virus samples exhibited neutralization titres above the lower limit of quantification. <sup>125</sup> GMT of <b>75</b> compared to 706 for D614G (wild- type) <sup>114</sup>	



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



|--|

<sup>cclxxxix</sup> Study does not distinguish between Pfizer, Moderna, or Janssen.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



Omicron by 38	The mean			
times. <sup>103</sup>	Omicron titre			
	estimate in the			
11.4-fold	infected + double			
decrease in	vaccinated group			
neutralization 6				
	suggests			
months after	protection against			
vaccination	symptomatic			
compared to Delta	Omicron disease			
	is <b>91%</b> <sup>116</sup>			
25-fold decrease	Demenaturated			
in neutralization	Demonstrated			
titers against	100% response			
Omicron variant	rate against			
compared to wild-	Omicron serum			
type <sup>118</sup>	sample & 15.8-			
	fold decrease in			
41-fold decrease	GMT <sup>120</sup>			
in neutralization				
level against	NI 6 8 8			
Omicron <sup>119</sup>	No neutralizing			
0/00	antibodies were			
9/20 seropositive	observed in serum			
against Omicron 91	samples obtained			
Demonstrated	4-6 months after			
Demonstrated	the receipt of the			
33% response	second dose <sup>121</sup>			
rate against	Naha halaw U OO			
Omicron serum	Nabs below LLQQ			
sample <sup>120</sup>	against Omicron			
0/20 porticipants	at 1 month post-			
9/20 participants	primary series <sup>124</sup>			
neutralized	15-fold reduction			
Omicron variant 1				
month after 2 <sup>nd</sup>	in			
dose <sup>121</sup>				



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



	<ul> <li><b>15-fold</b> reduction in neutralization<sup>cclxxxv</sup> <sup>122</sup></li> <li><b>34-fold</b> reduction in efficiency of neutralization compared to B.1.</li> <li><b>12-fold</b> lower efficiency compared to Delta<sup>123</sup></li> <li><b>27-fold</b> reduction in efficiency of neutralization compared to wild type<sup>cclxxxvi103</sup></li> </ul>	neutralization cctxxxvii 122 <b>27-fold</b> reduction in efficiency of neutralization compared to wild type <sup>cctxxxviii103</sup>						
				EFFICACY				
Single dose <sup>ccxc</sup>	<b>52%</b> (95% CI, 29.5 to 68.4; starting at 12 days) or 82.2% (75.1 to 87.3; starting at ≥14 days).	<b>95.2%</b> (95% CI, 91.2.8 to 97.4; starting at >14 days).	<ul> <li>72.8% (starting at 22 days up to 60 days).</li> <li>88% (95% CI, 75-94).<sup>ccxcii</sup></li> <li>≥80 years :</li> </ul>	Single dose vaccine	Unknown	<b>35.1%</b> (95% Cl, - 6.6 to -60.5) [conducted in a setting with high P.1 transmission].	No available data	<b>83.4%</b> (95% CI, 73.6-89.5) starting at ≥14 days

<sup>cclxxxv</sup> Study does not distinguish between Pfizer, Moderna, or Janssen.

cclxxxvi Study does not distinguish between Pfizer and Moderna

cclxxxvii Study does not distinguish between Pfizer, Moderna, or Janssen.

cclxxxviii Study does not distinguish between Pfizer and Moderna

ccxc Against SARS-COV-2 infection

<sup>ccxcii</sup> Conducted between 8 December 2020 and 8 February 2021. Study sample = ≤1 million participants.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



	91% (95% CI, 85- 94). ≥80 years : 71.4% (95% CI, 46.5-90.6) vaccine efficacy for symptomatic disease 14 days after one dose [United Kingdom, 18 Dec 2020 – 26 Feb 2021] ≥65 years : 56% (95% CI 19- 76) at 28-34 days and 62% (95% CI 23-81) at 35-48 days post- vaccination [United Kingdom, 8 Dec 2020 – 15 Mar 2021] <sup>ccxci</sup>		80.4% (95% CI, 36.4-94.5) vaccine efficacy for symptomatic disease 14 days after one dose [United Kingdom, 18 Dec 2020 – 26 Feb 2021 $\geq$ 65 years : 56% (95% CI 19- 76) at 28-34 days and 62% (95% CI 23-81) at 35-48 days post- vaccination [United Kingdom, 8 Dec 2020 – 15 Mar 2021] <sup>cexciii</sup>					
Two doses <sup>ccxciv</sup>	<b>95.0%</b> (95% CI, 90.3-97.6) starting at ≥7 days in population without prior SARS-CoV-2 infection	<b>94.1%</b> (95% CI, 89.3-96.8) after median follow-up of less than 63 days <b>93.2%</b> (95% CI, 91.0-94.8)	<b>63.1% (</b> 95% CI, 51.8-71.7) starting at ≥14 days for two standard doses <b>80.7%</b> (95% CI, 62.1-90.2) starting	66.9% (95% CI 59.0-73.4) after 14 days and 66.1% (95% CI 55.0-89.1) after 28 days for VE against moderate-	After 14 days, efficacy against symptomatic cases was <b>72.8%</b> (95% CI 58.1- 82.4; in WIV04 vaccine) or <b>78.1%</b> (95% CI 64.8 to	After 14 days, efficacy against symptomatic cases was <b>50.7%</b> (95% CI 35.9 to 0- 62.0).	Symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection: 77.8% (95% Cl, 65.2-86.4) Severe symptomatic	Against SARS- CoV-2 Infection: 90.4% (95% CI, 82.9-94.6) ≥7 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose [Phase 3 Trial: USA & Mexico]

<sup>ccxcii</sup> Does not differentiate between BNT162b2 and ChAdOx1 nCoV-19. <sup>ccxciii</sup> Does not differentiate between BNT162b2 and ChAdOx1 nCoV-19. <sup>ccxciv</sup> Against SARS-CoV-2 infection.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



	<b>94.6%</b> (95% CI, 89.9-97.3) starting at ≥7 days in population with or without prior infection	Against severe disease: 98.2% (95% Cl, 92.8-99.6) Prevention against COVID-19 illness: 93.2% (95% Cl, 91.0-94.8; United States) Prevention against severe disease: 98.2% (95% Cl, 92.8-99.6; United States) Prevention against asymptomatic infection starting 14 days after second infection: 63.0% (95% Cl, 56.6-68.5; United States)	at ≥14 days for first low dose and standard second dose <b>66.7%</b> (95% CI, 57.4-74.0) starting at ≥14 days for pooled analysis efficacy <u>Against mild-to- moderate</u> <u>symptomatic</u> <u>COVID-19 &gt;14</u> <u>days after second</u> <u>injection</u> : <b>21.9%</b> (95% CI, - 49.9 to 59.8; South Africa) [24 June – 09 November 2020]	severe-critical COVID-19 <b>76.7%</b> (95% CI 54.6 to 89.1) after 14 days and <b>85.4%</b> (95% CI 54.2 to 96.9) after 28 days for VE against severe- critical COVID-19	86.3; in HBO2 vaccine).	99.17% of NAb titres were above or equal to the Nab positivity cut- off (20 units) against wild-type.	SARS-CoV-2         infection:         93.4 (95% CI,         57.1-99.8)         Symptomatic         COVID-19 in ≥60         years old:         67.8% (95% CI,         65.2-86.4) against         symptomatic         COVID-19         Symptomatic         COVID-19 in 18-         59 years old:         79.4% (95% CI,         66.0-88.2) against         symptomatic         COVID-19	90% (95% Cl, 80- 95) ( $\geq$ 7 days after second dose) <u>Against moderate-</u> <u>severe disease:</u> 100% (95% Cl, 87.0-100) $\geq$ 7 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose [Phase 3 Trial: USA & Mexico] 89.7% (95% Cl, 80.2-94.6) starting at $\geq$ 7 days <u>Against severe</u> <u>disease:</u> 100% (95% Cl, 34.6-100) against severe COVID-19
Against asymptomatic infection	<b>90%</b> (starting at 14 days) regardless of symptom status	<b>63.0%</b> (95% Cl, 56.6-68.5)	Statistically non- significant reduction of 22.2% (95% CI - 9.9 to 45.0) for asymptomatic cases 61.9% efficacy	At day 71, vaccine efficacy against asymptomatic infections was <b>65.5%</b> (95% CI 39.9 to 81.1).	Efficacy against symptomatic and asymptomatic cases was <b>64%</b> (95% CI 48.8 to 74.7; in WIV04 vaccine) or 73.5% (95% CI 60.6 to	Unknown	<b>63.6</b> (95% CI, 29.0-82.4) efficacy against asymptomatic cases	Unknown



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



					82.2; in HBO2 vaccine).							
	EFFICACY AGAINST VARIANTS											
Alpha (B.1.1.7)	Two doses of the vaccine <b>effectively</b> <b>neutralize</b> the B.1.1.7 variant and the D614G substitution.	NAbs remained high and consistent with titres of the wildtype for the B.1.1.7 variant.	<b>70.4%</b> (95% Cl, 43.6-84.5) against symptomatic infection with alpha variant (B.1.1.7); <b>28.9%</b> (95% Cl, -77.1 to 71.4) against asymptomatic infection with B.1.1.7.	<b>3.6-fold</b> reduction in neutralization capacity when compared to wild- type.	Demonstrated reduced neutralizing capacity. However, there were no differences in the NAbs titres against B.1.351 in vaccinated individuals vs. those naturally infected, suggesting the vaccine has a similar level of protection against infection as natural infections.	<ul> <li>10.4-fold reduction in neutralization capacity when compared to natural infection sera.</li> <li>85.83% of NAb titres were above or equal to the Nab positivity cut- off (20 units) against wild-type.</li> <li>Neutralization decreased by 4.1- fold when compared to wild- type.</li> </ul>	PRNT <sub>50</sub> <b>0.8</b> when compared with wild type against Alpha (no significant difference in neutralization capacity)	Against any variant of concern:92.6% (95% CI,83.6-96.7) ≥7 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose [Phase 3 Trial: USA & Mexico]Against non- B.1.7 variant 96% (95% CI, 74- 99.5) (≥7 days after second dose)Alpha (B.1.1.7): 93.6% (95% CI, 81.7-97.8) ≥7 days after 2 <sup>nd</sup> dose [Phase 3 Trial: USA & Mexico] 86% (95% CI, 71- 94) (≥7 days after second dose)93.6% (95% CI, 71- 94) (≥7.8) against the Alpha variant 86.3% (95% CI, 71.3-93.5)				



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



Beta (B.1.351)	Neutralization was <b>diminished by a</b> <b>factor of 5</b> . Despite this, the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine still provides some protection against B.1.351 <b>100%</b> (95% CI, 53.5-100).	NAbs were <b>6-fold</b> lower. Nevertheless, NAbs were still found to be protective.	Two doses of the vaccine had no efficacy against the B.1.351 (VE = 21.9%; 95% CI, - 49.9 to 59.8). <u>Against mild-to- moderate symptomatic COVID-19 associated with B.1.351 variant &gt;14 days after second injection: 10.4% (95% -76.8 to 54.8; South Africa) [24 June – 09 November 2020]</u>	Efficacy against moderate-severe- critical Covid-19 due to the variant was <b>52.0%</b> (>14 days) and <b>64.0%</b> (>28 days). Efficacy against severe-critical COVID-19 was <b>73.1%</b> (>14 days) and <b>81.7%</b> (>28 days). Demonstrated <b>3.6-</b> <b>fold</b> reduction in neutralization sensitivity. Neutralization titres were decreased by <b>6.7-</b> <b>fold</b> .	No published data	NT <sub>GM</sub> <b>35.03</b> ( <b>95%</b> <b>CI</b> , <b>27.46-44.68</b> ); <b>8.75-fold</b> reduction in neutralization capacity when compared to natural infection sera. <b>82.5%</b> of NAb titres were above or equal to the Nab positivity cut- off (20 units) against wild-type.	GMT 61.57 (95% Cl, 36.34-104.3) against Beta variant with significant reduction in neutralization titre	<b>51.0%</b> (95% Cl, - 0.6-76.2) efficacy against B.1.351 variant
Gamma (P.1)	Single dose: ≥21 days: 83% against hospitalization and death. <u>Two doses</u> : ≥14 days: 98% against hospitalization and death.	<b>3.2-fold</b> reduction in neutralization capacity when compared to wild- type.	Single dose: ≥21 days: 94% against hospitalization and death. <u>Two doses:</u> 64% (95% Cl, -2-87) [n=18] Efficacy against Zeta (P.2) [2	Demonstrated <b>3.4-</b> <b>fold</b> reduction in neutralization sensitivity.	No published data	<b>49.6%</b> against P.1 (>14 days after 1st dose). Neutralization decreased by <b>7.5-</b> <b>fold</b> when compared to wild- type.	No available data	No available data



A Foundation of Swiss Universities



			doses]: <b>69%</b> (95% CI, 55-78)					
Delta (B. 1.671.2)	<b>Reduced NAb</b> <b>activity</b> relative to B.1.1.7 strain.	<b>2.1-fold</b> reduction in neutralization capacity when compared to wild- type.	<u>Single dose:</u> ≥21 days: <b>90%</b> against hospitalization and death.	Demonstrated <b>1.6-</b> <b>fold</b> reduction in neutralization sensitivity. Neutralization titres were decreased by <b>5.4-</b> <b>fold</b> .	Demonstrated reduced neutralizing capacity. However, there were no differences in the NAbs titres against B.1.617.2 in vaccinated individuals vs. those naturally infected, suggesting the vaccine has a similar level of protection against infection as natural infections.	NT <sub>GM</sub> <b>24.48</b> (95% Cl,19.2-31.2). <b>69.17%</b> of NAb titres were above or equal to the Nab positivity cut- off (20 units) against wild-type.	<b>65.2</b> (95% CI, 33.1-83.0) estimated efficacy GMT <b>68.97</b> (95% CI, 24.72-192.4) against Delta variant with significant reduction in neutralization titre	No available data
Omicron (B.1.1.529)	<b>22.5%</b> (95% CI, 8.5-40.7) against symptomatic infection							



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 181/197



## **Phase III Trials Results**

Synoptic table about SARS-CoV-2 vaccines accepted in the WHO's Emergency Use Listing containing information on Phase III Clinical Trial Results

	BNT162b2/ COMIRNATY (Pfizer-BioNTech, USA)	Spikevax/ Moderna COVID- 19 Vaccine/ mRNA-1273 (Moderna, USA)	Vaxzevria/ ChAdOx1 nCoV- 19/ AZD1222/ Covishield (AstraZeneca/Oxf ord, UK, India)	Janssen COVID- 19 vaccine/Johnson & Johnson (Janssen, USA)	Covilo/ BBIBP- CorV (Sinopharm, China)	CoronaVac (Sinovac, China)	COVAXIN / BBV152 (Bharat Biotech, India)	Nuvaxovid/ NVX- CoV2373/ Covovax (Novavax, Czech Republic, India)
			PHAS	SE III TRIALS RESUL	TS <sup>ccxcv</sup>			
Number of participants (vaccine/ placebo)	43,448 (21,720/ 21,728)	30,420 (15,210/15,210)	17,178 (8597/8581)	39,321 (19,630/19,691)	26,917 (13,459/13458); or 26,914 (13,465/13,458)	9,823 (4,953/4,870)	25,798 (12,899/12899)	14,039 (7,020/7,019)
Total COVID-19 cases (vaccine/ control)	170(8/162)	196 (11/185)	332 (84/248)	464 (116/348)	121(26/95) or 116(21/95)	253(85/168)	130 (24/106)	106(10/96)
Efficacy estimates in Phase III trials	Starting from 7 days after 2nd dose: <b>95.0%</b> (95% CI, 90.3 to 97.6) in population without prior SARS-CoV-2 infection. Efficacy of <b>94.6%</b> (95% CI, 89.9 to 97.3) in	After a median follow-up of less than 63 days: Efficacy of <b>94.1%</b> (95% CI, 89.3 to 96.8; P<0.001). <b>100%</b> among adolescents (12 to <18 years old).	Two standard doses: efficacy was <b>63-1%</b> (95% CI 51.8 to 71.7; $\geq$ 14 days) while those with first low dose and standard 2nd dose the efficacy was	VE against moderate-severe- critical Covid-19 was <b>66.9%</b> (95% CI 59.0 to 73.4) after 14 days post vaccine administration, and <b>66.1%</b> (95%	After 14 days, efficacy against symptomatic cases was <b>72.8%</b> (95% CI 58.1 to 82.4; in WIV04 vaccine) or <b>78.1%</b> (95% CI 64.8 to	After 14 days, efficacy against symptomatic cases was <b>50.7%</b> (95% CI 35.9 to 0- 62.0).	<b>77.8%</b> (95% CI, 65.2-86.4) against symptomatic COVID-19 starting at ≥14 days after second dose	<ul> <li>83.4% (95% CI, 73.6-89.5) starting at ≥14 days after first dose</li> <li>89.7% (95% CI, 80.2-94.6) starting at ≥7 days after second dose</li> </ul>

<sup>ccxcv</sup> Phase III trials were conducted between 27 July and 14 November 2020 for BNT162b2/ COMIRNATY, 27 July and 23 October 2020 for Spikevax/ Moderna, 23 April and 6 December 2020 for Vaxzevria/ ChAdOx1 nCoV-19/ AZD1222/ Covishield, 21 September 2020 and 22 January 2021 for Janssen Covid-19 vaccine/ Johnson & Johnson, 16 July and 20 December 2020 for Sinopharm/ BBIB-CorV, 21 July and 16 December 2020 for the Sinovac/ CoronaVac vaccine, 16 November 2020 and 7 January 2021 for the COVAXIN vaccine, and 28 September 2020 and 28 November 2020 for the Novavax vaccine. All trials were conducted prior to the transmission of the more contagious variant strains, particularly the delta variant (B.1.617.2). Studies are currently ongoing to determine the effectiveness of the vaccines against the delta variant.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 182/197



	population with or without prior infection. <b>100%</b> among adolescents (12- 15 years old).		<b>80.7%</b> (95% CI 62.1 to 90.2). Pooled analysis efficacy was <b>66.7%</b> (95% CI 57.4 to 74.0). For any nucleic acid amplification test- positive swab: efficacy was 54.1% (95% CI 44.7 to 61.9).	CI 55.0 to 89.1) after 28 days. VE against severe- critical COVID-19 cases was <b>76.7%</b> (95% CI 54.6 to 89.1) after 14 days and <b>85.4%</b> (95% CI 54.2 to 96.9) after 28 days. SII-ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 has a non-inferior immune response compared to AZD1222 and an acceptable safety/ reactogenicity profile	86.3; in HBO2 vaccine).			
Efficacy against hospitalization and death	<b>100%</b> (after 7 days)	<b>100%</b> (≥14 days)	<b>100%</b> (after 21 days)	<b>76.7%</b> (≥14 days) or <b>85.4%</b> (≥28 days)	<b>100%</b> (>14 days)	<b>100%</b> (>14 days)	<b>93.4%</b> (>14 days) against severe COVID-19	<b>100%</b> (after 7 days).
Phase III clinical trial serious adverse events	Serious adverse events were observed in a similar proportion of vaccine (0.6%) and placebo (0.5%) recipients. These events also occur at a similar frequency within the general population.	The frequency of grade 3 adverse events was similar in both the vaccine (1.5%) and placebo groups (1.3). Serious adverse events were observed in a similar proportion in both groups	Serious adverse events were balanced across the study arms. 79 cases occurred in the vaccine group and 89 cases in the placebo group – 3 cases were considered related to the experimental or	Serious adverse events were reported in 0.4% of vaccine recipients and 0.4% of placebo recipients. Seven of the serious adverse events were considered to be related to the vaccine:	A cross-sectional survey collected data on adverse events following vaccination in the UAE - none of the symptoms were of serious nature or required hospitalization.	Overall incidence of serious adverse events was 0.5% (31 in placebo group and 33 in vaccine group). All adverse events were determined to be unrelated to the vaccine.	Rates of local and systemic AEs reported in the BBV152 group as mild (11·2%), moderate (0·8%), or severe (0·3%) were comparable to the placebo group	Phase II: Nine serious adverse events were reported, only one of which was assessed as related to the vaccine: acute colitis.



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch / 8



		(0.6%). 3 Bell's Palsy cases occurred in the vaccine group and one Bell's Palsy case occurred in the placebo group.	control vaccine (out of 11 636 vaccine recipients): transverse myelitis, haemolytic anaemia and a case of fever higher than 40°C.	Guillain-Barré syndrome (1), pericarditis (1), brachial radiculitis (1), hypersensitivity (1), Bell's Palsy (2), & severe generalized weakness, fever & headache (1).			15 deaths, none considered related to the vaccine or placebo	
			F	PHASE III TRIAL OTH	ER			
Comments	Specific populations were excluded (HIV and immunocompromi sed patients, and pregnant women).	Calculation of efficacy were not based on the total number of confirmed Covid- 19 cases.		2-DOSE EFFICACY Efficacy against symptomatic (moderate to severe/ critical) SARS-CoV- 2 infection 94% (95% CI, 58- 100) in the US. 75% (95% CI, 55- 87) globally. Efficacy against severe SARS-CoV-2 infection 100% (95% CI, 33-100)	Only 2 severe cases occurred in the control group and none in the vaccine group (very few cases to get a reliable estimate).	-	-	Novavax is currently awaiting FDA, EMA, and WHO EUL approval. Upcoming information regarding results of clinical trials or approval will be updated in upcoming reports



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 18/

184/197



## **Vaccine Production Sites**

Synoptic table about SARS-CoV-2 vaccines accepted in the WHO's Emergency Use Listing containing information on the vaccine production sites

	BNT162b2/ COMIRNATY (Pfizer- BioNTech, USA) <sup>ccxcvi</sup>	Spikevax/ Moderna COVID- 19 Vaccine/ mRNA-1273 (Moderna, USA) <sup>ccxcvii</sup>	Vaxzevria/ ChAdOx1 nCoV- 19/ AZD1222/ Covishield (AstraZeneca/Oxf ord, UK, India) <sup>ccxcviii</sup>	Janssen COVID- 19 vaccine/Johnson & Johnson (Janssen, USA) <sup>cexcix</sup>	Sinopharm/BBIB P-CorV, China <sup>ccc</sup>	Sinovac CoronaVac, China <sup>ccci</sup>	COVAXIN / BBV152 (Bharat Biotech, India)	Nuvaxovid/ NVX- CoV2373/ Covovax
EUL holder	BioNTech Manufacturing GmbH (Germany)	ModernaTX, Inc. (USA) Moderna Biotech (Spain)	AstraZeneca AB (Sweden)	Janssen-Cilag International NV (Belgium)	Beijing Institute of Biological Products Co., Ltd. (BIBP) (China)	Sinovac Life Sciences Co., Ltd. (China)	Bharat Biotech International Limited (India)	Novavax CZ a.s. (Czech Republic) Covovax Serum Institute of India Pvt. Ltd. (India)
Production sites (Drug substance)	BioNTech Manufacturing GmbH (Mainz, Germany) BioNTech Manufacturing Marburg	Lonza Biologics, Inc., (USA) Moderna TX, Inc. (USA) Lonza AG (Switzerland)	Henogen S.A (Belgium) Catalent Maryland, Inc. (USA)	Janssen Vaccines & Prevention B.V. (The Netherlands) Janssen Biologics B.V. (The Netherlands)	Beijing Institute of Biological Products Co., Ltd. (China)	Sinovac Life Sciences Co., Ltd. (China)	-	Novavax (Bohumil, Czech Republic)

ccxcvi WHO recommendation BioNTech Tozinameran – COVID-19 mRNA vaccine (nucleoside modified) – COMIRNATY. WHO. https://extranet.who.int/pgweb/vaccines/who-recommendation-covid-19-mrna-vaccine-nucleoside-modified-comirnaty

ccxcvii 1. WHO recommendation ModernaTX, Inc/USFDA COVID-19 mRNA vaccine (nucleoside modified). WHO. https://extranet.who.int/pgweb/vaccines/who-recommendation-modernatx-incusfda-covid-19-mrna-vaccine-nucleoside-modified

2. WHO recommendation Moderna COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine (nucleoside modified). WHO. https://extranet.who.int/pqweb/vaccines/covid-19-mrna-vaccine-nucleoside-modified

ccxviii WHO recommendation AstraZeneca/ EU approved sites COVID-19 vaccine (ChAdOx1-S) [recombinant]. WHO. https://extranet.who.int/pqweb/vaccines/covid-19-vaccine-chadox1-s-recombinant-0

cccik WHO recommendation Janssen-Cilag International NV (Belgium) COVID-19 Vaccine (Ad26.COV2-S [recombinant]). WHO. <u>https://extranet.who.int/pqweb/vaccines/who-recommendation-janssen-cilag-international-nv-belgium-covid-19-vaccine-ad26cov2-s</u>

ccc WHO recommendation COVID-19 vaccine BIBP/Sinopharm. WHO. https://extranet.who.int/pqweb/vaccines/who-recommendation-covid-19-vaccine-bibp

<sup>ccci</sup> WHO recommendation of Sinovac COVID-19 vaccine (Vero Cell [Inactivated]) – CoronaVac. WHO. <u>https://extranet.who.int/pgweb/vaccines/who-recommendation-sinovac-covid-19-vaccine-vero-cell-inactivated-coronavac</u>



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 185



	(Marburg, Germany) Rentschler Biopharma SE (Laupheim, Germany) Wyeth BioPharma Division of Wyeth Pharmaceuticals (USA) Baxter Oncology		Oxford Biomedica (UK) Ltd. (United Kingdom) SK Bioscience (Republic of Korea) Halix B.V (Netherlands) WuXi Biologics (China)	Emergent Manufacturing Operations Baltimore LLC (USA)				
Production sites (Drug product)	Germany) BioNTech Manufacturing GmbH (Mainz, Germany) Pfizer Manufacturing Belgium NV (Belgium) Novartis Pharma Stein AG (Switzerland) Mibe GmbH Arzneimittel (Brehna, Germany)	Baxter Pharmaceutical Solutions, LLC. (USA) Catalent Indiana, LLC. (USA) Rovi Pharma Industrial Services, S.A. (Spain)	Catalent Anagni (Italy) CP Pharmaceuticals (United Kingdom) IDT Biologika (Germany) SK Bioscience (Republic of Korea) Universal Farma, S.L. ("Chemo") (Spain) Amylin Ohio LLC (USA)	Janssen Biologics B.V. (The Netherlands) Janssen Pharmaceutica NV (Belgium) Aspen SVP. (South Africa) Catalent Indiana LLC. (USA) Grand River Aseptic Manufacturing Inc. (USA) Catalent Anagni S.R.L. (Italy)	Beijing Institute of Biological Products Co., Ltd. (China)	Sinovac Life Sciences Co., Ltd. (China)	-	Novavax (Bohumil, Czech Republic)



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 186/197



	Delpharm Saint- Remy (France) Sanofi-Aventis Deutschland GmbH (Germany)							
Diluent suppliers	Pfizer Perth, Australia Fresenius Kabi, USA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



A Foundation of Swiss Universities

Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+) | Hirschengraben 82 | 8001 Zurich | Phone +41 (0)44 634 47 02 | info@ssphplus.ch | www.ssphplus.ch | 187/197



## References

- 1. Skowronski Dm SSZMPNTJRSHFCDGENMPDMEA. Comparative single-dose mRNA and ChAdOx1 vaccine effectiveness against SARS-CoV-2, including variants of concern: test-negative design, British Columbia, Canada. *Journal of infectious diseases.* 2022.<u>https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiac023</u>
- 2. Kow CS, Hasan SS. Real-world effectiveness of BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine: a metaanalysis of large observational studies. *Inflammopharmacology*. 2021;29(4):1075-1090.<u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10787-021-00839-2</u>
- Pramod S, Govindan D, Ramasubramani P, et al. Effectiveness of Covishield vaccine in preventing Covid-19 – A test-negative case-control study. *Vaccine*. 2022.<u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.02.014</u>
- Ranzani OT, Silva AAB, Peres IT, et al. Vaccine effectiveness of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 against COVID-19 in a socially vulnerable community in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: a testnegative design study. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2022.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2022.01.032</u>
- 5. Hammerman A, Sergienko R, Friger M, et al. Effectiveness of the BNT162b2 Vaccine after Recovery from Covid-19. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2022.https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2119497
- UK Health Security Agency. SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern and variants under investigation in England - Technical briefing 35. <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachm ent\_data/file/1050999/Technical-Briefing-35-28January2022.pdf</u>. Published 2022. Updated 28 January. Accessed.
- 7. Sevinc Sa MSBNBLJCASOS. Effectiveness of Inactivated SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine (CoronaVac) on Survival at Intensive Care Unit: a Cross-sectional Study. Epidemiology and infection. 2022:1-18.<u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268822000267</u>
- Kim N SSMDPSADPJHREYYJHPH. SARS-CoV-2 Infectivity and Antibody Titer Reduction for 6 Months After Second Dose of BNT162b2 mRNA Vaccine in Healthcare Workers: a Prospective Cohort Study. *Journal of infectious diseases*. 2022.<u>https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiac035</u>
- 9. Petras M LIKVLNEMJKPNMWREMRDJCAM. The effectiveness of post-vaccination and post-infection protection in the hospital staff of three prague hospitals: a cohort study of 8-month follow-up from the start of the covid-19 vaccination campaign (covaness). *Vaccines.* 2021;10(1).<u>https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines10010009</u>
- 10. Hall V, Foulkes S, Insalata F, et al. Protection against SARS-CoV-2 after Covid-19 Vaccination and Previous Infection. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2022.<u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2118691</u>
- 11. Fabiani M, Puopolo M, Morciano C, et al. Effectiveness of mRNA vaccines and waning of protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection and severe covid-19 during predominant circulation of the delta variant in Italy: retrospective cohort study. *Bmj*. 2022;376:e069052.<u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2021-069052</u>
- 12. Christensen P. ORJ, Long S. W., Snehal R., Davis J. J., Saavedra M. O., Reppond K., Shyer M. N.; Cambric J., Gadd R., Thakur R. M., Batajoo A., Mangham R.; Pena S., Trinh T., Kinskey J. C., Williams G., Olson R., Gollihar J., Musser J. M. Signals of Significantly Increased Vaccine Breakthrough, Decreased Hospitalization Rates, and Less Severe Disease in Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 Caused by the Omicron Variant of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 in





Houston, Texas. *American journal of pathology*. 2022.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2022.01.007</u>

- 13. Schiffner J, Eisemann N, Baltus H, et al. Immune responses after twofold SARS-CoV-2 immunisation in elderly residents and Health Care Workers in nursing homes and homes with assisted living support - Proposal for a correlate of protection. *medRxiv*. 2022:2022.2009.22270747.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.09.22270747
- Gaebler C, DaSilva J, Bednarski E, et al. SARS-CoV-2 neutralization after mRNA vaccination and variant breakthrough infection. *medRxiv*. 2022:2022.2002.2009.22270692.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.09.22270692
- Matusali G, Sberna G, Meschi S, et al. Differential Dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 Binding and Functional Antibodies upon BNT162b2 Vaccine: A 6-Month Follow-Up. *Viruses.* 2022;14(2).https://doi.org/10.3390/v14020312
- Petrovic V VVPAMMRM. Immunogenicity of BNT162b2, BBIBP-CorV and Gam-COVID-Vac vaccines and immunity after natural SARS-CoV-2 infection-A comparative study from Novi Sad, Serbia. *PloS one.* 2022;17(2):e0263468.https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0263468
- 17. Benning L MCBMRMTMNCKFRPSMKKSPZMSC. Neutralizing antibody activity against the B.1.617.2 (delta) variant 8 months after two-dose vaccination with BNT162b2 in health care workers. *Clinical microbiology and infection.* 2022.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2022.01.011</u>
- Karbiener M, Farcet MR, Zollner A, et al. Calibrated comparison of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody levels in response to protein-, mRNA-, and vector-based COVID-19 vaccines. NPJ Vaccines. 2022;7(1):22.<u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41541-022-00455-3</u>
- 19. Ebrahim F, Tabal S, Lamami Y, et al. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies after recovery from COVID-19 or vaccination in Libyan population: comparison of four vaccines. *medRxiv.*

2022:2022.2002.2018.22271130.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.18.22271130

- Badano Mn SFKIPMANCARMVOWJMPRGCLW. Humoral response to the BBIBP-CorV vaccine over time in healthcare workers with or without exposure to SARS-CoV-2. *Molecular immunology*. 2022;143:94-99.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2022.01.009
- Powell AA, Kirsebom F, Stowe J, et al. Adolescent vaccination with BNT162b2 (Comirnaty, Pfizer-BioNTech) vaccine and effectiveness against COVID-19: national test-negative case-control study, England. *medRxiv*. 2022:2021.2012.2010.21267408.https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.10.21267408
- 22. Massari M, Spila-Alegiani S, Morciano C, et al. Post-marketing active surveillance of myocarditis and pericarditis following vaccination with COVID-19 mRNA vaccines in persons aged 12-39 years in Italy: a multi-database, self-controlled case series study. *medRxiv.*

2022:2022.2002.2007.22270020.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.07.22270020

- Krug A, Stevenson J, Høeg TB. BNT162b2 Vaccine-Associated Myo/Pericarditis in Adolescents: A Stratified Risk-Benefit Analysis. *Eur J Clin Invest.* 2022:e13759.https://doi.org/10.1111/eci.13759
- 24. Soto JA, Melo-González F, Gutierrez-Vera C, et al. An inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine is safe and induces humoral and cellular immunity against virus variants in healthy children and adolescents in Chile. *medRxiv*. 2022:2022.2002.2015.22270973.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.15.22270973
- Tenforde Mw PMMGMGAADDJTHKCJDMNMZA. Effectiveness of a Third Dose of Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna Vaccines in Preventing COVID-19 Hospitalization Among Immunocompetent and Immunocompromised Adults - United States, August-





December 2021. MMWR Morbidity and mortality weekly report. 2022;71(4):118-124.https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7104a2

- 26. Oster Y, Benenson S, Nir-Paz R, Buda I, Cohen MJ. The effect of a third BNT162b2 vaccine on breakthrough infections in healthcare workers: a cohort analysis. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2022.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2022.01.019</u>
- Ireland G, Whitaker H, Ladhani S, et al. Serological responses and six-month trajectories to COVID-19 Comirnaty and Spikevax booster vaccine, September 2021 to January 2022, London, United Kingdom. *medRxiv*. 2022:2022.2002.2017.22271126.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.17.22271126
- Regev-Yochay G, Gonen T, Gilboa M, et al. Fourth Dose COVID mRNA Vaccines' Immunogenicity & amp; Efficacy Against Omicron VOC. *medRxiv*. 2022:2022.2002.2015.22270948.<u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.15.22270948</u>
- 29. Wang J, Deng C, Liu M, et al. Four doses of the inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine redistribute humoral immune responses away from the Receptor Binding Domain. *medRxiv.*

2022:2022.2002.2019.22271215.<u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.19.22271215</u>

- Cerqueira-Silva T KSVdAOVF-ORJJBPESRCPGOWG. Vaccine effectiveness of heterologous CoronaVac plus BNT162b2 in Brazil. *Nature medicine*. 2022.<u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-022-01701-w</u>
- Mohammed I, Nauman A, Paul P, et al. The efficacy and effectiveness of the COVID-19 vaccines in reducing infection, severity, hospitalization, and mortality: a systematic review. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 2022:1-20.https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2022.2027160
- 32. Lytras T, Kontopidou F, Lambrou A, Tsiodras S. Comparative effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccination against death and severe disease in an ongoing nationwide mass vaccination campaign. *medRxiv*.

2022:2022.2001.2028.22270009.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.28.22270009

- 33. Suryatma A, Anasi R, Hananto M, et al. Effectiveness of the inactivated COVID-19 vaccine (CoronaVac) in adult population in Indonesia. *medRxiv*.
   2022:2022.2002.2202.22270351.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.02.22270351
- 34. Chadeau-Hyam M WHEOHDBBWMWCEAKECACFCD. SARS-CoV-2 infection and vaccine effectiveness in England (REACT-1): a series of cross-sectional random community surveys. *Lancet respiratory medicine*. 2022.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(21)00542-7</u>
- 35. Sritipsukho P Md M, Khawcharoenporn T Md M, Siribumrungwong B Md P, et al. Comparing real-life effectiveness of various COVID-19 vaccine regimens during the delta variant-dominant pandemic: A test-negative case-control study. *Emerg Microbes Infect.* 2022:1-22.https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2022.2037398
- Kang M YYLYSLDAHTZJLJCMXSLMJJJY. Effectiveness of Inactivated COVID-19 Vaccines Against Illness Caused by the B.1.617.2 (Delta) Variant During an Outbreak in Guangdong, China : a Cohort Study. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2022.<u>https://doi.org/10.7326/M21-3509</u>
- Hu Z TBLZSYYCLJZMYYHPWJ. Effectiveness of inactivated COVID-19 vaccines against severe illness in B.1.617.2 (Delta) variant-infected patients in Jiangsu, China. *International journal of infectious diseases.* 2022.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2022.01.030
- 38. Rickeard NAJSFKSTT. Effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines against the Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant of concern. 2021. <u>https://khub.net/documents/135939561/430986542/Effectiveness+of+COVID-19+vaccines+against+Omicron+variant+of+concern.pdf/f423c9f4-91cb-0274-c8c5-70e8fad50074</u>.





- Volkov O. Predicted Symptomatic Effectiveness of Pfizer-BioNTech BNT162b2 Vaccine Against Omicron Variant of SARS-CoV-2. *medRxiv*. 2021:2021.2012.2009.21267556.https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.09.21267556
- 40. Hansen CH, Schelde AB, Moustsen-Helm IR, et al. Vaccine effectiveness against SARS-CoV-2 infection with the Omicron or Delta variants following a two-dose or booster BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273 vaccination series: A Danish cohort study. *medRxiv*.
  - 2021:2021.2012.2020.21267966.https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.20.21267966
- Buchan SA, Chung H, Brown KA, et al. Effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines against Omicron or Delta infection. *medRxiv*.
   2022:2021.2012.2030.21268565.<u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.30.21268565</u>
- 42. Young-Xu Y. Effectiveness of mRNA COVID-19 Vaccines against Omicron among Veterans. *medRxiv.*
- 2022:2022.2001.2015.22269360.<u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.15.22269360</u>
  43. Tseng HF, Ackerson BK, Luo Y, et al. Effectiveness of mRNA-1273 against SARS-
- CoV-2 omicron and delta variants. *medRxiv*. 2022:2022.2001.2007.22268919.<u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.07.22268919</u>
- Fournier PE, Houhamdi L, Colson P, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Vaccination and Protection Against Clinical Disease: A Retrospective Study, Bouches-du-Rhône District, Southern France, 2021. *Front Microbiol.* 2021;12:796807.https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.796807
- 45. Luong Ngyen LB, Bauer R, Lesieur Z, et al. Vaccine effectiveness against COVID-19 hospitalization in adults in France: A test negative case control study. *Infect Dis Now.* 2022;52(1):40-43.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idnow.2021.12.002
- 46. Gardner BJ, Kilpatrick AM. Estimates of reduced vaccine effectiveness against hospitalization, infection, transmission and symptomatic disease of a new SARS-CoV-2 variant, Omicron (B.1.1.529), using neutralizing antibody titers. *medRxiv*. 2021:2021.2012.2010.21267594.https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.10.21267594
- 47. Collie S, Champion J, Moultrie H, Bekker L-G, Gray G. Effectiveness of BNT162b2 Vaccine against Omicron Variant in South Africa. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2021.<u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2119270</u>
- 48. Tartof SYaS, Jeff M. and Puzniak, Laura and Hong, Vennis and Xie, Fagen and Ackerson, Bradley K. and Valluri, Srinivas R. and Jodar, Luis and McLaughlin, John M., . BNT162b2 (Pfizer–Biontech) mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine Against Omicron-Related Hospital and Emergency Department Admission in a Large US Health System: A Test-Negative Design. *SSRN.* 2022. <u>https://ssrn.com/abstract=4011905</u>.
- 49. Peralta Santos A, Pinto Leite P, Casaca P, et al. Omicron (BA.1) SARS-CoV-2 variant is associated with reduced risk of hospitalization and length of stay compared with Delta (B.1.617.2). *medRxiv*.
  - 2022:2022.2001.2020.22269406.<u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.20.22269406</u>
- 50. Servellita V, Syed AM, Brazer N, et al. Neutralizing immunity in vaccine breakthrough infections from the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron and Delta variants. *medRxiv*. 2022:2022.2001.2025.22269794.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.25.22269794
- 51. Uwamino Y, Kurafuji T, Takato K, et al. Dynamics of antibody responses, cellular immunity, and breakthrough infections among Japanese healthcare workers during the 6 months after receiving two doses of BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine. *medRxiv*. 2022:2022.2001.2029.22270052.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.29.22270052
- 52. Chivu-Economescu M BCGCCDBAIIVPINLGNAMLDD. Kinetics and persistence of cellular and humoral immune responses to SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in healthcare workers with or without prior COVID-19. *Journal of cellular and molecular medicine*. 2022.<u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.17186</u>





- 53. Brunner WM, Freilich DA, Victory J, et al. Comparison of Antibody Response Durability of mRNA-1273, BNT162b2, and Ad26.COV2.S SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines in Healthcare Workers. *medRxiv*. 2022:2022.2001.2014.22269297.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.14.22269297
- 54. Belda F, Mora O, Christie R, Crowley M. A longitudinal seroconversion panel shows anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels up to 6.5 months after vaccination with mRNA-1273

(Moderna). *medRxiv.* 2022:2022.2001.2025.22269762.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.25.22269762

- 55. Bedston S AAJCILETFNLLJPMGLJORKBJ. COVID-19 vaccine uptake, effectiveness, and waning in 82,959 health care workers: a national prospective cohort study in Wales. *Vaccine*. 2022.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.11.061</u>
- 56. Kahn F, Bonander C, Moghaddassi M, et al. Risk of severe COVID-19 from the Delta and Omicron variants in relation to vaccination status, sex, age and comorbidities: surveillance results from southern Sweden. *medRxiv.* 2022:2022.2002.2003.22270389.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.03.22270389
- 57. Chemaitelly H, Ayoub HH, AlMukdad S, et al. Duration of protection of BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 COVID-19 vaccines against symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Omicron infection in Qatar. *medRxiv*. 2022:2022.2002.2007.22270568.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.07.22270568
- 58. Buchan SA, Chung H, Brown KA, et al. Effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines against Omicron or Delta symptomatic infection and severe outcomes. *medRxiv*. 2022:2021.2012.2030.21268565.https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.30.21268565
- 59. DeSilva M. B.; Stenehjem E.; Reese S. E.; Dickerson M.; Naleway A. L.; Han J. Konatham D.; McEvoy C.; Rao S.; Dixon B. E.; Dascomb K.; Lewis N.; Levy M. E.; Patel P.; Liao I. C.; Kharbanda A. B.; Barron M. A.; Fadel W. F.; Grisel N.; Goddard K.; Yang D. H.; Wondimu M. H.; Murthy K.; Valvi N. R.; Arndorfer J.; Fireman B.; Dunne M. M.; Embi P.; Azziz-Baumgartner E.; Zerbo O.; Bozio C. H.; Reynolds S.; Ferdinands J.; Williams J.; Link-Gelles R.; Schrag S. J.; Verani J. R.; Ball S., Ong T. C.; TMNKISAREAGEPGMKNPGSJ. Effectiveness of a Third Dose of mRNA Vaccines Against COVID-19-Associated Emergency Department and Urgent Care Encounters and Hospitalizations Among Adults During Periods of Delta and Omicron Variant Predominance VISION Network, 10 States, August 2021-January 2022. MMWR Morbidity and mortality weekly report. 2022;71(4):139-145.https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7104e3
- Belayachi J, Obtel M, Razine R, Abouqal R. Long term effectiveness of inactivated vaccine BBIBP-CorV (Vero Cells) against COVID-19 associated severe and critical hospitalization in Morocco. *medRxiv*.
   2022:2022.2001.2025.22269822.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.25.22269822
- Preiser; CKCKMMCTGMADSTSMSW. Breakthrough Infections with SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Variant Despite Booster Dose of mRNA Vaccine. SSRN. 2021.https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3981711
- 62. Brandal LT, MacDonald E, Veneti L, et al. Outbreak caused by the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in Norway, November to December 2021. *Eurosurveillance*. 2021;26(50).https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2021.26.50.2101147
- Ledda C, Costantino C, Motta G, et al. SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccine Breakthrough Infections in Fully Vaccinated Healthcare Personnel: A Systematic Review. *Tropical Medicine and Infectious Disease*.
   2022:7(4) https://doi.org/10.2200/trapiag/med/2010000

2022;7(1).https://doi.org/10.3390/tropicalmed7010009

64. Wang L, Davis PB, Kaelber DC, Volkow ND, Xu R. Comparison of mRNA-1273 and BNT162b2 Vaccines on Breakthrough SARS-CoV-2 Infections, Hospitalizations, and





Death During the Delta-Predominant Period. *JAMA.* 2022.<u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2022.0210</u>

- 65. Suntronwong N, Yorsaeng R, Puenpa J, et al. COVID-19 breakthrough infection after inactivated vaccine induced robust antibody responses and cross-neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 variants, but less immunity against omicron. *medRxiv*. 2022:2022.2001.2017.22269415.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.17.22269415
- 66. Wi Ym KSHPKR. An Outbreak of Breakthrough Infections by the SARS-CoV-2 Delta Variant in a Psychiatric Closed Ward. *Journal of Korean medical science*. 2022;37(4):e28.https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2022.37.e28
- 67. Murali A, Wong P, Gilbar PJ, Mangos HM. Acquired Hemophilia A following Pfizer-BioNTech SARS CoV-2 mRNA vaccine, successfully treated with prednisolone and rituximab. *J Oncol Pharm Pract.*

2022:10781552221075545.https://doi.org/10.1177/10781552221075545

- 68. Leone Mc CSPACADLFTCRGA. Four cases of acquired hemophilia A following immunization with mRNA BNT162b2 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. *Thrombosis research*. 2022;211:60-62.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres.2022.01.017</u>
- 69. Chung Jh SSMYHJYESPSH. Transient lower extremity lymphedema following COVID-19 vaccination: a case report. *Medicine*. 2021;100(48):e28092.https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000028092
- 70. Zlotnik Y GAA-SIHANRIG. Case Report: anti-LGI1 Encephalitis Following COVID-19 Vaccination. *Frontiers in immunology.* 2021;12:813487.https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.813487
- 71. Costanzo G LAGGAVMFDDGS. Eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis relapse after covid-19 vaccination: a case report. *Vaccines*. 2021;10(1).https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines10010013
- 72. Barry M AAAK. Pyoderma Gangrenosum Induced by BNT162b2 COVID-19 Vaccine in a Healthy Adult. *Vaccines*. 2022;10(1).<u>https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines10010087</u>
- 73. Alabkal J RADLDRN. Incomplete Subacute Transverse Myelitis Following Vaccination With Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine: a Case Report. *Cureus*. 2021;13(12):e20460.<u>https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.20460</u>
- 74. Di Mauro P LMICSSPIRDMAFSTIAR. Acute Vertigo After COVID-19 Vaccination: case Series and Literature Review. *Frontiers in medicine*. 2021;8:790931.https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2021.790931
- 75. Carrillo-Garcia P S-OLG-PJ. Leukocytoclastic vasculitis in possible relation to the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2022.<u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.17675</u>
- 76. Franchi A RTPCFKHGBGKMZC. Two Cases of Acute Macular Neuroretinopathy Associated with the Adenovirus-based COVID-19 Vaccine Vaxzevria (Astrazeneca). Ocular immunology and inflammation. 2022:1-6.https://doi.org/10.1080/09273948.2022.2027463
- 77. Stewart C GDTDD. Novel case of takotsubo cardiomyopathy following COVID-19 vaccination. *BMJ case reports*. 2022;15(1).<u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2021-247291</u>
- 78. Peralta-Amaro AI T-RMIR-AKLC-QOJR-HPM-AWA-PAH-DJ. Atypical Kawasaki Disease after COVID-19 Vaccination: a New Form of Adverse Event Following Immunization. *Vaccines*. 2022;10(1).<u>https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines10010126</u>
- 79. Van Loon A MDSVOBJHLJAO. A first case of "Covid toes" from a viral vector-based COVID-19 vaccine. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology : JEADV.* 2022.https://doi.org/10.1111/jdv.17948
- 80. Kaikati J GAEBRHJTR. Eosinophilic panniculitis: a new side effect of Sinopharm COVID-19 vaccine. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology : JEADV.* 2022.<u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jdv.17920</u>





- 81. Zavala-Miranda MF, González-Ibarra SG, Pérez-Arias AA, Uribe-Uribe NO, Mejia-Vilet JM. New-onset systemic lupus erythematosus beginning as class V lupus nephritis after COVID-19 vaccination. *Kidney International.* 2021;100(6):1340-1341.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2021.09.009</u>
- 82. Olson SM, Newhams MM, Halasa NB, et al. Effectiveness of BNT162b2 Vaccine against Critical Covid-19 in Adolescents. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2022.<u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2117995</u>
- Prunas O, Weinberger DM, Pitzer VE, Gazit S, Patalon T. Waning Effectiveness of the BNT162b2 Vaccine Against Infection in Adolescents. *medRxiv*. 2022:2022.2001.2004.22268776.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.04.22268776
- Zambrano Ld NMMOSMHNBPAMBJASLCKSTK. Effectiveness of BNT162b2 (Pfizer-BioNTech) mRNA Vaccination Against Multisystem Inflammatory Syndrome in Children Among Persons Aged 12-18 Years - United States, July-December 2021. MMWR Morbidity and mortality weekly report. 2022;71(2):52-58.https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7102e1
- 85. Study to Evaluate the Safety, Tolerability, and Immunogenicity of an RNA Vaccine Candidate Against COVID-19 in Healthy Children <12 Years of Age. In: https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT04816643.
- 86. A Study to Evaluate Safety and Effectiveness of mRNA-1273 COVID-19 Vaccine in Healthy Children Between 6 Months of Age and Less Than 12 Years of Age. In: https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT04796896.
- 87. Girard B, Tomassini J, Deng W, et al. mRNA-1273 Vaccine-elicited Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron in Adolescents and Children. *medRxiv*. 2022:2022.2001.2024.22269666.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.24.22269666
- 88. COVAXIN in a Pediatric Cohort. In: https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT04918797.
- A Study to Evaluate the Efficacy, Immune Response, and Safety of a COVID-19
- Vaccine in Adults ≥ 18 Years With a Pediatric Expansion in Adolescents (12 to < 18 Years) at Risk for SARS-CoV-2. In. ClinicalTrials.gov2021.
- 90. University of Oxford. Comparing COVID-19 Vaccine Schedule Combinations. https://comcovstudy.org.uk/about-com-cov2. Published 2021. Accessed September 2, 2021.
- 91. Roessler A, Riepler L, Bante D, von Laer D, Kimpel J. SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529 variant (Omicron) evades neutralization by sera from vaccinated and convalescent individuals. *medRxiv*.

2021:2021.2012.2008.21267491.https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.08.21267491

- 92. Safety and Efficacy of COVID-19 Prime-boost Vaccine in Bahrain. In: https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT04993560.
- 93. Moderna Announces Positive Initial Booster Data Against SARS-CoV-2 Variants of Concern [press release]. Cambridge, Massachusetts, May 5 2021. <u>https://investors.modernatx.com/news-releases/news-release-details/moderna-announces-positive-initial-booster-data-against-sars-cov</u>
- 94. Flaxman A, Marchevsky NG, Jenkin D, et al. Reactogenicity and immunogenicity after a late second dose or a third dose of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 in the UK: a substudy of two randomised controlled trials (COV001 and COV002). *Lancet.* 2021.https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(21)01699-8
- 95. Novavax Announces COVID-19 Vaccine Booster Data Demonstrating Four-Fold Increase in Neutralizing Antibody Levels Versus Peak Responses After Primary Vaccination [press release]. Novavax August 5, 2021 2021. <u>https://ir.novavax.com/2021-08-05-Novavax-Announces-COVID-19-Vaccine-Booster-Data-Demonstrating-Four-Fold-Increase-in-Neutralizing-Antibody-Levels-Versus-Peak-Responses-After-Primary-Vaccination</u>





96. Sadoff J, Le Gars M, Cardenas V, et al. Durability of antibody responses elicited by a single dose of Ad26.COV2.S and substantial increase following late boosting. *medRxiv.* 

2021:2021.2008.2025.21262569.https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.25.21262569

- 97. Accorsi EK, Britton A, Fleming-Dutra KE, et al. Association Between 3 Doses of mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine and Symptomatic Infection Caused by the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron and Delta Variants. *JAMA*. 2022.<u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2022.0470</u>
- 98. Gray GE, Collie S, Garrett N, et al. Vaccine effectiveness against hospital admission in South African health care workers who received a homologous booster of Ad26.COV2 during an Omicron COVID19 wave: Preliminary Results of the Sisonke 2 Study. *medRxiv*.

2021:2021.2012.2028.21268436.https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.28.21268436

99. Tan CS, Collier A-rY, Liu J, et al. Homologous and Heterologous Vaccine Boost Strategies for Humoral and Cellular Immunologic Coverage of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Variant. *medRxiv*.

2021:2021.2012.2002.21267198.<u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.02.21267198</u>

- 100. Furukawa K, Tjan LH, Kurahashi Y, Sutandhio S, Nishimura M, Mori Y. Acquired neutralizing breadth against SARS-CoV-2 variants including Omicron after three doses of mRNA COVID-19 vaccination and the vaccine efficacy. *medRxiv*. 2022:2022.2001.2025.22269735.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.25.22269735
- 101. Pagliari MaM, Eva and Gastaldelli, Michele and Bortolami, Alessio and Donà, Daniele and Padoan, Andrea and Di Chiara, Costanza and Pezzani, Maria Diletta and Cosma, Chiara and Napolitan, Alessandra and Quaranta, Erika Giorgia and Giussani, Edoardo and Fusaro, Alice and Pascarella, Michela and Aita, Ada and Liberati, Cecilia and Lorusso, Alessio and Monne, Isabella and De Rossi, Anita and Basso, Daniela and Porru, Stefano and Ricci, Antonia and Terregino, Calogero and Plebani, Mario and Tacconelli, Evelina and Giaquinto, Carlo and Bonfante, Francesco. Omicron Neutralizing and Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S-RBD Antibodies in Naïve and Convalescent Populations After Homologous and Heterologous Boosting With an mRNA Vaccine. SSRN. 2022. <u>https://ssrn.com/abstract=4016530</u>.
- Nemet I, Kliker L, Lustig Y, et al. Third BNT162b2 Vaccination Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Infection. New England Journal of Medicine. 2021.<u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2119358</u>
- Schmidt F, Muecksch F, Weisblum Y, et al. Plasma Neutralization of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Variant. New England Journal of Medicine. 2021.https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2119641
- 104. Doria-Rose NA, Shen X, Schmidt SD, et al. Booster of mRNA-1273 Strengthens SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Neutralization. *medRxiv*. 2021:2021.2012.2015.21267805.<u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.15.21267805</u>
- 105. Ai J, Zhang H, Zhang Y, et al. Omicron variant showed lower neutralizing sensitivity than other SARS-CoV-2 variants to immune sera elicited by vaccines after boost. *Emerging microbes & infections*. 2021:1-24.<u>https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2021.2022440</u>
- Yu X, Wei D, Xu W, et al. Reduced sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant to booster-enhanced neutralization. *medRxiv*. 2021:2021.2012.2017.21267961.https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.17.21267961
- 107. Mallory R, Formica N, Pfeiffer S, et al. Immunogenicity and Safety Following a Homologous Booster Dose of a SARS-CoV-2 recombinant spike protein vaccine (NVX-CoV2373): A Phase 2 Randomized Placebo-Controlled Trial. *medRxiv*. 2021:2021.2012.2023.21267374.https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.23.21267374





- 108. Spitzer A, Angel Y, Marudi O, et al. Association of a Third Dose of BNT162b2 Vaccine With Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 Infection Among Health Care Workers in Israel. *JAMA*. 2022.<u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.23641</u>
- Bar-On YM, Goldberg Y, Mandel M, et al. Protection by 4th dose of BNT162b2 against Omicron in Israel. *medRxiv*.
   2022:2022.2002.2001.22270232.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.01.22270232
- 110. Yorsaeng R, Suntronwong N, Phowatthanasathian H, et al. Immunogenicity of a third dose viral-vectored COVID-19 vaccine after receiving two-dose inactivated vaccines in healthy adults. *Vaccine*. 2022;40(3):524-530.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.11.083
- Angkasekwinai N, Niyomnaitham S, Sewatanon J, et al. The immunogenicity against variants of concern and reactogenicity of four COVID-19 booster vaccinations following CoronaVac or ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 primary series. *medRxiv*. 2022:2021.2011.2029.21266947.https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.29.21266947
- Assawakosri S, Kanokudom S, Suntronwong N, et al. Neutralizing Activities against the Omicron Variant after a Heterologous Booster in Healthy Adults Receiving Two Doses of CoronaVac Vaccination. *medRxiv*. 2022:2022.2001.2028.22269986.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.28.22269986
- Sauré D, O'Ryan M, Torres JP, Zuniga M, Santelices E, Basso LJ. Dynamic IgG seropositivity after rollout of CoronaVac and BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccines in Chile: a sentinel surveillance study. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2022;22(1):56-63.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(21)00479-5</u>
- 114. Edara VV, Patel M, Suthar MS. Covaxin (BBV152) Vaccine Neutralizes SARS-CoV-2 Delta and Omicron variants. *medRxiv*. 2022:2022.2001.2024.22269189.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.24.22269189
- Singh AK, Phatak SR, Singh R, et al. Humoral Antibody Kinetics with ChAdOx1nCOV (CovishieldTM) and BBV-152 (CovaxinTM) Vaccine among Indian Healthcare workers: A 6-month Longitudinal Cross-sectional Coronavirus Vaccine-induced Antibody Titre (COVAT) Study. *medRxiv*. 2022:2022.2002.2003.22270182.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.03.22270182
- 116. Netzl A, Tureli S, LeGresley E, Mühlemann B, Wilks SH, Smith DJ. Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Neutralization Data up to 2021-12-22. *bioRxiv*. 2022:2021.2012.2031.474032.https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.31.474032
- 117. Dejnirattisai W, Shaw RH, Supasa P, et al. Reduced neutralisation of SARS-COV-2 Omicron-B.1.1.529 variant by post-immunisation serum. *medRxiv*. 2021:2021.2012.2010.21267534.https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.10.21267534
- 118. PFIZER AND BIONTECH PROVIDE UPDATE ON OMICRON VARIANT [press release]. 2021. <u>https://www.pfizer.com/news/press-release/press-releasedetail/pfizer-and-biontech-provide-update-omicron-variant</u>
- 119. Cele S, Jackson L, Khan K, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron has extensive but incomplete escape of Pfizer BNT162b2 elicited neutralization and requires ACE2 for infection. *medRxiv*.

2021:2021.2012.2008.21267417.https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.08.21267417

120. Jacobsen H, Strengert M, Maaß H, et al. Diminished neutralization responses towards SARS-CoV-2 Omicron VoC after mRNA or vector-based COVID-19 vaccinations. *medRxiv*.

2021:2021.2012.2021.21267898. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.21.21267898

121. Rössler A, Riepler L, Bante D, von Laer D, Kimpel J. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Variant Neutralization in Serum from Vaccinated and Convalescent Persons. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2022.<u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2119236</u>





- 122. Syed AM, Ciling A, Khalid MM, et al. Omicron mutations enhance infectivity and reduce antibody neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 virus-like particles. *medRxiv*. 2022:2021.2012.2020.21268048.<u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.20.21268048</u>
- 123. Hoffmann M, Krüger N, Schulz S, et al. The Omicron variant is highly resistant against antibody-mediated neutralization Implications for control of the COVID-19 pandemic. In:2021.
- 124. Lee D, Avena LE, Montes Berrueta D, et al. Serum Neutralizing Activity of mRNA-1273 Against the SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529 (Omicron) Variant: A Preliminary Report. *medRxiv.*

2022:2022.2001.2028.21268247.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.28.21268247

- Medigeshi G, Batra G, Murugesan DR, et al. Sub-optimal Neutralisation of Omicron (B.1.1.529) Variant by Antibodies induced by Vaccine alone or SARS-CoV-2 Infection plus Vaccine (Hybrid Immunity) post 6-months. *medRxiv*. 2022:2022.2001.2004.22268747.<u>https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.04.22268747</u>
- 126. Das S, Singh J, Shaman H, et al. Antibody response after a single dose of BBV152 vaccine negatively correlates with pre-existing antibodies and induces a significant but low levels of neutralizing antibodies to Omicron variant. *medRxiv*. 2022:2022.2002.2007.22270612.https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.02.07.22270612
- 127. Dolgin E. Omicron thwarts some of the world's most-used COVID vaccines. *Nature*. 2022.<u>https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-022-00079-6</u>

